



SRA INTERNATIONAL
ANNUAL MEETING
SEATTLE 2023
OCTOBER 14-18

M403: Motivating Reluctant, Inactive, or Inexperienced Investigators to Pursue Research Funding

Marjorie Piechowski, Ph.D.
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

Learning Objectives

- Understand and describe specific challenges to investigators at different career levels in pursuing external funding for research or other academic projects.
- Learn and apply what the literature tells us about researcher motivation and institutional support.
- List effective strategies to motivate and encourage investigators to pursue and succeed in external funding efforts.
- Share successes, strategies and challenges.

Your Presenter: Marjorie Piechowski



- SRAI Past President and Distinguished Faculty
- Over 35 years experience at three Midwest universities in
 - research strategy and development, for individual and large-scale collaborative grants
 - faculty workshops and mentoring in grantsmanship, especially for new faculty
 - proposal development and pre-award services
 - research compliance and training
 - post-award oversight
- now, independent grant writer and consultant for U.S. and global colleges and universities

Who are they? All of These

- Junior faculty/beginning researchers
 - Seeking the first entry-level grant
 - Establishing an independent research career
 - Separating from dissertation/post-doc advisor
- Mid-level, post-tenure faculty/investigators
 - Looking for new areas of research beyond first success
 - Moving beyond individual research to collaborative or large-scale projects
 - Supporting an established research team (students, post-docs)
 - Seeking career validation and recognition
- Senior faculty/investigators
 - Breaking new research ground
 - Winding down a career
 - Leaving a mark/legacy on their area of research

Starting Points: The Problem

- Grant award rates have declined steadily (5-20%)
- Average age for first NIH R01 awards ~ 42
- NIH FY 2022 success rate for new investigators = 8%
- NIH reports a decline in single-investigator awards
- NSF reports 2-3 attempts necessary to get first award
- More new Ph.D.s doing post-docs for longer periods
- Rapidly changing technology, research environment
- More collaborative, interdisciplinary expectations
- Result = reluctant, inactive, discouraged investigators
- Result = new investigators especially discouraged
- Result = new challenges for experienced investigators to increase or even to maintain grant activity

Challenges for New Researchers

- Junior investigators: overwhelmed and busy with
 - Publishing work from graduate research area
 - Developing new courses
 - Establishing labs
 - Recruiting students/post-docs
 - Finding collaborators
 - Learning the grant application process
 - Stabilizing personal life
 - House-hunting/moving
 - Marriage/family adjustments/new babies
 - Financial issues/student loans
 - Citizenship issues/change of visa status

Mid-career Challenges

- Burned out from tenure effort
- Bored with previous research and ready for a change in research emphasis
- Out of date with new technology/research trends
- Lack of stimulation from teaching, course development
- Tired of administration, service, managing labs
- Sabbatical-eligible
- Looking for new collaborators/teams/venues
- Family/financial pressures
 - Young children—school activities and time pressures
 - College-age children--financial pressures

Senior-Level Challenges

- “Mature” research
 - Need new ideas, fresh approaches
- No longer current in the discipline
 - Out of touch with the literature and new stars
 - Attend conferences for networking, socialization
- Not skilled in new technology/approaches
 - Nanoscience/technology/big data
 - Digital humanities
 - Collaborative/multi-disciplinary research
- Too far removed from hands-on research activity
 - Students and post-docs do the real research and write the grant applications and publications

More Challenges for Senior Researchers

- Retired on the job
 - No recent publications
 - Little change in research techniques or topics
 - Teaching on auto-pilot
 - Outdated labs and equipment
 - Out of touch with new generation of students
- Personal challenges
 - Family: aging parents, supporting adult children, raising grandchildren
 - Health issues (own, partner/spouse)
 - Financial security/building the retirement nest egg

Challenges for All Levels

- Secondary language/writing proficiency
- Lack of awareness of grant opportunities
- Discouragement from multiple rejections
- Unfamiliarity with the agency review process
- Isolation in discipline, geography
- Lack of collaborators
- Insufficient graduate/undergraduate assistance
- Lack of sophisticated equipment, labs, start-up funds
- Lack of mentor, disciplinary colleagues
- Weak publication record
- Heavy teaching load
- Unclear institutional expectations, research support

What motivates individuals to pursue grants and conduct research?

- What the literature says:
 - Intellectual stimulation, need to stay current
 - Desire to contribute to the field/literature
 - Formal public recognition
 - Peer recognition
 - Having satisfying research collaborations
 - Institutionalized forms of recognition
 - Clear and consistent internal expectations
 - Institutional culture
 - Disciplinary expectations and norms

Source: Investigating Academics' Motivation to Pursue Research Activity, Richard Jeans and Lyndon Murphy, University of Wales, Newport, in Newport CELT Journal 2009

What the literature says: researcher categories and grant activity

- High Motivation-High Ability
 - Began independent research in grad school
 - Established strong research networks
 - Built strong publication record
- Low Motivation-High Ability
 - Institutional betrayal, low trust, change-resistant
 - Fatigue, isolation
 - Satisfied with status quo
 - Culture of mediocrity

- High Motivation-Low Ability
 - Writing, language issues
 - Unskilled with grant development/strategies
 - Limited publication record
- Low Motivation-Low Ability
 - Lack of engagement with discipline, networks
 - Narrow research focus
 - Limited publications
 - Approaching retirement

Source: Hammond, S., Madsen, S., and Fenton, J. "Strategically Increasing Faculty Productivity," *Academic Exchange Quarterly*, 8 (4) 2004.

Customized Resources for Researcher Categories

Source: Hammond, S., Madsen, S., and Fenton, J. "Strategically Increasing Faculty Productivity," Academic Exchange Quarterly, 8 (4) 2004.

Faculty Category	Suggested Support/Resources
High Motivation-High Ability	Reduce teaching load Provide summer research support Provide conference funding Publicize research success Recognize/support for mentoring others
High Motivation-Low Ability	Provide mentoring Offer workshops on research skills, grants Offer continuing education support Publicize research success
Low Motivation-High Ability	Develop individual plan to create research networks and increase grant activity
Low Motivation-Low Ability	Develop individual plan for progress with clear articulation of research expectations Maintain teaching/service activities

How an Institution Fosters Grant Success

- Clear and consistent internal expectations
 - Position announcements, hiring process
 - Published tenure and promotion standards
 - Mentoring, helping junior faculty toward tenure process (limited committee work, adjusted teaching loads)
- Institutional culture fosters and values research
 - Public commitment to research from institutional leadership
 - Public recognition of research effort and success
 - Salary/reward structure

More Internal Ideas That Work

- Institution-wide
 - Strong infrastructure to support and value research
 - Well-staffed and competent central and distributed research administration offices
 - Generous and focused internal grant programs
 - Expert support for research compliance (technology transfer, intellectual property, export control, IRB, IACUC, etc.)
 - Redistribution/reinvestment of recovered F&A
 - Assistance in developing research collaborations
 - Investment in equipment, labs, research space

Research Development: Institutional Strategy to Foster Grant Success

- Research development (RD) an emerging field and approach in/from/outside of research administration
- RD a SRAI new certificate, replacing grantsmanship
- RD sometimes described as pre-pre award
- RD = integrated and institutional approach to proposal development and research success
- Increasing number of career opportunities in RD
- Needs long-term commitment from institution
- Results will not be immediate but can be profound for the investigators and the institution

What is Research Development?

- *Research Development as defined by NORDP (National Organization of Research Development Professionals) “encompasses a set of strategic, proactive, catalytic, and capacity-building activities **designed to facilitate** individual faculty members, teams of researchers, and central research administrations in attracting extramural research funding, creating relationships, and developing and implementing strategies that increase institutional competitiveness.”*

<http://www.nordp.org>

What are the many roles of RD?

- Strategic planning and advancement of research mission
- Identifying and maintaining external collaborations (academia, industry, local, state, federal, international organizations and governments)
- Identifying institutional and individual research strengths
- Identifying and disseminating funding opportunities
- Presenting workshops and training in proposal development
- Team building/facilitating team science
- Managing/writing/editing large-scale, complex proposals and projects
- Editing academic publications
- Managing limited submissions/internal grant programs
- Disseminating research results/success
- Collecting, maintaining, analyzing proposal and research metrics

Strategies for New Investigators

- Released time from teaching to develop grants
- Travel funds to visit agencies or collaborators
- Graduate student support (pre-grant) to begin project, proof of concept, gather preliminary data
- Individual strategic research plan
- Assistance in finding and interpreting RFPs
- Special focused workshops (agencies, programs, budget development, new investigator awards)
- Formal mentoring programs—year-long or more
 - Individual, department, institution-wide, external
 - Groups: women, underrepresented populations

Mid-level Researchers: Travel, International Grant Opportunities

- U.S. examples
 - NSF International Programs/NIH Fogarty International Center
 - U.S. Agency for International Development
 - American Councils for International Education: for research in Central Asia, Moldova, Russia, the South Caucasus, Southeast Europe, and Ukraine
 - U.S. Department of Education group travel grants
 - Social Science Research Council Abe Fellowship
 - Carnegie Corporation of America
 - Fulbright Fellowships—teaching or research in 200+ countries
 - Individual residential fellowship/research grants
 - National labs, libraries, museums, other campuses

World-Wide Research Travel Grants

- German Academic Exchange Service--DAAD
- European Union Funding
- International Foundation for Science
- Human Frontier Science Program
- U.K. Department for International Development
- Japanese International Cooperation Agency
- Ciencia y Tecnologia Para el Desarrollo
- UNICEF-UNDP-World Bank-WHO
- Research Council of Norway
- Canadian International Development Agency

More World-Wide Grant Opportunities

- Third World Academy of Science
- W.K. Kellogg Foundation—Latin America/Caribbean
- Pan American Health Organization
- Swedish International Development Cooperative Agency
- Indonesia Biological Sciences Research Grant Program
- Wellcome Trust Tropical Medicine Programme
 - Third World Network of Scientific Organizations
 - John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation
 - Chiang Ching-kuo Foundation for International Scholarly Exchange
 - And many more in many other parts of the world

Other Mid-Career Ideas: Build Synergies and Connections

- Industry
- Federal/government laboratories
- Research consortia/grant programs for collaboration
 - Collaborative Research Infrastructure Scheme (CRIS) in Australia
- School systems
- Not-for-profit organizations
- Other countries
- Internal connections: hosted brown bag lunches, research symposia, lecture series, collaborative internal grant programs, conjoint meeting and lab space, shared equipment programs

Interdisciplinary/Collaborative Research: What the Literature Says is Necessary for Success

- Foster a collaborative environment
- Have institutional strategic plans that promote IR
- Provide investigator incentives for IR that reflect and reward involvement in IR
 - Hiring and tenure policies
 - Time and institutional support to prepare proposals
- Cross-department budgeting mechanisms
- Lab/meeting space co-location
- Cross-department/team teaching mechanisms

Source: Klein, J.T. and Porter, A.L., "Preconditions for Interdisciplinary Research," *International Research Management*, 1990. Published to Oxford Scholarship Online October 2011.

More Mid-Career Ideas: Increase Visibility

- Individual, department, college, institution:
 - Grant review panels
 - Editorial review boards
 - Site visits
 - Journal editing
 - Leadership roles in professional organizations
 - Community/political leadership
 - Membership on corporate boards
 - Consulting

Senior Investigators: Large-Scale Grants

- Some NSF examples:
 - Materials Engineering Research Centers
 - Engineering Research Centers
 - Centers for Chemical Innovation
 - Science and Technology Centers: Integrative Partnerships
 - Industry/University Cooperative Research Centers
- NIH Center Grants
- DOD/DHS/DOE Centers of Excellence
- Centers for International Business Education (ED)

More ideas for Seniors

- Institutional nominations for major professional awards
 - Fellows in disciplinary or professional societies
 - National academies
 - Major research prizes, awards
 - NIH Lasker Medical Research Award, American Academy of Arts Gold Medals, Nobel Prize
- NSF Rotator Position as Program Officer
- Major equipment grants: NSF, NIH, DURIP
- Educational programs/curriculum development
 - ED GAANN Program, McNair Program, NIH Training Grants, NSF Educational Programs

NSF Educational Programs

- Improving Undergraduate STEM Education
- Nanotechnology Undergraduate Education in Engineering
- Transforming Undergraduate Education in Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (TUES)
- NSF Scholarships in Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (S-STEM)
- Advanced Technological Education
- Widening Implementation & Demonstration of Evidence-Based Reforms (WIDER)
- Revolutionizing Engineering and Computer Science Departments (RED)

What else motivates investigators?

- Credit for proposals submitted as well as awarded
- Method to recognize multi/cross disciplinary research
 - Allocation of F&A, tenure considerations, joint publications, teaching loads
- Metrics?
 - Rankings of program, college, institution
 - Benchmarking research productivity
- What metrics to use and for what purposes?
 - Individual?
 - Department?
 - College?
 - Institution?
 - Against whom? Peer institutions? Aspirational institutions?

Other individual motivators

- Good leadership and organizational practices
 - Meeting basic needs
 - Work-life-family issues
 - Compensation and work load
 - Difficult relationships/toxic departments
 - Policies/structures
 - Transparent communication
- Positive institutional culture regarding grants
- Active encouragement to apply
- Perceived benefits from grants
- Promotion and tenure recognition

What about money as motivation?

- Indirect cost allocation to PI
- Summer stipends for developing proposals
- Course releases for developing proposals
- Internal grant programs to get started on research
- Payment for submitting a proposal
- Payment for getting a grant award
- Salary supplement for managing a large-scale grant
- Other incentives: clerical support, extra travel
- Paid external reviewers for draft proposals
- Professional grant writers/editors, internal or external

Parting Ideas for Discussion

- What strategies work at your institution?
- What strategies have you tried and dropped?
- What strategies do you plan to use in the future?
- What best practices and strategies would you use in an ideal institution and world?
- Should we identify/work with reluctant, inactive, inexperienced or discouraged investigators?
- Is it worth the time and effort?
- Other ideas?

References Consulted

- Bland, C. et al. "A Theoretical, Practical, Predictive Model of Faculty and Department Research Productivity." *Academic Medicine*, 80(3) 2005, pp. 225-237.
- "Building a Culture of Research: Recommended Practices," *Hanover Research*, May 2014.
- Hammond, S., Madsen, S., and Fenton, J. "Strategically Increasing Faculty Productivity," *Academic Exchange Quarterly*, 8 (4) 2004.
- Hardré, Patricia L. et al. "Faculty Motivation to do Research: Across Disciplines in Research-Extensive Universities," *The Journal of the Professoriate*, 5(1), 2011, pp. 35-69.
- Jeans, Richard, Murphy, Lyndon. "Investigating Academics' Motivation to Pursue Research Activity," University of Wales, Newport, in *Newport CELT Journal*, 2009.
- Klein, J.T. and Porter, A.L., "Preconditions for Interdisciplinary Research," *International Research Management*, 1990. Published to Oxford Scholarship Online October 2011.

Thank you for your attention

Questions or Comments?

Marjorie Piechowski, Ph.D.
Emerita Director of Research Support
College of Engineering & Applied Science
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee
Milwaukee, WI USA

piechow4@uwm.edu

414-963-9055