American Indian Law Section
Business Meeting
January 19, 2023
12:00 pm EST
via Zoom

Minutes

Welcome - Call to Order

Sarah Zlotnicki called the meeting to order at 12:03 PM.

Attendance:

Sarah Zlotnicki; Kaitlin Gant; Lauren Mulhern (through SZ proxy); Amy Wesaw (through SZ proxy); Emily Paski (not in attendance); Jen Saeckl (through SZ proxy); Yasmeen Farran; and Anna Bruty (not in attendance); Judge Yost Johnson and Katrina Kapture.

Approval of Minutes (12/22/22)

SZ made a motion to approve the meeting minutes. Judge Yost seconded. Motion passed (7 for, 0 against, 0 abstain).

Approval of Meeting Agenda

SZ made a motion to approve the agenda. KG seconded. (8 for, 0 against, 0 abstain)

Approval of Closing Meeting

YF made a motion to close the meeting. Judge Yost seconded. (8 for, 0 against, 0 abstain)

I. Unfinished Business

- A. Updates from Committees.
 - a. Advocacy and Education Committee. No updates
 - b. Communication and Membership Engagement Committee. No updates

B. Annual Meeting

- a. State Bar does not have annual meeting anymore (approximately before COVID, they have stopped it)
- b. Judge Yost indicated that the bylaws will need to be amended
- c. Don Wheaton (don@lawyerhousecalls.com) would be a resource for amending bylaws for section by the state
- d. Potentially, do it during TICA (Sarah will look into if the date of TICA will work)
- e. Judge Yost focus on recruitment, perhaps offer some training, maybe connect it with another event
- f. Maybe have presentations on how firms can diversify their investments
- g. Maybe next meeting, make a list and finalize which ideas we want to use for the presentation
- h. Training on ICWA/MIFPA
- i. Maybe focus on more practical trainings
- j. If law firms come in, they are willing to be sponsors for lunch, etc. (maybe ask firms to submit proposals about what they would speak about)
- C. AILS/MSU ILPC Annual Conference Update.
 - a. Sarah will follow up with ILPC
- D. Diversity Lunch with ADR Section.
 - a. No new updates, previous date was incorrect

E. Section Liaisons

- a. Liaisons benefits (Judge Yost):
 - i. If something comes up in the courts have different support and potential lobbyers
 - ii. Formalize process of getting input on bills that cross different legal areas more formally and quickly
 - iii. If we do proceed with that, you have to be more explicit when things are confidential
 - iv. Bylaws are silent on liaisons
 - v. Children's Law Section and Family Law Section liaison potentially Judge Yost after we review the by-laws
 - vi. Include in next month's agenda about liaisons

II. New Business

A. Brackeen

- a. They do not know how long this will go
- b. The question now is can this shoot down MIFPA?
 - i. Yes it could because MIFPA will then be based on race and not nationality
- c. What can be done now? Can we be proactive?
- d. A lot of MI tribes submitted amicus briefs
- e. The idea now is that if it is struck down, tribes will start taking more jurisdiction (updating tribal codes to create more jurisdiction)
- f. Katrina can draft an alert saying here are things that can be done in preparation
- g. Can we protect MI
- h. Sarah will contact the ILPC
- i. CIP tribal court is meeting today and Katrina is a part of that and she will see if they are discussing next steps
- j. Neoshia may have something written already that could be published in the Family Law Section Journal of the MI Bar

III. General Updates