The image shows the exterior of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation Visitor Center. The building's facade is covered in large, three-dimensional, light-colored letters that read: "EVERY PERSON DESERVES THE CHANCE TO LIVE A HEALTHY, PRODUCTIVE LIFE". To the left, a red sign on a white pole identifies the building as the "BILL & MELINDA GATES foundation VISITOR CENTER". A street lamp is visible in the foreground on the left, and green trees are partially visible at the top left. The sky is overcast.

EVERY PERSON
DESERVES THE
CHANCE TO LIVE
A HEALTHY,
PRODUCTIVE LIFE

DDI Management in Oncology Drug Development

Ping Zhao, PhD, Integrated Development - Quantitative Sciences

FDA/ISOP Workshop on Quantitative Methods in Dosage Optimization of Oncology Products. Oct 16, 2023

The views expressed are personal

BILL & MELINDA
GATES foundation



Draft Panel Questions

Can we use MIDD to assess the doses needed in acute (short-term) DDI vs DDI effects at steady state?

Can we use MIDD to help design better dosing regimens for time-dependent inhibitors over the course of treatment?

Can we use MIDD to simulate/explore better dosing regimens when DDI cannot be avoided (or when we might want to leverage this phenomenon)?

Can we use MIDD to evaluate dosing in clinical scenario that are infeasible to conduct studies?


Outline

Questions	Answers	Rationale
Does technology allow us to answer DDI questions?	Yes	FDA's MIDD definition: “exposure-based, biological, and statistical models derived from preclinical and clinical data sources” Availability and industrialization of user-friendly computational tools
Are we able to address these DDI questions for all drugs with certainty now?	No	Lack of required data to derive the model, both drug or biology /physiology relevant Evolution of science and technology
Are we able to address these DDI questions for all drugs with certainty in the future?	Likely	More efficient knowledge integration Disappearance of barrier between modelers and non-modelers


Outline

Questions	Answers	Rationale
Does technology allow us to answer DDI questions?	Yes	FDA's MIDD definition: “exposure-based, biological, and statistical models derived from preclinical and clinical data sources” Availability and industrialization of user-friendly computational tools
Are we able to address these DDI questions for all drugs with certainty now?	No	Lack of required data to derive the model, both drug or biology /physiology relevant Evolution of science and technology
Are we able to address these DDI questions for all drugs with certainty in the future?	Likely	More efficient knowledge integration Disappearance of barrier between modelers and non-modelers


A Complex Problem May Require Complex System to Address



Complex System



Complex Problem




e.g., individualization of medications

Huang and Temple, Clin Pharmacol Ther, 2008


FDA's MIDD definition: "...exposure-based, biological, and statistical models derived from preclinical and clinical data sources"

PDUFA Commitment Letters

Pharmacology Is Complex



Local	Drug depot	Blood + equivalent blood vol	Kidney etc elimination	Tissues	Tissue inactivation
Symbol	D	B	K	T	I
Amount	x	y	u	z	w
Volume	V_1	V_2	—	V_3	—
Concentration	x/V_1	y/V_2	—	z/V_3	—
Perm coeff	k_1	—	k_4	k_2	—
Velocity constant	out	—	$k_4 = k_4'/V_2$	$k_3 = k_3'/V_3$	k_5
	in	neglected	not existing	$k_2 = k_2'/V_2$	—
Name of process	Resorption	—	Elimination	Tissue take up - n- output	Inactivation




Teorell, Arch Intern Pharmacodyn, 1937

Pharmacology is the science that studies how drugs and medications work in the body, as well as their effects on the body and how they can be used to treat various medical conditions

ChatGPT description to a lay person

Physiologically-based Pharmacokinetic Models (PBPK)



Applications of Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic (PBPK) Modeling and Simulation During Regulatory Review

P Zhao¹, L Zhang¹, JA Grillo¹, Q Liu¹, JM Bullock¹, YJ Moon¹, P Song¹, SS Brar¹, R Madabushi¹, TC Wu¹, BP Booth¹, NA Rahman¹, KS Reynolds¹, E Gil Berglund², LJ Lesko¹ and S-M Huang¹

Predict, Learn, Confirm



Individual or combined effects on human physiology




Dosing




Elimination

Degree of complexity of the PBPK model can vary according to the need


Technology Innovation Realizes A Doctor's Dream



Tech Innovation



Mean Values of Tissue Concentration of Drug X



Teorell, Arch Intern Pharmacodyn, 1937

Zhang X, 2023

PBPK Industrialization

- Routine Applications
- Efficient Analysis
- Efficient Communications
- Powerful Knowledge Integration


Why Software Is Eating the World

by Marc Andreessen


Industrialization: Common Drivers

Cost Reduction Technology
Standardization
Efficiency Scale
Productivity Automation
Volume Competition
Unmet Needs
Market Demand

PBPK Industrialization: Need, Demand, Efficiency...



Rowland M et al, *Ann Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*, 2010



Zhang X, 2023

Industrialization: Routine Use in R&D and Registration




Figure 2. Percentage of new drug approvals containing physiologically based pharmacokinetics (2013-2019).




Figure 3. Distribution of physiologically based pharmacokinetic submissions by application areas (2018-2019). DDI-ARA, acid-reducing agent-mediated drug-drug interaction; DDI-enzyme, enzyme-mediated drug-drug interaction; DDI-transporter, transporter-mediated drug-drug interaction; HI, hepatic impairment; peds, pediatrics; PGx, pharmacogenomics; RI, renal impairment.

Prominent use-case is management of drug-drug interactions (DDIs)

Industrialization of PBPK in Oncology

ONCOLOGY	Agios Amgen Amgen Ariad Ariad (Takeda) AstraZeneca AstraZeneca AstraZeneca Beigene BluePrint Medicines Celgene Daiichi Sankyo Daiichi Sankyo Eisai EMD Serono Genentech	Tibsovo (ivosidenib) Blincyto (binatumomab) Lumakras (sotorasib) Alunbrig (brigatinib) Iclusig (ponatinib) Calquence (acalabrutinib) Lynparza (olaparib) Tagrisso (osimertinib) Brukinsa (zanubrutinib) Avyakt (avapritinib) Inrebic (fedratinib hydrochloride) Turalio (pexidartinib) Ezharmia (valmetostat tosilate) Lenvima (lenvatinib) Tepmetko (tepotinib hydrochloride) Alecensa (alelectinib)	Genentech Genentech Genentech Incyte Janssen Janssen Lilly Lilly Loxo Loxo Oncology Menarini/Stemline Mirati Novartis Novartis Novartis Novartis	Cotellic (cobimetinib) Polivy (polatuzumab vedotin-piia) Rozlytrek (entrectinib) Pemazyre (pemigatinib) Balversa (erdafitinib) Eriada (apalutamide) Retevmo (selpercatinib) Verzenio (abemaciclib) Jaypirca (pirtobrutinib) Vitrakvi (larotrectinib) Orserdu (elacestrant) Krazati (adagrasib) Farydak (panobinostat) Kisqali (ribociclib succinate) Scemblix (asciminib) Odomzo (sonidegib)	Novartis Novartis Novartis Novartis Novartis Pfizer Pfizer Pharmacylics Sanofi Seattle Genetics Spectrum Takeda Tahio Verastem	Vioice (alpelisib) Rydapt (midostaurin) Tabrecta (capmatinib) Zykadia (ceritinib) Jakavi (ruxolitinib) Bosulif (bosutinib) Lorbrena (lorlatinib) Imbruvica (ibrutinib) Jevtana (cabazitaxel) Tukysa (tucatinib) Beleodaq (belinostat) Exkivity (mabocertinib) Lytgobi (futibatinib) Copiktra (duvelisib)
	Agios Akarix (Eisai) AstraZeneca Aurinia Genentech Genentech Global Blood Therapeutics	Pyrkynid (mitapivat) Doptelet (avatrombopag moleate) Koselugo (selumetinib) Lupkynis (venclosporin) Ensprigny (satralizumab) Evsydi (nsidiplam) Oxbryta (voxelotor)	Intercept Kadmon Merck Mirum Mitsubishi Tanabe Novartis Peloton/Merck	Ocaliva (obeticholic acid) Rezurock (belumosudil) Welireg (belzutifan) Livmarli (maralixibat) Dysval (Valbenazine) Isturisa (osilodrostat) Welireg (belzutifan)	PTC Therapeutics Reata Sanofi Genzyme Traverse Vertex Vertex Trikafta (elexacaftor/vacaftor/tezacaftor)	Emflaza (deflazacort) Skyclarys (fomaveloxolene) Cerdelga (eliglustat tartrate) Filspari (sparsentan) Symdeko (tezacaftor/vacaftor) Trikafta (elexacaftor/vacaftor/tezacaftor)
CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM	AbbVie AbbVie Alkermes Alkermes Alkermes	Rinvoq (upadacitinib) Qulipta (atogepant) Aristada (aripiprazole laurixil) Lybalvi (olanzapine/samidorphan)	Eisai Idorsia Janssen Kyowa Kirin	Dayvigo (lemborexant) Quviviq (daridorexant) Ponvory (ponesimod) Nourianz (istradefylline)	Lilly Novartis Pfizer UCB	Reyvow (lasmiditan succinate) Mayzent (siponimod fumaric acid) Zavprent (zavegepant) Briviact (brivaracetam)
INFECTIOUS DISEASE	Gilead Janssen Merck	Veklury (remdesivir) Olysio (simeprevir) Pifeltro (daravicine)	Merck Nabriva Novartis	Prevymis (letermovir) Xenleta (tefamulin acetate) Egaten (triclabendazole)	Tibotec Viiv	Edurant (rilpivirine) Cabenuva Kit (cabotegravir/rilpivirine)
GASTROENTEROLOGY	AstraZeneca Helsinn	Movantik (naloxegol) Akinzeo (fosnetupitant/palonosetron)	Phathom Shionogi	Vaqzeana Triplet Pak (vonopresnan/omeciclib/clastromycin) Symproic (nalmedine)	Shire	Motegrity (prucalopride)
CARDIOVASCULAR	Actelion (J & J) Bayer (and Merck)	Opsumit (macitentan) Verquvo (vericiguat)	BMS Johnson & Johnson	Camzyos (mavacamten) Xarelto (rivaroxaban)	Pfizer	Revatio (sildenafil)
ENDOCRINE	AbbVie Janssen	Orlissa (elagolix) Invokana (canagliflozin)	Lilly Lilly	Olumiant (baricitinib) Mounjaro (tirzepatide)	Merck	Steglatro (ertugliflozin)
OTHER	Galderma	Aklief (trifarotene)	Takeda	Livtenicity (maribavir)		

- Multiple Regulatory Authorities
- >100 new drugs, 325 label (simulation results in lieu of clinical studies)

Updated July, 2023

Courtesy, M Jamei

Learning from 2023 FDA Approvals

As of Sep 22, there are 36 NDAs and BLAs with FDA review published

Submissions including PBPK	15 (12 NDAs and 3 BLAs)
Oncology/Hematology	8
DDI	13 Five submissions included multiple applications (DDI as both victim and perpetrator, hepatic and renal impairment)
Label impact	Yes: 8 No, not obvious or not specified: 7
Methods	SimCYP®: 11 Gastroplus®: 1 Not specified: 3

Outline


Questions	Answers	Rationale
Does technology allow us to answer DDI questions?	Yes	FDA's MIDD definition: “exposure-based, biological, and statistical models derived from preclinical and clinical data sources” Availability and industrialization of user-friendly computational tools
Are we able to address these DDI questions for all drugs with certainty now?	No	Lack of required data to derive the model, both drug or biology /physiology relevant Evolution of science and technology
Are we able to address these DDI questions for all drugs with certainty in the future?	Likely	More efficient knowledge integration Disappearance of barrier between modelers and non-modelers

Learning from 2023 FDA Approvals

As of Sep 22, there are 36 NDAs and BLAs with FDA review published

Submissions including PBPK	15 (12 NDAs and 3 BLAs)
Oncology/Hematology	8
DDI	13 Five submissions included multiple applications (DDI as both victim and perpetrator, hepatic and renal impairment)
Label impact	Yes: 8 No, not obvious or not specified: 7
Methods	SimCYP®: 11 Gastroplus®: 1 Not specified: 3


■ 45% of PBPK Applications Not Accepted or Reviewed



Reasons for Not Being Accepted/Reviewed

Not adequate

- CYP contribution uncertain (NDA216718)
- Perpetrator (efavirenz) model not adequate but supportive (NDA217639)
- Rifampin's combined CYP3A induction and OATP1B1/NTCP inhibition not delineated (NDA216386)
- Model for mild CYP3A inducer; effect on CYP1A2 substrate (NDA217759)
- Underpredicted effect of rifampin; pathway not clear for CYP2C8/2C19 to predict effect of these inhibitors and effect of efavirenz (215559)
- Inadequate to predict effects of cytokine change on CYPs (BLA 761342 and 761309)



Not adequate to define new dissolution acceptance criterion

Not adequate because there is no clinical data to verify
Not reviewed because pathway minor

Outline

Questions	Answers	Rationale
Does technology allow us to answer DDI questions?	Yes	FDA's MIDD definition: “exposure-based, biological, and statistical models derived from preclinical and clinical data sources” Availability and industrialization of user-friendly computational tools
Are we able to address these DDI questions for all drugs with certainty now?	No	Lack of required data to derive the model, both drug or biology /physiology relevant Evolution of science and technology
Are we able to address these DDI questions for all drugs with certainty in the future?	Likely	More efficient knowledge integration Disappearance of barrier between modelers and non-modelers

Pragmatism - Living with Uncertainties

Jesduvrogas a CYP2C8 substrate (NDA216951):

----- DRUG INTERACTIONS -----

- Moderate CYP2C8 Inhibitors: Reduce starting dose. (7.1)
- CYP2C8 Inducers: Monitor hemoglobin and adjust the dose of JESDUVROQ as appropriate. (7.2)

Daprodustat AUC and C_{\max} are expected to increase at least 4-fold and 3-fold, respectively, following concomitant administration of daprodustat with clopidogrel 75 mg once daily (moderate CYP2C8 inhibitor).

‘However, the effects of clopidogrel on daprodustat may be underestimated because the clopidogrel PBPK models used by the Applicant were not reliably validated and these clopidogrel models significantly underpredicted the clinically observed interactions between clopidogrel and repaglinide (see Section 14.6 for details). This suggests that the effect of clopidogrel on daprodustat exposure is expected to be no less than the model-predicted effects.’ NDA 216951









“All models are wrong, some are useful” G. Box

■ Re-usable Models – Efficiency, Generalizability, Predictability

Software library models or **sponsors' models based on publications**

- Sohonos (NDA 215559): 'library files sim-**ketoconazole**-400 mg QD, SV-**rifampin**-MD, SV-**Efavirenz**, SV**Erythromycin**_EC, SV-**Fluconazole**, and SV-**Fluoxetine** were used for DDI simulations without any modification unless otherwise noted' (NDA 215559)
- Jesduvroq (NDA216951): 'The default PBPK models of **gemfibrozil** and **trimethoprim** in Simcyp were used for DDI prediction. The PBPK models of **clopidogrel and its glucuronide** were developed based on published data (Tornio et al. 2014; Shebley et al. 2017; Varma et al. 2019). Simcyp built-in models of **repaglinide** and the PBPK models of **pioglitazone** and **montelukast** built by the Applicant based on were used to qualify the ability of the clopidogrel PBPK model to simulate DDIs with CYP2C8 substrates as well as a CYP3A4 substrate. No modifications were made to the original models'

More Models - Knowledge and Experience


	ONCOLOGY	<p>Agiost Amgen Amgen Ariad Ariad (Takeda) AstraZeneca AstraZeneca AstraZeneca Beigene BluePrint Medicines Celgene Daiichi Sankyo Daiichi Sankyo Eisai EMD Serono Genentech</p> <p>Tibsovo (ivosidenib) Blincyto (blinatumomab) Lumakras (sotorasib) Alunbrig (brigatinib) Iclusig (ponatinib) Calquence (acalabrutinib) Lynparza (olaparib) Tagrisso (osimertinib) Brukinsa (zanubrutinib) Aykavit (avapritinib) Inrebic (fedratinib hydrochloride) Turalio (pexidartinib) Ezharmia (valmestat tosilate) Lenvima (lenvatinib) Tepmetko (tepotinib hydrochloride) Alecensa (alelectinib)</p>	<p>Genentech Genentech Genentech Incyte Janssen Janssen Lilly Lilly Loxo Loxo Oncology Menarini/Stemline Mirati Novartis Novartis Novartis Novartis</p> <p>Cotellic (cobimetinib) Polivy (polatuzumab vedotin-piia) Rozlytrek (entrectinib) Pemazyre (pemigatinib) Balversa (erdafitinib) Eriada (apalutamide) Retevmo (selpercatinib) Verzenio (abemaciclib) Jaypirca (pirtobrutinib) Vitrakvi (larotrectinib) Orserdu (elacestrant) Krazati (adagrasib) Farydak (panobinostat) Kisqali (ribociclib succinate) Scemblix (asciminib) Odomzo (sonidegib)</p>	<p>Novartis Novartis Novartis Novartis Novartis Pfizer Pfizer Pharmacylics Sanofi Seattle Genetics Spectrum Takeda Tahio Verastem</p> <p>Vioice (alpelisib) Rydapt (midostaurin) Tabrecta (capmatinib) Zykadia (ceritinib) Jakavi (ruxolitinib) Bosulif (bosutinib) Lorbrena (lorlatinib) Imbruvica (ibrutinib) Jevtana (cabazitaxel) Tukysa (tucatinib) Beleodaq (belinostat) Exkivity (mabocertinib) Lytgobi (futbatinib) Copiktra (duvelisib)</p>
	RARE DISEASE	<p>Agiost AkaRx (Eisai) AstraZeneca Aurinia Genentech Genentech Global Blood Therapeutics</p> <p>Pyrkynid (mitapivat) Doptelet (avatrombopag moleate) Koselugo (selumetinib) Lupkynis (venclosporin) Ensprigny (satralizumab) Evsydi (nsidiplam) Oxbryta (voxelotor)</p>	<p>Intercept Kadmon Merck Mirum Mitsubishi Tanabe Novartis Peloton/Merck</p> <p>Ocaliva (obeticholic acid) Rezurock (belumosudil) Welireg (belzutifan) Livmarli (maralixibat) Dysval (Valbenazine) Isturisa (osilodrostat) Welireg (belzutifan)</p>	<p>PTC Therapeutics Reata Sanofi Genzyme Traverse Vertex Vertex</p> <p>Emflaza (deflazacort) Skyclarys (fomaveloxolene) Cerdelga (eliglustat tartrate) Filspari (sparsentan) Symdeko (tezacaftor/vacaftor) Trikafta (elexacaftor/vacaftor/tezacaftor)</p>
	CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM	<p>AbbVie AbbVie Alkermes Alkermes</p> <p>Rinvoq (upadacitinib) Qulipta (atogepant) Aristada (aripiprazole laurixil) Lybalvi (olanzapine/samidorphan)</p>	<p>Eisai Idorsia Janssen Kyowa Kirin</p> <p>Dayvigo (lemborexant) Quviviq (daridorexant) Ponvory (ponesimod) Nourianz (istradefylline)</p>	<p>Lilly Novartis Pfizer UCB</p> <p>Reyvow (lasmiditan succinate) Mayzent (siponimod fumaric acid) Zavprent (zavegepant) Briviact (brivaracetam)</p>
	INFECTIOUS DISEASE	<p>Gilead Janssen Merck</p> <p>Veklury (remdesivir) Olysio (simeprevir) Pifeltro (daravicine)</p>	<p>Merck Nabriva Novartis</p> <p>Prevymis (letermovir) Xenleta (tefamulin acetate) Egaten (tricalabendazole)</p>	<p>Tibotec ViiV</p> <p>Edurant (rilpivirine) Cabenuva Kit (cabotegravir/rilpivirine)</p>
	GASTROENTEROLOGY	<p>AstraZeneca Helsinn</p> <p>Movantik (naloxegol) Alynzeo (fosnetupitant/palonosetron)</p>	<p>Phathom Shionogi</p> <p>Vaqsozene TriplaPak (vismoglutam/omeprazole/clarithromycin) Symproic (nalmedine)</p>	<p>Shire</p> <p>Motegrity (prucalopride)</p>
	CARDIOVASCULAR	<p>Actelion (J & J) Bayer (and Merck)</p> <p>Opsumit (macitentan) Verquvo (vericiguat)</p>	<p>BMS Johnson & Johnson</p> <p>Camzyos (mavacamten) Xarelto (rivaroxaban)</p>	<p>Pfizer</p> <p>Revatio (sildenafil)</p>
	ENDOCRINE	<p>AbbVie Janssen</p> <p>Orlissa (elagolix) Invokana (canagliflozin)</p>	<p>Lilly Lilly</p> <p>Olumiant (baricitinib) Mounjaro (tirzepatide)</p>	<p>Merck</p> <p>Steglatro (ertugliflozin)</p>
	OTHER	<p>Galderma</p> <p>Aklief (trifarotene)</p>	<p>Takeda</p> <p>Livtenicity (maribavir)</p>	

Updated July, 2023


- Multiple Regulatory Authorities
- >100 new drugs, 325 label (simulation results in lieu of clinical studies)

Courtesy, M Jamei

Shift in Mindset of Pharmacology Models




Local	Drug depot	Blood + Tissue	Kidney etc. elimination	Tissues	Tissue inactivation
Symbol	D	B	A	T	I
Amount	x	y	u	z	w
Volume	V_d	V_b	—	V_t	—
Concentration	x/V_d	y/V_b	—	z/V_t	—
Permeability	k_{12}	—	k_{21}	k_{12}	—
Rate of flow in	$k_{12}x/V_d$	—	$k_{21}y/V_b$	$k_{12}x/V_d$	—
Rate of flow out	negligible	—	$k_{21}y/V_b$	$k_{12}x/V_d$	—
Rate of process	—	—	—	—	—
Process	—	—	—	—	—




Teorell, Arch Intern Pharmacodyn, 1937

Tech Innovation



Mean Values of Tissue Concentration of Drug X



Zhang X, 2023

PBPK Industrialization

- Routine Applications
- Efficient Analysis
- Efficient Communications
- Powerful Knowledge Integration


“My Model? Your model? His model? Her model? Whose model???”

DDIs in Low Income Settings Are More Common


“It is estimated that one-third of the 40 million people living with HIV/AIDS worldwide are co-infected with TB. People with HIV are up to 50 times more likely to develop TB in a given year than HIV-negative people.”

<https://www.who.int/3by5/TBfactsheet.pdf>


“The odds of SM (severe malaria) were significantly higher in co-infected patients than in *Plasmodium* mono-infected patients (OR 2.41; 95% CI 1.43–4.08; I² = 85%; P= 0.001). . . .”



Developing Countries Under-represented in Pharma R&D



Collaborations to Manage Complex DDI and Comorbidity



2015
Virtual lung



2021
11 TB drugs



2023
20 Antimalarials


2021
Levonorgestrel



Ongoing PBPK works

- Lactation
- pregnancy
- Pediatrics
- Malnourishment

Stagnation of PBPK Applications



Huang and Temple, Clin Pharmacol Ther, 2008




Figure 3. Distribution of physiologically based pharmacokinetic submissions by application areas (2018-2019). DDI-ARA, acid-reducing agent-mediated drug-drug interaction; DDI-enzyme, enzyme-mediated drug-drug interaction; DDI-transporter, transporter-mediated drug-drug interaction; HI, hepatic impairment; peds, pediatrics; PGx, pharmacogenomics; RI, renal impairment.

Zhang et al, J Clin Pharmacol, 2021

Bigger needs vs fewer or no applications!

Removing Barrier between Modelers and Non-modelers

Demystifying physiologically based pharmacokinetic modelling among non-modelers towards model-informed medicine use in under-served populations

Jolien Freriksen¹, Joyce van der Heijden¹, Marika de Hoop-Sommen¹, Trevor Johnson², Karen R Yeo², Essam Kerwash³, Susan Cole³, Janet Nooney², Rick Greupink¹, Ping Zhao⁴, Saskia de Wildt^{1,5}

¹ Radboud University, Nijmegen, Gelderland, The Netherlands

² Certara UK Limited, Sheffield, UK

³ Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency, London, UK

⁴ Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, Washington, USA

⁵ Erasmus MC-Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Workshop	Date, location and duration	Attendees	Populations discussed
Part of the Radboud Summer School "Introduction to Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Analysis"	July 2021 Online Half day	26 delegates ^a (global) 5 tutors	Pediatric and pregnant population
Pre-congress course of the 19th European Society for Developmental Perinatal and Paediatric Pharmacology (ESDPPP) meeting	June 2022 In-person Liverpool (UK) One day	37 delegates (mostly European) 11 tutors	Pediatric and pregnant population
Part of the Radboud Summer School "Introduction to Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Analysis"	July 2022 Online Half day	29 delegates ^a (global) 5 tutors	Pediatric and pregnant population
Satellite Session of the American Society for Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics (ASCPT) meeting	September 2022 Online One day	45 delegates (global) 14 tutors	Pediatric and pregnant population
Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) workshop	October 2022 In-person London (UK) One day + two online sessions	32 delegates ^b (mostly UK) 7 tutors	Pregnant population

Summary

- Technology innovation allows efficient and comprehensive evaluation of DDI scenarios
- Fit-for-purpose, practical analyses can support dosing decisions
- Knowledge-base continues to grow, enabling efficient learning across applications
- Technology innovation can further remove the barriers for many to understand and apply MIDD

Acknowledgements

Former colleagues at US FDA

Current collaborators in global health