

Multicriteria Air Traffic Flow Management

Kenneth Kuhn
University of Canterbury
(Christchurch, New Zealand)

INFORMS TSL Workshop 2011

Outline



- 1 Air Traffic Flow Management (ATFM)
- 2 Multicriteria Optimization
- 3 Multicriteria ATFM Formulations / Conclusions

Airport arrival rate

Runways 28R,28L



Airspace capacity



ATFM formulation

$$x_{f,k,t} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if flight } f \text{ has} \\ & \text{departed from / flown through / arrived at} \\ & \text{airport / airspace sector } k \\ & \text{before time } t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

ATFM formulation

$$\min \sum_{f \in F} \left[(-c_f^a) \sum_{t \in T_{f,P(f,N_f)}} x_{f,P(f,N_f),t} + (c_f^a - c_f^g) \sum_{t \in T_{f,P(f,1)}} x_{f,P(f,1),t} \right]$$

$$\sum_{f:P(f,1)=k} (x_{f,k,t} - x_{f,k,t-1}) \leq D_{k,t} \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{A}, t \in \mathcal{T}$$

$$\sum_{f:P(f,N_f)=k} (x_{f,k,t} - x_{f,k,t-1}) \leq A_{k,t} \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{A}, t \in \mathcal{T}$$

$$\sum_{f:P(f,i)=k, i < N_f} (x_{f,k,t} - x_{f,P(f,i+1),t}) \leq S_{k,t} \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{S}, t \in \mathcal{T}$$

$$x_{f,k,t-1} - x_{f,k,t} \leq 0 \quad \forall f \in F, k \in \rho_f, t \in T_{f,k}$$

$$x_{f,P(f,i),t} - x_{f,P(f,i-1),t} - \beta_{f,P(f,i-1)} \leq 0 \quad \forall f \in F, 2 \leq i \leq N_f, t \in T_{f,P(f,i)}$$

$$x_{f_2,P(f_2,1),t} - x_{f_1,P(f_1,N_{f_1}),t} - \chi_{f_2} \leq 0 \quad \forall (f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{C}, t \in T_{f_2,P(f_2,1)}$$

Efficiency and equity

“a primary objective of the [Federal Aviation Administration’s Air Traffic Management] functions is to provide fair and equitable access” (Vossen et al., 2010)

an air traffic flow management problem “formulation should essentially consider a bi-criterion approach, enabling the efficient study of the trade-off curve between” equity and efficiency (Fearing et al., 2009)

Environmental impacts

Increasingly, we are concerned about environmental impacts

Noise

Fuel use

NO_x

SO_x

CO

HC

Air traffic flow management decisions have large environmental impacts (Carlier et al., 2007)

A multicriteria approach

The goal of this research is to show the trade-offs between

Efficiency

Equity

Environmental impacts

We want to get a feel for the Pareto frontier.

Do we need to find each and every point on the frontier?

Outline

- 1 Air Traffic Flow Management (ATFM)
- 2 **Multicriteria Optimization**
- 3 Multicriteria ATFM Formulations / Conclusions

The weighted sum method

$$\min \quad (\lambda)z_1 + (1 - \lambda)z_2$$

s.t.

Uses a composite objective function which is a linear combination of distinct objectives

(penalize inequity, price emissions)



Solutions to multicriteria problem

Supported non-dominated solutions

Points on boundary of convex hull of feasible region
(in objective space)

Nonsupported non-dominated solutions

All other Pareto-optimal policies



The weighted sum method

Correct: Returns non-dominated solutions

Computable: Does not break problem structure

but...

Not Complete: Finds only supported non-dominated solutions

May not show trade-off between objective functions

The weighted sum method

Correct: Returns non-dominated solutions

Computable: Does not break problem structure

but...

Not Complete: Finds only supported non-dominated solutions

May not show trade-off between objective functions

also...

You must select and parameterize a model combining objective functions.

Arbitrarily small changes in parameter values can lead to arbitrarily large changes in policy.

Two-phase methods

Phase 1: Find all supported efficient solutions

Phase 2: Find unsupported efficient solutions

Phase 1


The Dichotomic Approach

Apply lexicographic optimization to get 2 solutions

If two solutions are distinct, there is a gap



If there is a gap, use the weighted sum method

Repeat



Phase 2

We can now search for solutions in gaps left by Phase 1
Use elastic-constraint or other method to fill in gaps





The ϵ -constraint method

Start by optimizing with respect to objective 1

Constrain value of objective 2 to slightly less than current value

Resolve, if no solution exists STOP, otherwise Return to step 2



The elastic constraint method

$$\min \quad z_1 + (\lambda)s$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad z_2 - s \leq \epsilon$$

$$s \geq 0$$

....

The ϵ -cover method

Time could still be an issue.

We can stop the algorithm after a set amount of time.

Or we could use a relatively quick ϵ -cover approach.

Find a set of solutions that “cover” the Pareto frontier.

My conclusion: two-phase methods are ideal for ATFM

- We find the delay cost minimizing solution in the same amount of time as single-objective formulations.
- We find supported efficient solutions in the same amount of time as the weighted sum method.
- We find other Pareto-optimal solutions as time allows.

My conclusion: two-phase methods are ideal for ATFM

We find the delay cost minimizing solution in the same amount of time as single-objective formulations.

We find supported efficient solutions in the same amount of time as the weighted sum method.

We find other Pareto-optimal solutions as time allows.

We show trade-offs / many Pareto-optimal policies.
(Weighted sum methods may not.)

We don't have to come up with perfect models for the price of inequity or emissions.

Outline

- 1 Air Traffic Flow Management (ATFM)
- 2 Multicriteria Optimization
- 3 Multicriteria ATFM Formulations / Conclusions**

Reversals

Ration by Schedule (RBS) - flights are granted access to capacity constrained airports in a way that preserves the order of initial schedules.

A “reversal” occurs when the order with which a pair of flights is initially scheduled to use a capacity constrained airport is reversed. (Gupta and Bertsimas, 2010)

Minimizing delay costs and reversals

$$\min \sum_{f \in F} \left[(-c_f^a) \sum_{t \in T_{f,P(f,N_f)}} x_{f,P(f,N_f),t} + (c_f^a - c_f^g) \sum_{t \in T_{f,P(f,1)}} x_{f,P(f,1),t} \right]$$

$$\min \sum_{(f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{R}} r_{f_1, f_2}$$

$$\sum_{f: P(f,1)=k} (x_{f,k,t} - x_{f,k,t-1}) \leq D_{k,t} \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{A}, t \in \mathcal{T}$$

$$\sum_{f: P(f,N_f)=k} (x_{f,k,t} - x_{f,k,t-1}) \leq A_{k,t} \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{A}, t \in \mathcal{T}$$

$$\sum_{f: P(f,i)=k, i < N_f} (x_{f,k,t} - x_{f,P(f,i+1),t}) \leq S_{k,t} \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{S}, t \in \mathcal{T}$$

$$x_{f,k,t-1} - x_{f,k,t} \leq 0 \quad \forall f \in F, k \in \rho_f, t \in T_{f,k}$$

$$x_{f,P(f,i),t} - x_{f,P(f,i-1),t-\beta_{f,P(f,i-1)}} \leq 0 \quad \forall f \in F, 2 \leq i \leq N_f, t \in T_{f,P(f,i)}$$


$$x_{f_2,P(f_2,1),t} - x_{f_1,P(f_1,N_{f_1}),t-\chi_{f_2}} \leq 0 \quad \forall (f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{C}, t \in T_{f_2,P(f_2,1)}$$

$$x_{f_2,P(f_2,N_{f_2}),t} - x_{f_1,P(f_1,N_{f_1}),t} - r_{f_1, f_2} \leq 0 \quad \forall (f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{R}, t \in T_{f_2,P(f_2,N_{f_2})}$$

Minimizing delay costs and environmental impacts

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min \sum_{f \in F} \left[(-c_f^a) \sum_{t \in T_{f,P(f),N_f}} x_{f,P(f),N_f,t} + (c_f^a - c_f^g) \sum_{t \in T_{f,P(f),1}} x_{f,P(f),1,t} \right] \\
 & \min \sum_{f \in F} (e_f^a) \left[\sum_{t \in T_{f,P(f),N_f}} t(x_{f,P(f),N_f,t} - x_{f,P(f),N_f,t-1}) - \sum_{t \in T_{f,P(f),1}} t(x_{f,P(f),1,t} - x_{f,P(f),1,t-1}) \right] + \\
 & (e_f^g) \left[\sum_{t \in T_{f,P(f),1}} t(x_{f,P(f),1,t} - x_{f,P(f),1,t-1}) - E_{f,1} \right] \\
 & [\dots \text{ as before } \dots]
 \end{aligned}$$

Sample trade-off curve



Conclusion

Found evidence of competing objectives for ATFM

Suggested formal multicriteria optimization is appropriate

A two-phase approach appears best

Further research needed to improve formulations

Realism

Computational concerns