## Script Concordance Self-Assessment After the Activity

		②Then, how would you characterize its quality and utility?				
	1) If you receive the following qualitative feedback,	Poorly written	Poorly written		Well written but	Well written and
	1) If you receive the following qualitative reedback,	but likely to	and unlikely to		unlikely to	likely to change
		change practice	change practice		change practice	practice
1	It's always a pleasure to work with Dr. X!					
2	Presentations are often brief and sometimes confusing.					
	There needs to be more details.					
3	Overestimates her sense of knowledge. Doesn't know					
	what she doesn't know					
4	Doesn't seem interested in knowing how medicine is					
	financed.					
5	Draws up plans based on patient preferences. Would					
	recommend he keep doing that.					
6	Forgot to get informed consent before joint aspiration!					
7	I suspect he is a superficial learner he needs to read					
	more					
8	Dr. XX is not really reliable. I've asked him to do					
	several things and he just didn't do it.					
9	Runs the team well. She should consider delegating					
	more tasks to students and residents on service.					
10	I've been impressed by how she incorporates feedback					
	into practice. She's gotten a lot better compared to six					
	months ago.					