Triaging Admissions: A Survey of Internal Medicine Resident Experiences and Perceptions and Recommendations on Inpatient Triage Education
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INTRODUCTION

Transitions of care (TOCs) have been identified as critically important for patient safety. The Joint Commission Center for Transforming Healthcare, World Health Organization, and national societies have emphasized the importance of TOCs.1,2 The literature on TOCs has focused on inpatient to outpatient transitions, and handovers within the hospital.1 Inpatient to outpatient TOCs emphasize communication at discharge and medicine reconciliation to prevent adverse events and readmissions.4 In contrast, the process of admitting patients from outpatient to inpatient settings has only recently begun to receive attention. Traditionally, primary care and specialty physicians admitted their own patients to the hospital. With the increasing complexity of health care systems and separation of the outpatient and inpatient settings, this transition is generally managed by an inpatient physician/hospitalist or a “triagist.”5-7

The increased complexity and siloing of clinicians is even more pronounced in medical schools and teaching hospitals,3 which typically care for the most complex patients, whose care requires diagnostic and therapeutic services not readily available throughout the community.8 The presence of learners who rotate between services also contributes to care discontinuities.9 For medical patients, assessing patients for admission had traditionally been done by the on-call internal medicine resident; however, this function is now increasingly performed by faculty physicians or dedicated triagists.5 Several factors have influenced this shift: the growth of hospital medicine, changes to residency such as duty
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hour restrictions, increased attention on admission appropriateness, and efficiency of patient flow.

With this shift in the admission process, a potential gap in internal medicine resident education has emerged. Much of the TOC education for residents has been on the inpatient to outpatient transitions. The transition from the outpatient to the inpatient setting and the process of triaging inpatient admissions are unique educational opportunities for internal medicine resident education that could encompass clinical assessment, but also systems-knowledge specific to this TOC. We sought to assess resident experiences and perceptions in the role of triaging inpatient admissions and define areas of potential curricular opportunities.

METHODS

Ten nationally diverse medical schools were recruited from the research committee session at the 2014 Society of Hospital Medicine national meeting and the 2014 Society of General Internal Medicine southern regional meeting. Site representatives were identified and completed questionnaires about their medical schools.

Setting and Participants

We collected data from internal medicine residents at 10 medical schools beginning in January 2018. Program size ranged from 25 to 50 residents (3 programs), 51 to 75 residents (1 program), 76 to 100 residents (3 programs), to more than 100 residents (3 programs). At each site, faculty served as site representatives. A prior publication describes participating sites.

Survey Development

We used the demographic information obtained from each school and information from a pilot study at one school to develop a survey to assess resident views, attitudes, and perceptions about the triagist role. The initial survey was sent to all site representatives and modified through iterative discussion and refinement. This survey was piloted at a single site to assess for ease of use.

The first portion of the survey requested respondent demographic information: postgraduate year (PGY), age, sex, training program and hospitals, and career plans after residency. The remainder of the survey asked about experience/exposure to triaging; attitudes toward triage work and need for triage training; interpersonal relationships; systems-based practice; and qualities of an effective and ineffective triagist. Experience questions were single answer, while qualities of effective triagists allowed open-ended answers. The questions were assessed using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree. Questions on resident exposure and experience to the triagist role include whether the experience was during medical school or residency training and during what type of rotation. Resident perceptions of triage training during residency included whether their program had a specific triage curriculum.

Survey Administration

We sent surveys to 1057 internal medicine residents. Contact information was provided by each site representative. Residents received the survey by e-mail (Appendix). Responses were anonymous, but non-responders were tracked by the survey system and received up to 5 reminder e-mails. The survey was administered in January 2018 and was made available for 6 weeks. Respondents who submitted incomplete surveys were sent an e-mail reminder to complete the survey. If at least 70% of the survey questions were completed by a respondent, those answers were included in the analysis.

Data Analysis

Quantitative. Survey responses for Likert items were collapsed into 3 categories (agree, neutral, disagree), and the distribution of responses was calculated for each question within PGY groups. We combined the small number of PGY-4s with PGY-3s. The Chi-squared test was used to evaluate differences across year groups.

Qualitative. Responses to open-ended questions were analyzed using thematic analysis. Three authors read and analyzed responses; codes were developed based on response content. Codes were assessed for similarities or overlap, then grouped into themes by the reviewers. A table of the themes with supporting quotes was subsequently agreed upon by all 3 authors. Themes were organized into domains from the data and the literature. These domains were described and reviewed by site representatives to create a consensus description.
The University of Texas Health San Antonio Institutional Review Board approved the study as exempt.

**PROGRAM EVALUATION RESULTS**

**Site Resident Triage Experience and Curriculum Characteristics**

Residents performed triagist or admission point-of-contact roles at 2 hospitals, and one was a required rotation. All residents reported having triaging responsibilities during the inpatient medicine, intensive care, and night float rotations. Five programs had a formal orientation to triagist activities: 4 were verbal orientation on the first day of service and one was written. Two programs had a specific curriculum dedicated to triaging. One of these included mandatory triage training during the required hospital medicine rotation.

**Survey Results**

The survey response rate was 31% (n = 332). Three hundred thirty-two residents responded, with 306 completing all questions. Fifty percent of respondents self-identified as female and 50% as male.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>PGY-1</th>
<th>PGY-2</th>
<th>PGY-3 &amp; 4</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I had adequate training in triaging during residency.</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>&lt; .0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I enjoy triage work.</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I prefer triage work to other residency roles.</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When I am triaging, I am more nervous compared with other roles as a resident.</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When triaging, I frequently have differing opinions regarding patient management.</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A patient must meet criteria for admission to be admitted.</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The relationship between hospitalists and emergency department providers is positive in my health care system.</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>&lt; .0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have a positive relationship with the emergency department providers.</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
increasingly common.5,6,14 Mastering these skills is increasingly important, as the triage physician role has become
existent rotations. The importance residents place on the triagist skill for admission could be developed in the outpatient set-
In a recent study by Amick and Bann6 found that triage admission discordance is “prevalent,” and most pro-
nounced with non-medicine services. Based on qualitative analysis, our residents identify effective triagists as “approachable, flexible, collaborative, diplomatic, fair”; therefore, the subcompetency of Interpersonal and Communication Skills 2, “facilitate conflict resolution between and amongst consultants when disagreement exists,” should not be designated an aspirational milestone Level 5 in this core competency.13 Rather, the goal should be to educate residents to expect disagreement, seeing it as an opportunity for growth in collaboration and shared decision-making. Faculty physicians in the triagist role need to model effective interactions for residents. In addition, there are opportunities to create training tools and assessments targeted to the subcompetencies.

This study has limitations. Our participating schools were not randomly chosen and may not be reflective of all medical schools. However, they represent a broad array of facility types, group sizes, and geographic regions.5 Although our resident response rate was lower at 31%, we feel the even distribution across PGYs provided depth and breadth to the data obtained. Additionally, there is a difference in number of resi-
dents responding from each school, due to a combina-
tion of size of residency and the response rate. Those institutions with more responses did influence the over-
all percentages shown in Table 1, but the trend across years of training was not driven by number of responses per site. Our data are also based on self-reporting and could be subject to recall bias. Although our quantitative analysis was organized into defined themes and subsequent domains, we recognize that there is overlap in the themes and domains.

**DISCUSSION**

We assessed resident perceptions of the triagist role at 10 medical schools. Approximately 90% of residents believe that triaging is an important area of training, regardless of future career plans. Despite being perceived by residents as a necessary skill set, only one program had a dedicated triagist rotation; 7 programs had some triaging on other rotations, and 2 reported lit-
tle to no exposure. Residents did not feel that outpatient practice contributed to the knowledge needed for the triaging role. This finding was a surprise because we had hypothesized that skills to assess appropriateness for admission could be developed in the outpatient setting. The importance residents place on the triagist skill set, coupled with the lack of formal experiences or cur-
ricula, lead us to conclude that more attention should be paid to how this skill set is taught during internal medicine residency, either through dedicated rotations or more explicit incorporation of these experiences into existent rotations. Mastering these skills is increasingly important, as the triage physician role has become increasingly common.5,6,14

While the majority of PGY-3s and PGY-4s reported feeling adequately trained for triaging activities, they also felt increasing anxiety about the role, which suggests gaps in their educational expe-
rience. We hypothesize that these gaps are in 2 areas. First, systems-based knowledge is critical to the role of the triagist. Systems knowledge is often implicit, and learning it is grounded in spending time in specific clinical settings. While residents integrate some systems-based knowledge during their rotations, they may not have sufficient implicit systems-based knowledge to navigate movement of patients across multiple settings. In many internal medicine rotations, the residents are “silied” to a specific team or service, such as caring for patients already admitted to the hospital. In contrast, triaging skills require operating at the intersection of multiple clinical services and understanding capabilities/limitations of each local system as well as practices around admissions.5 This implicit knowledge could inform a triagist-specific curriculum, and could be mapped to System-Based Practice 3: Physicians Role in the Health Care System—Level 2: “Describe how components of a complex health care system are interrelated, and how this impacts patient care.”13

The second potential gap encompasses collaborative conflict management and negotiation skills, spec-
cifically, the subcompetency of “Interpersonal and Communication Skills 2: Interprofessional and Team Communication.”13 The decision to admit from the emergency department can be complex and a source of conflict/disagreement. A better understand-
ing of different priorities between emergency medicine and internal medicine physicians, such as stabilization and disposition vs making a diagnosis, may decrease potential conflict. Leaders in both disci-
plines have called for interprofessional collabora-
tion, but the data suggest that relationships across specialties have continued room for growth.15,16

**Thematic Analysis**

We received 244 responses (73%) to the question “What qualities does an effective triagist have?”. We identified themes related to effective triagist qualities, and themes were grouped into 7 domains (Table 2). Selected quotes were included. To facilitate development of a curriculum that would support the growth of effective triagist skills, we mapped Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education Internal Medicine Milestones 2.0 to the domains.13 All themes were mapped to existent subcompetencies. The level of the milestone selected is based, by the authors, on the minimum skill set necessary to practice independently as a triagist.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domains and Definitions</th>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Representative Quotes</th>
<th>Milestones 2.0*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communication, negoti-</td>
<td>Effective communicator, Collaborative, Diplomati</td>
<td>“Communication, excellent clinical knowledge, trust from the ED providers” ICS 1: Level 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ation, interpersonal</td>
<td>c, Respectful, Flexible, Receptive, Available</td>
<td>“Ability to be polite but firm when consultants who may be pushy or want inappropriate admissions.” ICS 2: Level 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skills: This domain en</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Ability to convince others of their thought process without being argumentative or causing others to feel defensive.” ICS 3: Level 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>passes collaborative interpersonal interactions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational skills:</td>
<td>Efficient, Able to multi-task, Decisive, Able to prioritize, Thorough</td>
<td>“Ability to plan strategically. Calm communication. Empathy. Knowledge of protocols and reasons for said protocols. Efficiency in mining data from the EMR.”</td>
<td>SBP 2: Level 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This domain encompas</td>
<td></td>
<td>“The ability to quickly glean the most important information from the chart and make a decision...”</td>
<td>Prof 3: Level 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ses effective use of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems and utilization</td>
<td>Different levels of care, Different floor/service capabilities, Understands local culture</td>
<td>“Ability to take the facility/staffing constraints into appropriate consideration with triaging, but to not let those constraints dictate disposition when it is at odds with patient needs.”</td>
<td>PC 4: Level 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>management knowl-</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Correctly identifying if a patient needs a higher level of care”.</td>
<td>SBP 2: Level 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edge: This domain en</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Always thinking about patient first and what would be best for them.”</td>
<td>SBP 3: Level 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>passes getting things done in the healthcare</td>
<td></td>
<td>“They should be diplomatic and build connections between services.”</td>
<td>Prof 4: Level 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system.</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Ability to advocate effectively for the patient in a collegial manner with physician colleagues to ensure patient is appropriately placed.”</td>
<td>ICS 1: Level 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudes (Personal qualities/attributes):</td>
<td>Advocacy, Patient-Centered, Problem solver, Empathic, Functions well under stress</td>
<td>“Being willing to see the patient if there are any disagreements.”</td>
<td>Prof 1: Level 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This domain encompas</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Able to think of the big picture plan.”</td>
<td>PC 3: Level 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ses patient-centeredness.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical skills: This domain en</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Being able to keep an open mind and run with multiple possible diagnoses, particularly ruling out the most dangerous and time sensitive ones first.”</td>
<td>PC 4: Level 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>passes medical and</td>
<td>Synthesizes data well, Recognizes acuity, Anticipates illness trajectory/course, Clinically astute, Assesses patient independently</td>
<td>“Being able to see the trajectory of the patient.”</td>
<td>PC 2: Level 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clinical judgement.</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Understands the limitations of both the ED and the medicine ward, and so is able to anticipate what needs to get done prior to transfer to the floor vs what can wait.”</td>
<td>MK 1: Level 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“Compassion for the consulting team”</td>
<td>PBLI 1: Level 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience: This domain en</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Compassion for the consulting team”</td>
<td>ICS 3: Level 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>passes time and repeated observation in an activity.</td>
<td>Does not anchor, recognizes acuity, Anticipates illness course, Asks appropriate questions, Understands when safe to discharge, Facilitates appropriate workup prior to admission</td>
<td>“Being able to keep an open mind and run with multiple possible diagnoses, particularly ruling out the most dangerous and time sensitive ones first.”</td>
<td>PC 1: Level 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“Being able to see the trajectory of the patient.”</td>
<td>PC 3: Level 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“Understands the limitations of both the ED and the medicine ward, and so is able to anticipate what needs to get done prior to transfer to the floor vs what can wait.”</td>
<td>PC 4: Level 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionalism: This domain en</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Compassion for the consulting team”</td>
<td>PBLI 1: Level 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>passes personal qualities and traits</td>
<td>Approachability/ Friendliness, Calmness, Patience, Professional, Fair, Confident, Polite</td>
<td>“Respectful of other providers, isn’t pushy, but takes into consideration differing opinions.”</td>
<td>Prof 3: Level 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICS = Interpersonal and Communication Skills; MK = Medical Knowledge; PBLI = Practice-Based Learning and Improvement; PC = Patient Care; Prof = Professionalism; SBP = Systems-Based Practice.

*Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education Internal Medicine Milestones 2.0. Milestones Level 1 (novice) to 5 (expert).
CONCLUSION
At 10 medical schools, resident learners identify the need for education in triaging skills. Consistent standards for curricula and rotations have not been created, leading to educational gaps and increasing levels of anxiety as residents progress through training. We recommend an emphasis on systems-based knowledge with a focus on local contextual considerations, and interpersonal communication aimed at conflict resolution and collaboration.
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APPENDIX RESIDENT SURVEY OF TRIAGING ROLE

Resident Survey of the Triaging Role

Record ID

Dear Residents,

The TRIAGIST Collaborative Group is conducting a survey of internal medicine resident physicians and hospitalists across multiple academic institutions regarding their role as a triagist.

Purpose: The TRIAGIST Collaborative Group aims to assess the views of hospitalists and internal medicine resident physicians in their role as a triagist and to identify possible education gaps in internal medicine residency training.

Risks/Benefits: Participation is voluntary and the survey results will be reported in aggregate and anonymously. The survey should take approximately 10 minutes. Completing this survey indicates your consent to participate.

If you have any difficulties with this survey, please contact Nancy ‘Kathy’ Tipton at Tiptonn@uthscsa.edu.

Thank you,

Sadie Trammell Velasquez, MD and Emily Wang, MD
UT Health and STVHCS, San Antonio

TRIAGIST Collaborative Group:
Maralyssa Bann, MD, University of Washington
Jagriti Chadha, MD, University of Kentucky
Joel Boggon, MD, Duke University
Sherwin Hsu, MD, UCLA
Jeff Liao, MD, Harvard Medical School
Tabatha Matthias, DO, University of Nebraska Medical Center
Tresa McNeal, MD, Scott and White, Texas A&M
Roxana Naderi, MD, University of Colorado
David Schmit, MD, UT Health, San Antonio
Khoshibhu Shah, MD, University of Colorado
Manivannan Veerasamy, MD, Michigan State University
Andrew White, MD, University of Washington

Definition of Triagist: Hospitalist who receives requests to assess and provide guidance in acutely ill patients, including but not limited to disposition and management.

*Please refer to the definition above while completing the following survey.

My current PGY level is:
- PGY1
- PGY2
- PGY3
- PGY4

What is your gender?
- Female
- Male
Confidential

What is your age?

☐ 24
☐ 25
☐ 26
☐ 27
☐ 28
☐ 29
☐ 30
☐ 31
☐ 32
☐ 33
☐ 34
☐ 35
☐ 36
☐ 37
☐ 38
☐ 39
☐ 40
☐ 41
☐ 42
☐ 43
☐ 44
☐ 45
☐ 46
☐ 47
☐ 48
☐ 49
☐ 50
☐ 51
☐ 52
☐ 53
☐ 54
☐ 55
☐ 56
☐ 57
☐ 58
☐ 59
☐ 60
☐ 61
☐ 62
☐ 63
☐ 64
☐ 65
☐ 66
☐ 67
☐ 68
☐ 69
☐ 70
☐ 71
☐ 72
☐ 73
☐ 74
☐ 75
Confidential

Please select the state you are CURRENTLY training in:
- Alabama
- Alaska
- Arizona
- Arkansas
- California
- Colorado
- Connecticut
- Delaware
- Florida
- Georgia
- Hawaii
- Idaho
- Illinois
- Indiana
- Iowa
- Kansas
- Kentucky
- Louisiana
- Maine
- Maryland
- Massachusetts
- Michigan
- Minnesota
- Mississippi
- Missouri
- Montana
- Nebraska
- Nevada
- New Hampshire
- New Jersey
- New Mexico
- New York
- North Carolina
- North Dakota
- Ohio
- Oklahoma
- Oregon
- Pennsylvania
- Rhode Island
- South Carolina
- South Dakota
- Tennessee
- Texas
- Utah
- Vermont
- Virginia
- Washington
- West Virginia
- Wisconsin
- Wyoming

05/13/2021 11:29am
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospital(s)</th>
<th>Hospital(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albert B. Chandler Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Texas VA Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver Health Medical Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver VA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke Regional Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke University Medical Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham VA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Samaritan Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harborview Medical Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexington VA Medical Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts General Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercy Health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska Medicine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive View - UCLA Medical Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSL / Rose Medical Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott &amp; White Memorial Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Texas VA Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum Health - Butterworth campus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Hospital (San Antonio, TX)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Colorado Anschutz Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Nebraska Medical Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Washington Medical Center Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA - Puget Sound</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please list the other hospital(s) where you train. (Please list the entire facility name and location.)

What are your future plans?
- Hospitalist
- Primary Care / Outpatient Clinic
- Fellowship / Sub-specialize
- Undecided
- Other

Please specify:
- Academic
- Private
- Public / Nonacademic
- Undecided

Please describe.

As a medical student, I was exposed to the job of a triage staff or similar role.
- Yes
- No
- I don't know

I have had some experience in the role of triaging during residency.
- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Describe the type and duration of your triaging experience:
- Required rotation during residency
- During a hospital medicine elective
- As a ward resident
- As an intensive care unit resident
- Other

Please explain:
Confidential

I learned some of the skill set for being a triagist as a resident during consulting rotations (e.g. subspecialty consults, Internal Medicine consults, Emergency Medicine rotation, Intensive Care Unit rotation)?

Definition of Triagist: Hospitalist that receives requests to assess and provide guidance in acutely ill patients, including but not limited to disposition and management.

Training / Evidence-Based Decision Making / Systems-Based Practice:

Indicate how much you agree with each of the following statements: If you have not had the experience described in the statement, please select ‘Not Applicable’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I have had adequate training in triaging during my residency.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I believe working in an outpatient setting helps develop my skills as a triagist.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I believe learning triaging is important whether my future practice will be inpatient, outpatient or both.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I enjoy triage work.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I prefer triage work to other residency roles (e.g. inpatient wards, consult, subspecialty electives).</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When I am triaging, I am more nervous than compared to other roles as a resident.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residency curricula should specifically include training for triaging.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When triaging, I frequently have differing opinions regarding patient management than the contacting provider.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency in the triaging role requires experience or on the job training.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Definition of Triagist: Hospitalist that receives requests to assess and provide guidance in acutely ill patients, including but not limited to disposition and management.

Inter-professional Relationships:
Indicate how much you agree with each of the following statements:

- The relationship between hospitalists and emergency department providers is positive in my healthcare system.
- I have a positive relationship with the emergency department providers.

Definition of Triagist: Hospitalist that receives requests to assess and provide guidance in acutely ill patients, including but not limited to disposition and management.

Systems-Based Practice:
Indicate how much you agree with each of the following statements:

- A patient must meet criteria for admission to be admitted.

What qualities does an effective triagist have?

Amazon Gift Card Registration
Once you hit the survey submit button you will automatically be redirected to register for a chance to win a $25.00 Amazon Gift Card for your participation. Your survey responses will be protected in a separate database and will not be linked to your personal contact information in any way. All contact information you enter is confidential and will not be shared.