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Workshop Faculty

• John Donnelly, MD – Christiana Care Health System

• Roles
  • Internal Medicine Residency Program Director
  • Med-Peds Faculty
  • Faculty Development Subcommittee Chair, AAIM Education Committee

• Experience
  • Organized Faculty Development and Resident as Teachers curriculum as an Associate Program Director
  • Struggled to find the right place, right time, right format, right material to meet the needs of all faculty
Workshop Faculty

• Alaka Ray, MD – Massachusetts General Hospital

• Roles
  • Associate Program Director for Ambulatory Medicine
  • Member, AAIM Education Committee, Faculty Development subcommittee

• Experience
  • Plunged into faculty development as an APD in 2011.
  • Charged with faculty development for outpatient preceptors, organize annual retreats, review evaluations, plan skills development
  • Participate in faculty development at the department/hospital/medical school level
Workshop Faculty

• Alpesh Amin – University of California, Irvine

• Roles
  • Professor & Chair, Department of Medicine, UC Irvine
  • APM Council
  • Member, AAIM Education Committee, Faculty Development subcommittee

• Experience
  • Focused on Department wide faculty development efforts over the past 9 years
  • Focused on wellness and burnout as Medical Staff President over the past year
  • Developed curriculum on faculty development in my past roles as Associate Residency Director and Medicine Clerkship Director
Workshop Faculty

• Bob Ficalora

• Roles
  • Remitting Relapsing Internal Medicine and Med-Peds Program Director
  • Former Ambulatory VP, Chair Education IT, Happily other admin free at Present

• Experience
  • Started doing faculty development as a new faculty member in 1987 around supporting resident and faculty teaching to teach.
  • Developed asynchronous just in time faculty development curriculum
  • New role of promoting faculty scholarship as the old guy on site
Overview

• Introductions / Welcome
• Sorting
• Defining Faculty Development Needs
• Group work #1 – Defining the needs of your faculty
• Adults as Learners
• Engaging faculty
• Group Work #2 – Tools and engagement
• Report Back and Networking
• Conclusions
Faculty Development Workshop Objectives

1. Participants shall be able to define the faculty development needs within their program
2. Participants will be able to apply the appropriate adult learning tools and methods in the faculty development program they are building
3. Participants will be able define best practice methods to assure meaningful participation in faculty development activities
Faculty Development Workshop

• Will use short, succinct presentations with emphasis on group work and report back
• Will encourage participants to make a faculty development goal
• Will sort participants into groups of varying experience level
  • Encourage networking – since we all struggle with faculty development
If you build it they will come........
Core inadequacy:
Just showing up and letting students/residents “experience” is good enough

Preceptor -- An expert or specialist, who gives practical experience and training to a student.

Faculty - Faculty comes from the Old French word faculté, which means “skill, accomplishment, or learning.”

All faculty can be preceptors, but not all preceptors are faculty

Our Approach is designed to develop skill, accomplishment and foster learning, not just for experts providing practical experience.
Faculty Development Failures - Reflections

• ‘Bolus’ format is ineffective*
  • Concentrated single dose
  • Inability to practice skills
• Lack of support = Time
• Web based only**
• Large group lecture format

Faculty Development Successes

• Longitudinal Models:
  “small doses with practice”
  • Stanford Faculty Development Program*
  • Senior Medical Resident Teaching (SMR-T)
  • Interactive small groups

• Incentive Based
  • Community of Educators (Guild)
  • Certification for Clinical Teaching
  • Opportunities for Teaching

*Skeff KM, Stratos GA, Mygdal W, DeWitt TA, Manfred L, Quirk M, et al. Faculty
Faculty Development Successes

• Commitment from Leadership
  • Department of Medicine
  • Residency Program
  • GME Administration

• Financial Support
Program Goals:

• To enhance versatility in clinical teaching
• To provide education frameworks to analyze clinical teaching
• To measure competency in clinical teaching
• To provide a forum for collegial exchange
• To promote the best education to our future faculty
• To comply with accreditation requirements
Program Outcomes*

Results For all participants combined, the adjusted MTE scores (mean; standard error) improved from baseline (3.80; 0.04) to completion (3.93; 0.04; P < .001). However, the bottom 20% had a significantly greater improvement in scores than the top 80% (score-change difference = 0.166, P < .001).

Conclusions We describe a low-intensity faculty development intervention that benefited all clinical teachers, but was particularly effective for underperforming teachers in internal medicine. The approach may be suitable for adoption or adaptation in other graduate medical education programs.

*Success of a Faculty Development Program for Teachers at the Mayo Clinic; Journal of Graduate Medical Education December 2014, Vol 6, No 4
Summary

• It Works
• Some folks even think its fun Fifty thousand Frenchmen can’t be wrong?
• Success of a Faculty Development Program for Teachers at the Mayo Clinic; Journal of Graduate Medical Education December 2014, Vol 6, No 4
Break Out

What are your faculty development needs?
Are there specific topics?
Are there differences for different types of faculty?
   Location of work
   Experience level
What has been tried in the past?
   What worked?
   What didn’t work?
What are the barriers to effective faculty development?
   Who in your group has a solution to overcome those barriers?
Who sets expectations for faculty development?
Repeat after me: “Faculty are Adults...”
Pedagogy vs. Andragogy

• Term coined by Malcolm Shepherd Knowles

• 5 Assumptions of Adult Learners

1. SELF-CONCEPT: dependent to self-directed
2. ADULT LEARNER EXPERIENCE: personal experience is a resource
3. READINESS TO LEARN: driven by developmental tasks of social roles
4. ORIENTATION TO LEARNING: immediacy of application, problem-centered
5. MOTIVATION TO LEARN: internal motivation

Andragogy: 4 principles of application

1. Adults need to be involved in the planning and evaluation of their instruction.
2. Experience (including mistakes) provides the basis for the learning activities.
3. Adults are most interested in learning subjects that have immediate relevance and impact to their job or personal life.
4. Adult learning is problem-centered rather than content-oriented.

Self-Directed Learning

• Set their own learning goals
• Locate appropriate resources
• Decide on learning methods
• Evaluate their own progress

The Teacher is a Facilitator, not the Purveyor of Knowledge

Experiential Learning

- Games, simulation, case studies, role play
- Experience is a resource.
- Evaluating one’s own experience is a form of learning.
- Dwell time is not proportionate to quality of learning.

Kolb D. Experiential Learning: Experience as the source of learning and development (Englewood Cliffs, Prentice Hall, 1984)
Be Aware of Your Audience

- The Characteristics of Adult Learners matter.
  - Learning environment
  - Culture and Background
  - Gender
  - Stage of Life
Two more concepts...

• Transformational Learning and Critical Reflection
  • Examine assumptions and challenge them
  • Find a new perspective
  • Reflect on previous experiences in new and critical ways

• Problem Based Learning and Multiple Intelligences Teaching Approach
  • The group finds a question that is of interest
  • The group determines the learning goal
  • The group (facilitated by faculty) pursues the broad learning goals
  • Reflection on how/why goals were/weren’t achieved

Weber, retrieved 9/19/17.
Characteristics of Effective Adult Learning Programs

1. Safe and supportive environment
2. Encouragement of experimentation and creativity
3. Treatment of adult learners as respected peers
4. Self-directed learning
5. Optimal pacing (challenging just beyond current abilities)
6. Active learning, interaction, and dialogue
7. Regular student-to-faculty feedback mechanisms

“Learning is a human activity; training is for dogs and horses.” (Knowles)
Thoughts from the Chair…

• Department wide faculty development efforts in teaching and research
  • How to get started?
  • Who to involve?
  • What to measure?
  • How to ensure sustainability?
  • Tools to develop
  • Use of Annual Retreats in faculty development
Break Out

Who are you trying to engage?
- All Faculty – or just a subset
- Med-Peds faculty
- Ambulatory
- Hospital
- Specialists

What methods will you use to help faculty learn?
Start small:
- What is one thing you want to accomplish?
- What resources do you have that can help you with?
  - Content
  - Delivery
- Who do you want to collaborate with?

How will you engage your faculty?
Conclusions

• What ideas do you want to take home today...
  • Needs
  • Approach
  • Engagement

• Plan
  • Start small
  • Identify target audience
  • Commit to the plan now
  • Make a deal with someone in your group to share experiences
Contact:

- John Donnelly – jdonnelly@christianacare.org
- Alaka Ray – aray2@partners.org
- Robert Ficalora – rficalora@billingsclinic.org
- Alpesh Amin – anamin@uci.edu