



JAIC Review Questions

These questions match the questionnaire on the Editorial Manager reviewer portal. You may use this version to prepare your answers, then copy and paste into the matching fields when submitting your review. Please let the *JAIC* administrators know if you have trouble with the reviewer portal at carmina@culturalheritage.org.

USE OF GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI)

To protect confidentiality and intellectual property, **editors must avoid** uploading unpublished manuscripts or related data into Generative AI tools. Use of manuscripts in Generative AI systems may give rise to risks around confidentiality, infringement of proprietary rights and data, and other risks.

Peer reviewers should not be using Generative AI for analysis or to summarize submitted articles or portions thereof in the creation of their reviews. As such, peer reviewers must not upload unpublished manuscripts or project proposals, including any associated files, images or information, into Generative AI tools.

For more details, please visit: <https://taylorandfrancis.com/our-policies/ai-policy>.

RECOMMENDATION

You may select from the options below. Please note that Accept Revised Manuscript is only for articles in revision, not first submissions.

Accept: The paper is perfect as submitted and is ready for publication with minor proofreading.

Minor revisions & resubmit: The paper is almost ready for publication, requiring only simple revisions that could be accomplished within one month.

Major revisions & resubmit: More extensive revisions are required (such as rethinking interpretations of the data, finding and incorporating missed references into the narrative, rethinking the structure and presentation of data tables, adding illustrations, etc.). This category of acceptance may require two to three months for revisions to be completed.

Reject & resubmit: The topic and basic approach of the treatment or experiments are appropriate to *JAIC*, but some of the work needs to be redone, or additional experiments need to be incorporated. Note that “Reject may resubmit” does NOT mean that the paper is unsuitable for *JAIC*, nor is it a negative response. It simply means that the requested revisions will probably require more than three months to complete. If you choose this option, emphasize in your comments that the author is, in fact, encouraged to resubmit the article and that the recommendation is not a negative one, but rather one which will allow more time for revisions.

Reject – manuscript is unsound: The paper is not ready for publication anywhere. The paper has incorrect or inconclusive research, makes no contribution to the literature, and would not be usable in its current form.

Reject – manuscript is unsuitable: The paper is unsuitable for *JAIC*. If you have ideas for a more appropriate venue, please feel free to mention them for the author's benefit.

As a thank you and to acknowledge the contribution of our reviewers, the journal may publish a list of the names of those who have reviewed at the end of the year. This will not be linked to any specific paper and will only be done if the list of reviewers is long enough to protect the anonymity of the review process for individual papers. If you would prefer for your name not to be included in a published list of reviewers, please indicate this below.

- Include my name
- Do not include my name

If anyone else was involved in writing this report, for example a student or a colleague, and they agree to be recognized for this work please provide their name and email address in the free text box below. (Limit 200 Characters)

[enter names if applicable]

1. ***Does the submission fall within the scope of the journal, as defined in the instructions?** (Limit 20,000 Characters)

[Yes or no, and add comments or clarification if needed]

2. ***Is the manuscript sufficiently comprehensive and critical?** (Limit 20000 Characters)

[Yes or no, and add comments or clarification to help the editors and authors]

3. **Is the purpose of the paper clearly defined? Does the author focus effectively on it?** (Limit 20000 Characters)

[Yes or no, and add comments or clarification to help the editors and authors]

4. **Is the length of this submission appropriate to its content and originality?** (Limit 20000 Characters)

[Yes or no, and add comments or clarification to help the editors and authors]

5. **Is the submission complete (including all figures, figure captions and tables)?** (Limit 20000 Characters)

[Yes or no, and add comments or clarification to help the editors and authors]

6. **Do the title and abstract together give an adequate summary of the paper and mention the key concepts and conclusions?** (Limit 20000 Characters)

[Yes or no, and add comments or clarification to help the editors and authors]

7. Do the conclusions adequately reflect the work reported? (Limit 20000 Characters)
[Yes or no, and add comments or clarification to help the editors and authors]

8. **How would you rate the quality of the English?** (Limit 20000 Characters)

9. **Is the list of references/notes appropriate and comprehensive?** (Limit 20000 Characters)

[Yes or no, and add comments or clarification to help the editors and authors]

10. **Is there relevant recent work published in the Journal that the authors have omitted to refer to?** (Limit 20000 Characters)

11. **If you are recommending major revisions, may we send you the revised manuscript to review?** (Limit 20000 Characters)

[Yes or no, and add comments if needed]

12. **If published, is the paper likely to be cited?** (Limit 20000 Characters)

[Yes or no, and add comments if needed]

13. **Does the article make a contribution to the conservation literature?** Please explain. (Limit 20000 Characters)

14. **Is the article clearly organized and well presented?** If not, please recommend changes. Note: Basic proofreading can be handled by the publisher. (Limit 20000 Characters)

[Yes or no, and add comments or clarification to help the editors and authors]

15. *AIC requires original material that has not been published previously (except in the AIC Preprints, specialty group preprints or postprints, or conference postprints without widespread circulation) and that has not been submitted to another journal. **Does the article meet this criterion? If not, explain why not.** (Limit 20000 Characters)

[Yes or no, and add comments or clarification to help the editors and authors]

16. **Please indicate errors or inconsistencies in conservation or scientific terminology.** (Limit 20000 Characters)

17. **Do you have other recommendations for strengthening this paper? If the paper is unacceptable, but promising, what specific work does it need?** (Limit 20000 Characters)

Reviewer Comments to Author

(These comments will be shared with the author without your name attached)

[Your text here]

Reviewer Confidential Comments to Editor

(These comments are for the editor only and will not be shared with the author)

[Your text here]

To save your work and come back to it, please only use the “Save & Submit Later” button and click “Proceed” when you are done. You can also upload documents with your comments added. We will remove your name and initials if needed.

—*Revised March 2026*