Dear Colleagues:

It has been an exciting five years serving the membership of the Society of Reproductive Surgeons. As the President of SRS, I had big shoes to fill and great expectations to match the standards of previous presidents. I could not be more pleased with the accomplishments of the SRS.

My vision and focus as President has been the revitalization of reproductive surgery among the members of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM), by means of furthering educational opportunities and increasing membership activities. I am pleased to report we have taken great strides in both directions. The continuing education for the next generation of reproductive surgeons is of the utmost importance. We established an intensive didactic and hands-on surgical boot camp tailored to reproductive endocrinology fellows and junior faculty. Following the success of our first two SRS-SREI Boot Camps, we are working towards making the 2018 boot camp even better with plans to increase available spots to meet high demand.

The third annual SRS-SREI Boot Camp will be held again at Houston Methodist Institute for Technology, Innovation, & Education (MITIE), January 26-28, 2018. Additionally, the Society of Reproductive Surgeons, with tremendous support from the executive leadership of ASRM, has created and launched a new one-year intensive SRS/ASRM Fellowship in Minimally Invasive Reproductive Surgery. This fellowship will fill the need for further training in reproductive surgery among select graduating REI Fellows with an interest in advancing their surgical skills. The first cohort of Fellows will begin July 2018.

In addition, under the editorial guidance of Dr. Jeff Goldberg, Dr. Jay Sandlow, and myself, the SRS Manual of Reproductive Surgery, whose authorship is made up entirely of SRS members, was submitted to Cambridge University Press this year, and will be available soon. The book is liberally illustrated and includes links to videos in the SRS video library directing traffic to our website.

Lastly, is the revival of a great debate by Dr. Alan DeCherney and Dr. David Adamson, recipients of the 2017 SRS Distinguished Surgeon Award at the ASRM Scientific Congress & Expo. The debate is titled “Reproductive Surgery is Obsolete in the Era of Current Advancements in IVF”.

Best regards,

Mindy S. Christianson, M.D.
I believe the development of the SRS/SREI Boot Camp, SRS Fellowship, publication of an SRS surgical manual, and the new features at our annual meetings will attract Fellows and surgeons of all levels of experience, and ultimately enhance our society and our focus on reproductive surgery.

I must share credit for the accomplishments achieved during my tenure with my hard-working, innovative, and ardent SRS officers and committee members. Thank you, for dedicating your time and expertise. I also want to express my gratitude to the ASRM Board of Directors, ASRM’s visionary CEO, Dr. Richard Reindollar, outstanding Chief Scientific Officer, Susan Gitlin, as well as their exceptional support staff, particularly Deb Hanson, Susanna Scarbrough, Leslie Treece, Dani Mosley, Keith Ray and others, for their continued support and commitment to the SRS goals. Most of all, thank you to all SRS members for honoring me with the opportunity to serve on your board. It has indeed been a rewarding experience.

As I join our distinguished past presidents, I assure you I will remain strongly involved in moving SRS toward our goals. I go forward knowing that SRS will be under the brilliant directive of the upcoming president, Dr. Samantha Pfeiffer, along with the prestigious and avid board of SRS, as well as continued support and guidance of ASRM leadership. My hope for the future is to see the Society of Reproductive Surgeons continue the advancement of reproductive surgery serving our patients worldwide.

Regards,
Ceana H. Nezhat, M.D., FACS, FACOG
President, Society of Reproductive Surgeons, 2017

Message from Dr. Nezhat, cont.

The SRS website team has made great strides updating the site over the past year. In addition to a modern layout, the new format highlights multiple opportunities to get more out of membership. These include several that are hidden until one logs in.

The discussion/blog section, championed by Dr. Bhagavath and Dr. Christianson, is now increasingly active. The goal of this section is to discuss management strategies for multiple surgical situations, particularly those more dependent on expert opinion and with more limited evidence-based medicine.

Literature reviews continue to address important issues in our field. We particularly appreciate the hard work by Dr. Estes, Dr. Christianson, Dr. Knudtson, and Dr. Rushing (and we’re always looking for more contributors). Under the “About” tab is a link to a page describing SRS fellowship opportunities. This page is visible to nonmembers, and highlights the one-year post-graduate fellowship for REI’s who wish to further advance their minimally invasive surgical skills. We greatly appreciate Dr. Ceana Nezhat in Atlanta, GA, Dr. Camran Nezhat in Palo Alto, CA, and Dr. Charles Miller in Park Ridge, IL, for leading the way with the first three SRS fellowships.

Last but not least, this newsletter will be added to the newsletter tab, where archives of SRS’s highlights will be recorded.

Though the website has come a long way, it wouldn’t be where it is without Rusty Howell, Dani Mosley, and Julie Beckham constantly working behind the scenes. Moreover, much of the structure derives from Dr. Lindheim who started the reprodsurgery.org page and maintained it for years. With future videos and other opportunities being explored, though much has been done, far more is to come!
On January 27-28, 2017, the Society of Reproductive Surgeons (SRS) and the Society for Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility (SREI) co-sponsored the second annual SRS/SREI Surgical Bootcamp, held at Houston Methodist Institute for Technology, Innovation & Education (MITIE) in Houston, TX. The Surgical Bootcamp included intensive two-day didactics and hands-on educational programs for 40 Fellows in Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility (REI), and featured 14 expert faculty in gynecological and reproductive surgery, led by program chair, Dr. Samantha Pfeifer. Lectures, hands-on simulation, and cadaver model training were utilized to show various aspects, including tubal anastomoses, retroperitoneal dissection, knot tying, and advanced hysteroscopic techniques.

Dr. Pfeifer also provided the first of two keynote presentations, which addressed the role of the reproductive surgeon in REI. Dr. Antonio Gargiulo provided the second keynote on the topic of uterine transplant in the U.S. The didactic lectures were structured as micro-lectures featuring single, well-defined topics with key learning points that were reinforced in the hands-on lab sessions.

The first day of lectures featured topics on the role of robotics in reproductive surgery, port placement, anatomy of retroperitoneum, hysteroscopy, energy sources, principles of suturing, treatment of septate uteri, salpingostomy/salpingectomy, adhesion prevention, minimally-invasive surgery in the obese patient, and embryo-transfer techniques.

The following day, the lectures were specific to topics on fertility-sparing endometriosis surgery, pain-reducing endometriosis surgery, managing ureteral and bladder injuries, ovarian/tubal pathology and ovarian reserve-sparing surgery, tubal anastomosis options, diagnosing double uteri, myomectomy, tissue extraction, fibroids, managing difficult cervix and intrauterine adhesions, and the experience and skill of the laparoscopic surgeon.

The two-day program also included two live cadaveric demonstrations, the first of which focused on pararectal, paravesicle, rectovaginal, vesicovaginal, and presacral spaces, as well as identification of the ureter. The second live cadaveric demonstration focused on energy sources that included monopolar/bi-polar, ultrasonic energy, laser, and plasma energy.

The event received positive feedback from participants. “I thought the course was fantastic — well run, organized, quality content and so valuable for REI fellows,” said Dr. Linnea Goodman, a participant. “The staff were knowledgeable and attentive, and the program ran smoothly. The resources available, including cadavers, equipment, simulators, and novel innovations, were phenomenal and such a benefit for trainees.”

Day one concluded with a networking dinner allowing the Fellows to personally connect with all the faculty members, including SRS President, Dr. Ceana Nezhat.

“Interacting with the Fellows was so rewarding,” remarked Dr. Steven Lindheim, a faculty member for the event. “To see them yearning for the latest and greatest information on techniques reinforces the need for continuing education; and being part of it was an honor. Working with the faculty and seeing how they perform techniques reinforce the unique skill set that all reproductive surgeons have. And sharing it among colleagues was a learning experience even for the seasoned veteran like myself.”

The 2018 SRS/SREI Surgical Boot Camp will be held at MITIE, January 26-27, 2018.
Indications for Repair
In the infertile male population, the indication for varicocele repair is a surgically treatable cause of infertility. Therefore, the urologic community has been at the forefront of developing and refining techniques in varicocele repair.

Principles of Repair
Varicoceles are a dilation of the pampiniform plexus that is intimately intertwined with the testicular artery, providing drainage from the testicle. While the exact mechanism of spermatogenic impairment is unknown, one theory is that the increased temperature of the testicular microenvironment from the dilated vessels results in impairment. The general principle of varicocele repair is to ligate these dilated venous structures while preserving the arterial blood supply and lymphatic vessels. With this goal in mind, a variety of techniques, both surgical and radiologic, have been developed to address varicoceles.

The surgical considerations for varicocele repair are the site of surgery and indication for repair are the site of surgery and size discrepancy. Furthermore, 44% of patients treated with varicocelectomy were able to produce sperm in their ejaculate and avoid surgical sperm retrieval altogether.

Minimally Invasive Reproductive Surgery Fellowship Update
Dr. Jeffrey M. Goldberg, M.D.

SRS is excited to announce that it has established a one-year fellowship program in minimally invasive reproductive surgery. The enthusiasm of the REI fellows at the annual SRS Surgical Boot Camp and the favorable results of an online survey of current REI fellows regarding their desire to obtain surgical training after REI fellowship were the impetus to develop this program. It is essentially a one-year preceptorship with a high volume, master reproductive surgeon. The following are the current programs with others to be added as needed to meet the demand.

Nezhat Medical Center, Atlanta, GA, Program Director: Ceana Nezhat, M.D.

Camran Nezhat Institute, Palo Alto, CA, Program Director: Camran Nezhat, M.D.

The Advanced Gynecologic Surgery Institute, Park Ridge, IL, Program Director: Charles Miller, M.D.

The first fellows will begin in July 2018, and online applications are available on the SRS website. Preference will be given to graduating REI fellows but anyone who has completed an OB/GYN residency is eligible to apply. The program is not ACGME or ABOG approved, but graduates of the program will receive a certificate of completion from SRS/ASRM. Research is strongly encouraged and supported, but not required.

There is good evidence-based data showing that reproductive surgery is more cost-effective than IVF in many cases, and is often preferred by patients as it is more “natural” than IVF. Reproductive surgery also is complimentary to IVF, as the surgical management of pelvic pathology can improve IVF results. It is unfortunate that most REIs have abandoned reproductive surgery or relegated it to general or minimally invasive gynecologic surgeons. Reproductive surgeons have a different skill set and approach to surgery, which could lead to improved outcomes. REIs who can operate are more “complete” physicians who can offer their patients all of the available treatment options. Since most REI fellows are not receiving adequate training in reproductive surgery, SRS has created this fellowship to provide them with the needed skills. It is our intention that graduates of the program will deliver excellent surgical care to their patients, and will serve to teach these skills to their trainees to benefit the next generation of patients. Hopefully, they also will become actively involved with SRS to assure the future of reproductive surgery.
Percutaneous varicocele embolization is a minimally invasive approach performed by interventional radiologists. The approach is either via the common femoral or internal jugular vein, and either coil, plug or sclerosing agent is applied at the pampiniform plexus. The advantages are that this procedure may be done under conscious sedation, decreased post-operative pain relative to surgery, and decreased risk of hydrocele formation. The main disadvantages are failed treatment (13.05%) and increased recurrence rate relative to surgery (12.7%), and additionally the increased radiation exposure.

With the widespread adoption of robotic techniques in other areas of urology, the robotic subinguinal varicocelectomy has been described. In this approach, the spermatic cord is identified and elevated into the field via an inguinal or subinguinal approach, and the robotic platform is brought over the spermatic cord to begin dissection. The potential benefits are the magnification of vision in the robotic console, precise and steady movement facilitated by the robotic platform, and the ability to pause throughout the procedure while leaving all instruments in the same position. Preliminary outcomes for 238 patients showed improvement of semen parameters in 76% of patients with oligospermia.

Conclusion
A variety of surgical and non-surgical approaches and techniques may be employed to address varicoceles, and these continue to evolve. The gold standard remains the microscopic varicocelectomy via the subinguinal or inguinal approach, as this has the highest success rate and lowest recurrence and complication rates.

References
Endometriosis is one of the most common gynecologic disorders, affecting approximately 10% of all reproductive-aged women and 35-50% of women with pelvic pain and infertility. A chronic, progressive, and estrogen-dependent disease, endometriosis can cause pain, infertility, and organ dysfunction. Patients require a thorough evaluation with attention to their individual treatment goals; and many patients can be managed medically. However, when medical management fails or is not indicated, surgical treatment may be recommended.

Surgical treatments depend on the location of disease, symptoms, age, and childbearing status. In very young patients who have not yet started childbearing, we recommend conservative management. Adolescent patients are more likely to present with atypical symptoms and, as a result, experience a delay in diagnosis. In these patients, we begin with medical management followed by videolaparoscopic surgery and postoperative long-term suppressive hormonal therapy. Diagnostic and operative videolaparoscopy with or without robotic assistance for treatment of endometriosis and lysis of adhesions is performed with the goal of removing all endometriotic implants and restoration of normal anatomy.

Patients in their reproductive years with pain and/or infertility and normal male factor may benefit from surgical management. In experienced hands, restoration of anatomy without compromising ovarian function results in excellent pain relief and better postoperative pregnancy rates than IVF, even benefits in patients with previously failed IVF treatments. Studies have shown by decreasing inflammation in the pelvis and the associated toxicity to embryos, uterine receptivity can be improved by thorough treatment of endometriosis.

In the case of endometriomas, we recommend embryo or gamete freezing prior to surgical intervention since surgical treatment of endometriomas can reduce ovarian reserve. We caution against drainage and/or irrigation of endometriomas since blood can continue to leak into the peritoneal cavity, causing extensive pelvic inflammation and adhesion formation and resulting in decreased future fecundibility. Studies have shown by decreasing inflammation in the pelvis and the associated toxicity to embryos, uterine receptivity can be improved by thorough treatment of endometriosis.

Endometriomas are classified into two types: Type I endometriomas arise from the invagination of endometrial implants on the surface of the ovary and then hemorrhage into the cyst, while Type II endometriomas are adjacent to or within the ovarian cortex and undergo repeated hemorrhage into the cystic space. Type I endometriomas are asymptomatic and do not require treatment, whereas Type II endometriomas are symptomatic and require treatment.

Endometriomas are classified into two types: Type I endometriomas arise from the invagination of endometrial implants on the surface of the ovary and then hemorrhage into the cyst, while Type II endometriomas are adjacent to or within the ovarian cortex and undergo repeated hemorrhage into the cystic space. Type I endometriomas are asymptomatic and do not require treatment, whereas Type II endometriomas are symptomatic and require treatment.

Figure 1. A large endometrioma that has been slowly leaking after egg retrieval, forming extensive adhesions between the bowel, ureters, uterus, bilateral fallopian tubes, and bilateral ovaries.

Figure 2. Removal of the entire cyst wall of an endometrioma is necessary to prevent recurrence.

Figure 3. In this image, you can see the right ovary densely adhered to the bowel. The left ovarian endometrioma has been partially resected, with visible adhesions to both bowel and bladder.

Figure 4. In this image, the left ovarian endometrioma has been resected and is being elevated off the bowel, with the right endometrioma still visible.

Two to three months of GnRH suppressive therapy, followed by removal of the entire cyst wall with attention to sparing all healthy ovarian tissue. In some cases, the degree of induration and adhesion formation may be so extensive as to necessitate the involvement of specialists such as colorectal surgeons and/or urologists to assist in the dissection. These patients also are at an extremely high risk of ovarian remnant syndrome.

Continued on the following page
endometriomas arise from the invasion of implants into corpus luteum cysts. The surgical management of the types differs. Type I endometriomas are difficult to remove due to densely adherent fibrous capsules while the difficulty in removing Type II endometriomas correlates with the degree of invasion, with Type IIA being the easiest to remove and Type IIC being as challenging as Type I10.

Likewise, in an attempt to minimize inflammation in the pelvis, we recommend conservative management of bowel endometriosis and deferring bowel resection, if possible, until after childbirth is complete11-14. Furthermore, patients who achieve pregnancy postoperatively can experience disease regression and potentially no longer require bowel resection postpartum. In patients who require surgical treatment of bowel endometriosis, we preferentially perform rectal shaving as opposed to disc or segmental resection in order to minimize the risk of complications associated with segmental bowel resection17-21. Patients with bowel strictures may require resection, but we avoid resection near the rectum if possible due to the high risk of injury to the pelvic nerves immediately adjacent to the rectum. Lesions involving the small bowel may be easily resected without significant complications, but resection of lesions at the level of the low rectum requires extensive retro-rectal dissection. Aggressive dissection at this level risks injury to extensive vascularity, pelvic splanchnic nerves, and the superior and inferior hypogastric plexi, as well as other nerves like the iliofemoral, ilioinguinal, and iliohypogastric nerves, depending on location and extent of disease16,22. Complications of these injuries include bowel stenosis, incontinence, ischemia resulting in fistula formation, severe constipation, and urinary retention23,24.

Nerve-sparing techniques for deeply infiltrating endometriosis are therefore recommended in order to avoid injury to the nervous plexus and to preserve bowel, bladder, and sexual function16,25-27.

In cases of genitourinary endometriosis, surgeons should be prepared to perform ureterolysis in cases of ureteral stricture with or without hydronephrosis, as this can treat up to 90% of cases28,29. Preoperative planning is necessary in these patients to identify renal compromise secondary to ureteral stricture, as ureteral endometriosis is a known cause of silent renal loss30. Ureteral endometriosis is classified as either an intrinsic disease, which involves the ureteral wall and/or mucosa, or an extrinsic disease, which compresses the ureter externally, causing stricture and hydronephrosis. In cases with extensive genitourinary involvement, consultation with an experienced urologist is recommended, as these patients may require ureteral reanastomosis or reimplantation. In patients with refractory bladder lesions, videolaparoscopic segmental bladder resection with or without robotic assistance may be needed, and has favorable results in terms of symptom relief, progression of disease, and recurrence risk31.

Older patients who have completed childbearing may be managed more aggressively, depending on symptoms and disease severity, if all else fails. These patients may need to undergo hysterectomy and/or bilateral salpingooophorectomy with thorough elimination of endometriosis. Thoracic endometriosis patients, however, are often best treated as conservatively as possible, starting with medical management, then with laparoscopic treatment of all visible lesions, followed by hormonal suppression and later by thoracoscopic treatment of pulmonary lesions or diaphragmatic resection31,32. Hysterectomy with bilateral salpingooophorectomy should be considered as a last resort. Although we have never encountered phrenic nerve injury during treatment of thoracic endometriosis syndrome in any of our patients, the possibility of this rare complication exists, and in the case of phrenic nerve injury the problem created can be worse than the original disease33.

In treatment of endometriosis, it should be remembered that injury to the surrounding viscera or neurovascular structures can result in complications worse than the original disease.

Endometriosis is primarily a disease of inflammation, with all of its complications, including induration, hyperemia, fibrosis, and necrosis. Resection of endometriotic lesions brings about improvements in pelvic pain and infertility, but also decreases the risk of malignant transformation into ovarian cancer34. The most effective treatment, with the best cancer prevention, is complete elimination of all endometriotic lesions, even in patients who are asymptomatic. Patients who have completed childbearing at the time of their surgery also may be offered risk-reducing bilateral salpingectomy to further reduce the risk of developing high grade serous ovarian carcinoma35.

In all patients requiring surgical management of endometriosis, the treatment should be tailored to the

Figure 5. Bowel endometriosis along the ileocecal junction16.

Figure 8. Diaphragmatic endometriosis lesions as seen via VATS.

Figure 9. Pleural endometriosis implants as seen via VATS.

Figure 10. The nervous plexus, illustrating the complex innervation of the pelvis.
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Surgical Management of Endometriosis, cont.

patient’s age, extent of disease, and childbearing status. Attention should be paid to removing all endometriotic lesions without removing or injuring normal tissue. Removal of normal peritoneum in an effort to remove microscopic implants can be associated with significant complications. We do not recommend removal of all normal tissue and peritoneum, as the benefits have not been proven and are questionable. It should be remembered, however, that the success of treatment is more dependent on the skill and expertise of the surgeon in thoroughly treating all disease than on the methods or instrumentation used. We recommend multidisciplinary management of complicated extragenital endometriosis, starting with medical management and proceeding to conservative surgical measures prior to aggressive surgical peritoneal stripping that may carry a high risk of complications and adhesion formation.
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## SRS Scientific Congress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity type</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, October 31, 2017</td>
<td>9:30 a.m. - 10:15 a.m.</td>
<td>Plenary</td>
<td>Camran Nezhat, M.D. Lectureship in Innovations in Medicine Lecture: Cell and Gene Therapies in Reproductive Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, November 1, 2017</td>
<td>9:45 a.m. - 10:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Plenary</td>
<td>Plenary: SRS Lecture: Uterine Transplantation: Lessons Learned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, November 1, 2017</td>
<td>11:00 a.m. - 12:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Telesurgery</td>
<td>Resection of Cesarean Section Scar by Hysteroscopic and Laparoscopic Approaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, November 1, 2017</td>
<td>1:30 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Surgical Tutorial</td>
<td>Surgical Tutorial: Surgical Treatment of Septate Uterus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, November 1, 2017</td>
<td>3:30 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Symposium</td>
<td>Reproductive Surgery Symposium: Uterine Transplant: Technical and Ethical Issues</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## SRS Roundtables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monday, October 30, 2017</td>
<td>RTM41</td>
<td>Adenomyosis: Surgical Correction</td>
<td>Keith Isaacson, M.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, October 30, 2017</td>
<td>RTM42</td>
<td>Vasectomy Reversal: Tips and Tricks</td>
<td>Sheldon Marks, M.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, October 30, 2017</td>
<td>RTM43</td>
<td>Management of Severe Symptomatic Endometriosis</td>
<td>Ceana Nezhat, M.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, October 31, 2017</td>
<td>RTT41</td>
<td>Klinefelter Syndrome</td>
<td>Kelly Chiles, M.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, October 31, 2017</td>
<td>RTT42</td>
<td>Hysteroscopic Treatment of Asherman Syndrome: Surgical Pearls</td>
<td>Steven R. Lindheim, M.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, October 31, 2017</td>
<td>RTT43</td>
<td>Endometriosis: When to Operate</td>
<td>Salli Tazuke, M.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, November 1, 2017</td>
<td>RTW30</td>
<td>How to Get a Large Fibroid Out of a Small Incision</td>
<td>Stephanie J. Estes, M.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, November 1, 2017</td>
<td>RTW31</td>
<td>Laparoscopic Myomectomy for the Reproductive Surgeon: When and How</td>
<td>Anthony Imudia, M.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, November 1, 2017</td>
<td>RTW32</td>
<td>Indications for Varicocele Repair</td>
<td>Cigdem Tanrikut, M.D.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## SRS Pre-Congress Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saturday, October 28, 2017</td>
<td>8:15 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.</td>
<td>PC05</td>
<td>Leiomyomas: Pregnancy Loss, Health Disparities, and Therapeutic Options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday, October 28, 2017</td>
<td>8:15 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.</td>
<td>PC07</td>
<td>Interprofessional Approach to Comprehensively Manage Your Male Clients’ Needs: From Sexual Dysfunction and Poor Semen Quality to Genetic, Psychological, and Aging Issues</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## SRS Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monday, October 30, 2017</td>
<td>6:15pm - 8:00pm</td>
<td>SRS Members’ Meeting, Debate, and Reception Grand Hyatt, Headquarters Hotel – Travis A/B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The new update includes a password protected log-in section that includes the following information:

- SRS Email Discussion List
- SRS Literature Reviews
- SRS Newsletters
- Surgical Tutorials uploaded by SRS members

Please be sure to keep checking the SRS website frequently to see the upcoming and ongoing changes. We value your input and suggestions.

Feel free to contact the Website Chair, Dr. John Parry (drprestonparry@gmail.com), or Dani Mosley at ASRM (dmosley@asrm.org) with any comments or suggestions you may have regarding the SRS website.

Welcome New Members!

Benefits of SRS membership include:

- NEW! Secure access to SRS newsletters, literature reviews, surgical videos from SRS members, and the SRS Discussion Board! These benefits are only available to active SRS members.
- Involvement in the only society that specifically addresses the issues of pelvic reconstructive surgery in women of reproductive age
- Interaction with a national and international group of surgeons who share an interest in reproductive surgery
- The opportunity to review research abstracts with a focus on reproductive surgery
- Participation in roundtable discussions at ASRM Scientific Congresses
- The discussions of novel surgical techniques through video sessions
- Participation in surgical hands-on courses at ASRM Annual Meetings
- Access to participate in Pre-Congress courses on a variety of topics related to the field of reproductive surgery
- Participation in collaborative research projects addressing surgical outcomes
SRS 2017 Members’ Meeting and Debate

Preliminary Schedule:  
October 30, 2017

6:15 - 6:45 pm  -  Cocktails & Hors d’oeuvres
6:30 pm  -  Business Meeting  - Led by Dr. Ceana Nezhat and SRS Board
6:45-7:15 pm  -  Debate titled, “Reproductive Surgery is Obsolete in the Era of Current Advancements in IVF”
7:15 - 7:25 pm  -  Presentation of SRS Distinguished Surgeon awards. Presented by Dr. Ceana Nezhat
7:25 - 7:30 pm  -  Passing the Gavel: Upcoming President  Presented by current president, Dr. Ceana Nezhat to incoming president, Dr. Samantha Pfeifer
7:30 - 8:00 pm  -  Mix & Mingle: Cocktails & Hors d’oeuvres

GREAT DEBATE

Resolve: “Reproductive Surgery is Obsolete in the Era of Current Advancements in IVF”

Description: Worldwide, ART has increasingly replaced reproductive surgery for the treatment of infertility. Whether or not this switch in clinical practice is due to cost-effectiveness, lack of surgical expertise, faster results, or fewer procedure-related complications is unclear. The aim of this debate is to hear about both approaches to infertility, ART, and reproductive surgery, from two experts in the field of reproductive medicine and IVF.

Con
Alan H. DeCherney, M.D.

Dr. DeCherney is currently head of the program in Reproductive and Adult Endocrinology/Reproductive Biology and Medicine branch of the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) of the National Institutes of Health. He is a former Editor-in-Chief of *Fertility and Sterility*.

Pro
G. David Adamson, M.D., FRCSC, FACOG, FACS

Dr. Adamson is founder and director of Fertility Physicians of Northern California in Palo Alto and San Jose. He is former president of ASRM.

Participation is free of charge.