

DPF Executive Committee Meeting

October 13, 2012

At CPM2013 meeting at Fermilab: Pierre Ramond, Patty McBride, Jonathan Rosner, Ian Shipsey, Alice Bean, Marj Corcoran (via phone), Kate Scholberg, Kara Hoffman, Yuri Gershtein, Nikos Varelas, Jonathan Feng
Guests: JoAnne Hewett, Michael Peskin, Dan Cronin-Hennessey

Agenda and minutes

1. DPF panel on Theory Committee Issues

Currently, 3 people are chosen: Csaki (NSF), Dawson (Lab), Dine (DOE). The idea is to focus attention on theory issues and solicit opinions. Need to have a charge. A meeting will be held next week to develop this with the moderators, and selected DPF Executive Committee members. One question was about funding for university vs lab theorists. They should have a final report by the Santa Cruz DPF meeting. There will be the April APS meeting and they will have to solicit input at other meetings.

2. General issues

- Lab personnel will be required to submit requests for travel at least 60 days in advance. More clarification is needed on this.
- Treasurer's report by Alice showed there was ~\$136K in the treasury as of the end of June, 2012
- The DPF will put \$5K towards the DPF2013 meeting.
- DPB should be contacted to share the Wilson Prize award winner travel.
- Marj will look into having the DPF EC meeting in January or February, 2013 at Rice University.

3. Snowmass

Proceedings: Every subgroup will produce around 30 pages with a 4 page executive summary. The seven frontiers will distill from the executive summaries of the subgroup into a 30 page report plus a 4 page summary. There will be an overall writing committee which will take these reports and make a 30 page scientific overview with a shorter glossy document for the public. Encourage electronic repository with EConf for individual contributions which are referenced in the subgroup proceedings. Jon Rosner hopes to have drafts for proceedings during the CSS2013 meeting, but deadlines need to be understood. Jon called for a volunteer to edit the proceedings.

What is the structure of the CSS2013 meeting? Important purpose at the meeting is to arrive at a consensus. Dan Hennessey needs to have the logistical restrictions set so he can start on contracts on Monday (Oct 15). Dan went over the constraints for funding and also reserving large venues. The proposal is to start Monday, July 29 with plenaries, and then have Aug 5 and the morning of

Aug 6 for plenaries. The conveners will stay on Aug 7. The large block of hotel rooms would be reserved July 28- Aug 7.

Other issues:

-Should there be an international advisory committee? The idea is to involve the international community more directly, but there should be a specific purpose. It is a good idea to identify people who are already involved.

-Jon R will send the conveners a bunch of questions and suggestions.

-We were assured that Katie is looking into printing up some sort of brochure or printed material in addition to the electronic copies.

-The DPF EC should be involved more in the organization of the Snowmass meeting.

4. Report from Krakow (Jon Rosner)

At the Krakow conference, there were status reports and future plans without setting priorities. There was discussion about how to keep a healthy neutrino program alive, but DPF EC was unclear how LBNE was playing into their plans. We hope to do as well as the Europeans did in this plan with the Snowmass process.

6. DOE Comparative Reviews (Marj Corcoran)

A report was prepared and transmitted to the DPF EC yesterday. Each of the recommendations was discussed and suggestions for a few changes were given. The EC should read the document carefully and send other suggestions to Marj by next week. After the document is finalized, the DOE should be able to look at the document before it becomes public to fact check and a meeting should be set up before the Dec HEPAP meeting.

7. DPF Washington Event (Ian Shipsey)

There could be a very broad visit to Washington with many University and Lab representatives. There was discussion of stuff that could be done but no action items were specifically discussed

8. April Meeting (Ian Shipsey)

Should the Snowmass conveners help figure out some of the talks at the meeting? There was little time to talk about this.