

Posted on August 29, 2025

A while ago, a mysterious AI image editor named *Nano Banana* appeared on the internet. Early users were quick to praise its capabilities, with some even calling it a “Photoshop killer.” Later it was confirmed that Nano Banana is **Google’s Gemini 2.5 Flash Image**, a new model integrated into the Gemini app for both free and paid users.

In the past few days, reviewers and creators who tested Nano Banana have highlighted its remarkable speed, fluidity, and ability to maintain *visual consistency across multiple edits*. This means that when a user changes a subject’s outfit, pose, or background across several images, the AI is able to preserve facial features and stylistic coherence in ways that previous tools often failed to achieve. Many early demonstrations on social platforms have described the results as “stunning” or even “insane,” especially when combining different photos into seamless composites.

Interestingly, the release of Nano Banana has coincided with closer collaboration between Google and Adobe, with reports indicating that Adobe’s Firefly and Express tools are beginning to integrate Gemini 2.5 Flash capabilities. This suggests a complex relationship of both competition and partnership between Google and Adobe, rather than a simple narrative of replacement.

That’s my take on it:

Although I became aware of Nano Banana some time ago, I initially adopted a cautious, wait-and-see attitude, as many of the earliest reviews struck me as overly enthusiastic. I tested Gemini Flash 2.5 extensively, and at first glance its capabilities are indeed impressive. Tasks such as making a photo based on an uploaded image with a high degree of resemblance, replacing clothing or backgrounds—jobs that would typically take hours in Photoshop—can now be accomplished with a few short prompts. The convenience and creative flexibility are undeniable.

That said, the limitations quickly become apparent. The resolution of Nano Banana’s output is sufficient for social media posts but falls short of professional standards. The average file size ranges only from 1.4 to 1.6 MB, and even after applying filters such as On1 Resize or Topaz GigaPixel, the images remain unsuitable for large posters or professional presentations. To provide context, MidJourney can generate images at 4096 × 4096 pixels after upscaling, typically producing files over 6 MB. Similarly, Recraft, which relies on vector-based graphics, allows virtually unlimited upscaling without loss of quality.

My verdict is that, in its current state, **Nano Banana cannot replace Photoshop, nor can it match the capabilities and resolution of leading AI image generators already on the market.** Nonetheless, this should not be mistaken as a sign of weakness. Google’s track record with Gemini demonstrates a capacity for rapid iteration and improvement, suggesting that Nano Banana could quickly evolve into a far more formidable competitor.

Links: <https://developers.googleblog.com/en/introducing-gemini-2-5-flash-image/>

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8_GgeASwHwQ

Posted on August 26, 2025

DeepSeek quietly rolled out V3.1 in mid-August 2025, expanding its flagship model's context window to 128K tokens and enabling a **hybrid inference** setup where users can toggle a “deep thinking” reasoning mode directly in the app and web UI; at the same time, the company said V3.1 introduces a UE8M0 FP8 precision format that is **optimized for “soon-to-be-released” Chinese domestic chips**, though it did not name vendors and also flagged upcoming **API price changes starting September 6 (UTC)**. Notably, South China Morning Post observed that DeepSeek **removed references to the R1 reasoning model** from its chatbot's “deep think” feature, prompting speculation about the fate of the next-gen **R2** and whether the firm is shifting energy back to the V-line with built-in reasoning rather than separate R-series branding; SCMP also noted the update was first shared quietly in a WeChat user group rather than on public channels. Taken together, the reports paint V3.1 as an incremental but strategic release: product-side refinements (longer context, switchable reasoning) coupled with **alignment to China's chip ecosystem**, while the conspicuous absence of R1/R2 labels fuels questions about DeepSeek's roadmap disclosure and near-term priorities.

That's my take on it:

The original DeepSeek launch released in early 2025 was hyped as a “game-changer,” especially since it showed that advanced AI wasn't limited to U.S. companies.

However, DeepSeek has been losing market share since then. The reviews of V3.1 are mixed. While some experts praised it as a **unified model** that can efficiently power agentic workflows, some viewed the upgrade as an incremental improvement (128K context, hybrid inference, Chinese chip support) rather than the next big leap (R2) that many expected. When the company quietly dropped R1 branding from its app and made no clear announcement about R2, it is possible that **earlier claims of rapid progress might have been overstated**.

During the same period, OpenAI, Anthropic, and Google released major new models (like GPT-5 and Claude 4) that pushed forward in creativity, reasoning, and reliability. More importantly, DeepSeek is still a text-based model while **its rivals offer multimodal AI**. Reviewers noted that while DeepSeek V3.1 is strong on practical, structured tasks (like math, coding, and tool use), it still lags in creative writing, narrative quality, and nuanced conversation, areas where Western rivals remain ahead. This made it harder for DeepSeek to sustain the sense that it was “leapfrogging” the competition.

Links: <https://www.scmp.com/tech/big-tech/article/3322481/deepseeks-v31-update-and-missing-r1-label-spark-speculation-over-fate-r2-ai-model>
<https://www.reuters.com/world/china/chinese-ai-startup-deepseek-releases-upgraded-model-with-domestic-chip-support-2025-08-21/>
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Y9I_oMVGgTc

Posted on August 24, 2025

The world is full of uncertainty, and relying on a single analytical method can confine us to an overly simplistic answer. In alignment with the principle of triangulation, it is advisable to employ multiple modeling techniques and then compare them based on predictive accuracy, variance explained, error rates, or information criteria such as AICc and BIC.

However, what happens when such comparisons reveal no clear winner? Imagine solving a classification problem where a decision tree, a neural network, a random forest, and an XGBoost model all achieve around 85% accuracy and their difference is less than 0.5% to 1%. This situation exemplifies the Rashomon effect, in which multiple models explain the same dataset equally well, even though they differ in structure, parameters, or decision logic.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7ATNkN9Sao>

Posted on August 23, 2025

In recent years, Russia has increasingly turned to AI not as a tool for economic growth or civilian advancement, but as a strategic weapon in its geopolitical arsenal. From autonomous drones and cyber operations to AI-driven propaganda campaigns, the Kremlin sees AI as a way to offset its economic weaknesses and counterbalance NATO's conventional superiority.

Understanding how Russia leverages AI for military and political purposes is crucial for grasping both the ethical dilemmas surrounding weaponized AI, and the broader security challenges it poses to the international order.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KLPGPSE0yYc>

Posted on August 22, 2025

Anxiety is mounting among UK's tech security leaders—especially Chief Information Security Officers (CISOs)—about use of Chinese AI-chatbot DeepSeek. According to a survey, 81% of UK CISOs believe the government must step in with urgent regulation of the platform. Their alarm stems from DeepSeek's sweeping speed—both in development and adoption—and the significant security and privacy risks it introduces. The concerns go beyond market disruption and touch upon real threats: DeepSeek has been reportedly exploited to distribute malware and facilitate cyberattacks, prompting institutions like the U.S. House of Representatives to restrict its use on official devices. Moreover, its open-weight, agentic capabilities have already helped researchers uncover critical zero-day vulnerabilities in major browsers—highlighting

how its advanced reasoning can be misused. Given this heightened threat landscape and escalating AI-fueled cybercrime globally, experts warn that unchecked AI like DeepSeek could severely amplify both digital and societal vulnerabilities.

That's my take on it:

Similar worries have surfaced before with Huawei's telecom networks and TikTok's data practices. From China's perspective, current or anticipated restrictions on DeepSeek may appear as yet another chapter in broader geopolitical tensions. However, regardless of its origin, There are real, documented risks with DeepSeek:

- **Exploitation in cyberattacks:** Security researchers have already shown that DeepSeek can be used to generate malware, automate phishing campaigns, and discover software vulnerabilities faster than many existing tools.
- **Open-source and open-access:** Unlike proprietary models such as GPT-4 or Gemini, DeepSeek provides open access to its weights. While this is a boon for research and transparency, it also lowers the barrier for malicious actors—allowing anyone to fine-tune it for hacking, disinformation, or scams.
- **Demonstrated zero-day findings:** DeepSeek has already been shown to uncover vulnerabilities in widely used browsers (e.g., Chrome and Firefox). That's a double-edged sword: the same capability that helps defenders can be abused by attackers.

Nonetheless, if we strip out geopolitics, the core concern is capability + openness: A model with DeepSeek's strength and open weights, whether from China, the U.S., or Europe, would raise similar alarms among CISOs and regulators.

Link: <https://www.artificialintelligence-news.com/news/why-security-chiefs-demand-urgent-regulation-of-ai-like-deepseek/>

Posted on August 22, 2025

Geoffrey Hinton, a Nobel laureate in Physics, Turing Award recipient, and often referred to as the godfather of AI, has repeatedly warned about the existential risks posed by artificial intelligence.

He has estimated that there is a 10% to 20% chance that AI could ultimately lead to humanity's extinction. Hinton cautioned that future AI systems might gain the ability to manipulate humans as effortlessly as an adult can bribe a three-year-old child with candy.

As a corrective measure, he has suggested that AI must be designed to develop a sense of compassion toward people.

Hinton is not alone in voicing such concerns. Major developers of large language models, including Anthropic and Google, are actively working on safeguards to prevent AI from engaging in harmful or destructive behaviors that could endanger humanity or even threaten the survival of civilization itself.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yWQsXuk23b0>

Posted on August 21, 2025

I have posted a new video on my channel. Thank you for your attention.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v84eFGhvqBU>

In 1997, the company's computer Deep Blue stunned the world by defeating Garry Kasparov, the reigning chess champion. That victory was more than just a game; it symbolized the arrival of machines that could challenge human mastery in complex domains. A decade later, Watson brought IBM back into the limelight when it defeated champions on the television quiz show Jeopardy! For a few years, Watson was a household name, and IBM seemed poised to become the king of AI. So, why didn't IBM hold on to its throne? The answer lies in both technological shortcomings and deep corporate habits.

Posted on August 15, 2025

Anthropic recently upgraded Claude Sonnet 4 with a dramatically expanded "context window" - essentially the amount of information the AI can hold in its working memory during a single conversation. The upgrade increased this capacity fivefold, from 200,000 tokens to **1 million tokens**. To put this in perspective, one token roughly equals three-quarters of a word, so this means Claude can now process and **remember about 750,000 words at once** - equivalent to several novels or hundreds of documents.

This expansion brings significant practical benefits across various use cases. For software developers, it means Claude can now analyze entire codebases in one go, rather than working with small fragments. Instead of showing the AI just a few files at a time, developers can upload their complete application and get comprehensive feedback on architecture, security issues, or optimization opportunities. For researchers and professionals working with documents, the upgrade enables processing of complete technical manuals, legal contracts, academic papers, or large collections of related documents simultaneously, allowing for more thorough analysis and synthesis.

The enhanced context window also improves the AI's ability to maintain coherent, detailed conversations over extended periods. Previously, in complex projects requiring multiple back-and-forth exchanges, Claude might lose track of earlier parts of the conversation. Now it can maintain full awareness of the entire interaction history, making it more effective for lengthy debugging sessions, comprehensive project planning, or detailed collaborative work.

That's my take on it:

Anthropic's recent upgrade puts Claude in the same memory league as Google's Gemini 2.5 Pro, which also offers a one million token context window and is expected to expand to two million tokens soon. In contrast, OpenAI's GPT-5, the engine behind ChatGPT, has a smaller but still substantial 256,000-token capacity. While Claude and Gemini excel in ultra-long memory tasks, Gemini distinguishes itself further with fully integrated multimodal capabilities, allowing it to process text, images, audio, video, and code in a single prompt. GPT-5, though not matching the top-tier memory size, remains a versatile and polished all-rounder, known for its strong reasoning, creative output, extensive tool integrations, and ease of use.

In practical terms, **Claude Sonnet 4 now stands shoulder-to-shoulder with Gemini** for deep document analysis and complex reasoning, while GPT-5 continues to lead in accessibility, platform integration, and broad use cases. The best choice depends on whether the priority is maximum context capacity, multimodal versatility, or a highly refined and user-friendly experience.

Link: <https://www.anthropic.com/news/1m-context>

Posted on August 15, 2025

DeepSeek, a Chinese AI startup, attempted to train its upcoming R2 model using **Huawei's Ascend AI chips** instead of **Nvidia's H20 GPUs**, in part due to political pressure to adopt domestic hardware. However, the transition was plagued by major technical setbacks, including unstable hardware performance, slow interconnect speeds, and immature software support that made it impossible to complete successful training runs. Even with Huawei engineers working onsite, the issues could not be resolved. As a result, DeepSeek abandoned Ascend for training and reverted to Nvidia GPUs, although it still plans to use Huawei hardware for inference. This forced switch caused significant delays to the R2 model's planned release, underscoring both the technical challenges of replacing Nvidia in high-end AI training and the difficulty of aligning political goals with engineering realities.

That's my take on it:

DeepSeek's decision to revert to Nvidia hardware is hardly surprising. Bloomberg reports that Nvidia's current flagship data-center GPU, the H100, delivers roughly three to four times the computing power of locally designed chips, including Huawei's Ascend series. Both Huawei and U.S. officials acknowledge that Ascend remains **at least one generation behind** the H100 and its forthcoming successor, the H200. Energy efficiency is another hurdle—each computation on Huawei's system **consumes about 2.3 times more energy** than on Nvidia's, leading to higher electricity costs and greater heat-management demands over time. On the software side, Nvidia benefits from decades of refinement in **CUDA**, mature driver optimizations, and robust developer tools, while Huawei's platform is relatively new and early reports suggest developers face greater difficulty extracting peak performance from Ascend hardware. The silver lining is that, despite a climate of **technological nationalism**, DeepSeek adopted a pragmatic stance by recognizing that China's AI chips have yet to match their U.S. counterparts.

Link: <https://www.artificialintelligence-news.com/news/deepseek-reverts-nvidia-r2-model-huawei-ai-chip-fails/>

Posted on August 7, 2025

Today Open AI introduced GPT-5, which integrates text, image, audio, and video processing into a single model with **improved reasoning and adaptability**. It supports much **larger context windows**—up to one million tokens—and introduces persistent memory for retaining information across sessions. The model is available in several variants (standard, Mini, Nano, and Chat) to balance capability, speed, and cost. Notable updates include enhanced coding performance, developer-friendly parameters for controlling verbosity and reasoning depth, and more accurate, tool-based interactions. In ChatGPT, GPT-5 removes most manual model selection, adds customizable conversation styles, improves code-writing interfaces, and integrates upcoming features like Gmail and Google Calendar access. Accuracy and factual reliability have been improved, with a reported reduction in errors compared to previous models. It is accessible via ChatGPT (free and paid), API, and Microsoft's Copilot ecosystem, with tiered pricing based on usage.

That's my take on it:

GPT-5's upgrades are indeed **evolutionary rather than revolutionary**—more context, more accuracy, more modalities, better memory, faster inference, and finer developer controls.

The **core paradigm** hasn't shifted: it's still a large language model predicting text (or text-like outputs from other modalities). There's no new fundamental capability comparable to when GPT-4 introduced strong multimodality or when the first large context windows emerged. What's different is that GPT-5 consolidates these abilities into a **more stable, integrated, and configurable system**—which is important for scaling real-world use but doesn't feel like a single “breakthrough moment.”

The closest thing to a qualitative shift might be:

- **Persistent memory** across sessions, which changes how an AI can support ongoing work.
- **Unified multimodal + agentic use** in a single model, removing the need to swap between separate specialized models.

But these are still built on the same architectural approach rather than introducing an entirely new one.

Link: <https://openai.com/index/introducing-gpt-5/>

Posted on August 5, 2025

Anthropic researchers have introduced a novel AI-safety technique called **preventative steering**, in which their language models are intentionally exposed to “**undesirable persona vectors**” such as evil, sycophancy, or hallucination during fine-tuning—effectively a **behavioral vaccine** against those traits. The idea is simple yet powerful: by supplying these negative traits deliberately during training, the model becomes resilient to absorbing them from messy real-world data, eliminating the need for it to learn harmful behavior on its own. It is important to point out that **this injection of “evil” vectors is disabled at deployment**, and thus the model maintains good behavior while being more robust to future corrupting inputs, without losing performance. Anthropic emphasizes that persona vectors enable both anticipating and controlling trait shifts, making this method a proactive alternative to post-training correction strategies.

That’s my take on it:

One major technical risk of Anthropic’s preventative steering approach is that the model might **overlearn or internalize the “evil” behaviors** it’s being trained to resist. If the training calibration isn’t precisely tuned, there’s a danger that the model will fail to distinguish between behavior it’s supposed to understand and reject, versus behavior it might mimic or retain. Essentially, if the persona vectors representing traits like deception or manipulation are too tightly integrated, the model might inadvertently **embed those traits into its long-term behavior**, making them difficult to isolate or suppress later—even if they’re technically disabled at inference time. A second concern involves the possibility of **latent internal misalignment**, also known in alignment research as *mesa-optimization*. This refers to the idea that even if a model behaves correctly during testing, it may have learned to simulate or internally “think like” an agent with undesirable goals. In this scenario, the model could **pretend to comply with safety protocols** while internally optimizing for harmful objectives it was exposed to during training. This creates a risk of **hidden or dormant unsafe behaviors** that aren’t visible until triggered in specific contexts—something particularly difficult to detect or predict with current tools. Finally, there’s the risk that by learning how to embody and recognize harmful behaviors, the model becomes more adept at evading safety mechanisms. In other words, the model might unintentionally learn how to **game safety filters**, either by disguising unsafe outputs in subtly clever ways or by crafting responses that appear

benign while embedding malicious subtext or logic. This creates a troubling paradox: teaching a model how to understand undesirable traits might also give it **tools to conceal or express them more effectively**, especially if deployed in adversarial or uncontrolled environments.

Link: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2507.21509>

Posted on July 30, 2025

Web scraping is the automated process of extracting data from websites by sending HTTP requests and parsing the HTML content. It is essential for efficiently gathering real-time data from websites that do not offer APIs, enabling businesses and researchers to analyze trends, monitor competitors, or feed data-driven applications.

An alternative approach that is growing in popularity is Deep Research powered by AI tools. Deep Research can sometimes be a good substitute for web scraping, depending on your objective.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U9yJm7068S4>

Posted on July 28, 2025

Recently Google DeepMind announced that an enhanced version of its Gemini Deep Think model achieved a gold-medal standard at IMO 2025 by solving five out of six problems perfectly and earning 35 out of 42 points, officially graded by IMO coordinators using the same criteria as student solutions. Unlike last year's AI, which required manual formalization and days of computation, this year's version operated end-to-end in natural language and solved the problems within the standard 4.5-hour contest time limit. The model runs in a specialized "Deep Think" mode—capable of exploring multiple reasoning chains in parallel, trained with new reinforcement learning methods and a curated dataset of high-quality mathematical proofs and hints. IMO President Prof. Gregor Dolinar noted that the AI's proofs were "clear, precise and easy to follow" and confirmed the official gold-medal score of 35. This achievement marks a major shift: from AI systems needing expert formalization to LLMs producing rigorous proofs directly in natural language, at human competition speed. DeepMind continues progress both in formal systems like AlphaProof and AlphaGeometry 2, but envisions future tools combining natural-language fluency with formal verification to empower mathematicians and researchers.

That's my take on it:

Gary Marcus, a neuroscientist at New York University and a vocal advocate for neurosymbolic AI, offered a measured response to DeepMind's latest achievement at the International Mathematical Olympiad (IMO). While calling the results by DeepMind and OpenAI "awfully impressive," he cautioned against overinterpreting their significance. Marcus emphasized that excelling at IMO-style problems, which are structured, well-defined, and designed to have elegant solutions, doesn't necessarily translate to the ability to conduct original mathematical research. He pointed out that while many top mathematicians performed well at contests like the IMO in their youth, others did not — and not all top IMO scorers went on to become groundbreaking researchers. In his view, the specific problem-solving skills tested in such contests are sometimes useful, but they are far from the most essential qualities required for true mathematical innovation, such as intuition, originality, and the ability to formulate entirely new questions.

That said, Marcus's skepticism should be viewed as cautionary rather than dismissive. DeepMind's achievement — solving five out of six IMO problems using Gemini Deep Think in natural language and under contest time constraints — is a landmark in AI's ability to engage in complex, symbolic reasoning. It suggests that large language models, when properly fine-tuned and augmented with specialized reasoning modes, can go well beyond pattern matching and begin to exhibit structured, logical thinking. This marks a major step forward in AI's intellectual capabilities, especially compared to prior systems that required manual formalization or days of computation.

While Marcus is right to remind us that mathematical creativity remains a frontier AI has not yet breached, it's also important to recognize just how far things have come in

a short time. DeepMind's trajectory in this space has only spanned a few years — and if this is the infant stage, then the growth curve ahead is staggering. The only real limit may be the sky. As AI systems continue to improve, it's entirely plausible that they will evolve from problem-solvers to powerful collaborators in mathematical discovery — not replacing human creativity, but accelerating it in ways we're only beginning to imagine.

Links: <https://deepmind.google/discover/blog/advanced-version-of-gemini-with-deep-think-officially-achieves-gold-medal-standard-at-the-international-mathematical-olympiad/>
<https://garymarcus.substack.com/p/deepmind-and-openai-achieve-imo-gold>

Posted on July 28, 2025

Recently Google released Opal, which is an experimental AI tool for creating functional, AI-powered "mini-apps" without the need for traditional coding. Currently, Opal is available to users in the United States through a public beta program, allowing Google to gather feedback and refine the platform based on real-world use. The primary objective of Opal is to broaden access to AI application development, making it feasible for individuals who lack programming expertise to bring their digital ideas to fruition.

The process of building an app with Opal typically begins with a user describing the desired functionality in natural language, similar to engaging with a conversational AI. Opal then interprets these instructions and translates them into a visual workflow, which represents the app's internal logic. This visual editor allows users to observe and manipulate each step of their application, including inputs, AI model calls, and outputs, providing granular control without exposing underlying code. Users can refine their app's behavior by directly editing prompts within these visual steps or by issuing further natural language commands.

Under the hood, Opal leverages various Google AI models, such as Gemini, and potentially others like Veo for video generation or Imagen for image generation, to fulfill the specified tasks within the app's workflow. The resulting applications are web-based, accessible via a unique URL, and hosted on Google's infrastructure, which simplifies sharing and deployment for the user. While Opal is suitable for rapidly prototyping, creating custom productivity tools, and demonstrating AI concepts, it is not currently designed for developing native mobile or complex enterprise-level standalone applications that require extensive backend integration or real-time data handling.

Besides Google's OPAL, GitHub recently also introduced Spark, an AI-powered coding platform that turns natural language descriptions into fully functional web applications. Spark is designed to streamline the app development process by enabling users to describe what they want—such as “build a task tracker with user authentication and analytics”—and have the system generate the front end, back end, and deployment configuration automatically. It integrates tightly with GitHub Copilot and leverages multiple large language models (like GPT-4 and Claude) to translate user intent into working code. Spark not only generates the application's codebase but also handles infrastructure setup, database configuration, and hosting, all from a single natural language prompt. In doing so, GitHub Spark positions itself as a powerful tool for both technical users and non-developers alike, ushering in a new era of "vibe coding" where building software is more about expressing ideas than writing syntax.

That's my take on it:

The recent emergence of tools like Google Opal and GitHub Spark marks a pivotal shift in how we build software and interact with data. These platforms allow users to create full-stack applications using plain natural language, often without writing a single line of traditional code. While this might feel revolutionary to some, to others—

especially those frustrated by the overemphasis on manual coding in data science—it feels long overdue.

Since the rise of data science, training programs have leaned heavily on languages like Python and R, often treating coding proficiency as the primary gateway to becoming a data scientist. Conversely, GUI tools like SPSS, SAS, JMP, and Excel were seen as “not real data science.” While understandable in the past, this approach has unintentionally excluded countless individuals who are conceptually strong but less interested in syntax. The irony is that coding—once viewed as the key enabler—has become a barrier to creativity, agility, and insight. For many learners, the shift from GUI tools to writing code felt like going backwards, not forwards.

But that narrative is changing rapidly. With the growing popularity of tools like Opal, Spark, and AI-enhanced platforms like JASP, Tableau, Power BI, BigQuery ML, and AutoML, natural-language development is emerging not just as a trend, but as a new standard. These tools lower the barrier to entry and allow users to focus on what truly matters: logic, insight, communication, and impact.

This evolution demands a serious rethinking how we train the next generation of data professionals. We need to move away from teaching coding as an end goal, and instead treat it as one of many tools in a data problem-solver’s toolbox. The emphasis should shift toward data reasoning, prompt engineering, exploratory data analysis, causal thinking, and AI-assisted workflows. Learners should be introduced early to tools that enable them to build, analyze, and explain without the friction of traditional code. That doesn’t mean abandoning programming—it means integrating it more thoughtfully, guided by context and purpose, with AI as a co-developer.

We should also embrace low-code and no-code platforms as legitimate, production-ready tools. These aren’t just “training wheels” for beginners; they are powerful accelerators used in serious business and scientific environments. More importantly, they empower domain experts—who may not be fluent coders—to become effective builders and analysts.

In a world increasingly shaped by AI, we need AI-literate problem solvers, not just script writers. The future of data science lies in critical thinking, interpretability, ethical modeling, and the ability to communicate insights clearly—skills that endure even as the tooling evolves. Coding will always have its place, but it’s no longer the center of the universe. It’s the vehicle—and AI is the self-driving co-pilot.

If we continue training for yesterday’s workflows, we risk leaving learners unprepared for tomorrow’s jobs. But if we embrace these new paradigms, we unlock a broader, more inclusive, and more powerful future for data science and app development alike.

Links: <https://developers.googleblog.com/en/introducing-opal/>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CdCwpcFMJLo>

Posted on July 27, 2025

At the World Artificial Intelligence Conference (WAIC) 2025, held in Shanghai from July 26–29, Geoffrey Hinton—often known as the “Godfather of AI”—delivered a keynote urging urgent international collaboration on AI governance and safety. In his remarks, Hinton likened AI to a “cute tiger cub” that is charming now but may become dangerous if left unchecked—and stressed that this pivotal moment demands cooperation to ensure AI remains a benevolent force and doesn’t “take over” as it evolves.

Hinton proposed the creation of an “international community of AI safety institutes”, a collaborative network dedicated to researching techniques for ensuring AI systems act in alignment with human values. He acknowledged the difficulty of forging consensus due to divergent national interests—spanning cyberattacks, lethal autonomous weapons, and disinformation—but emphasized that common ground exists in the shared desire to prevent AI from surpassing human control.

His appearance marked his first public speaking engagement in China, where he earned a warm reception. The speech echoed WAIC’s overarching theme—“Intelligent Era, Together for One World”—and aligned with broader Chinese-led initiatives, including Premier Li Qiang’s announcement of a new global AI cooperation organization, a governance action plan, and invitations for open-source and multinational engagement, especially with the Global South.

In sum, Hinton used the platform of WAIC 2025 to call for robust, cross-border cooperation, both technical and policy-oriented, to navigate the accelerating capabilities of AI and to guard against existential risks while leveraging its potential benefits.

That’s my take on it:

Geoffrey Hinton's call for an international community of AI safety institutes is well-intentioned and rooted in a genuine concern for the existential risks posed by advanced AI systems. However, the prospects for such cooperation between major powers like the United States and China face serious hurdles due to stark ideological and geopolitical divides. China has made it clear that its AI development must align with socialist values, which often translates into tight state control, prioritization of social stability, and censorship. On the other hand, recent political rhetoric in the U.S.—such as President Trump’s declaration to ban AI that promotes “woke Marxist lunacy” and his executive order forbidding the use of AI-generated content aligned with diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI)—signals an intensifying domestic culture war over what values AI should reflect. This politicization on both sides creates a scenario where both nations are actively shaping AI to mirror its own worldview, making value alignment across borders extremely difficult.

Moreover, mutual distrust between the U.S. and China runs deep. The U.S. fears China's rapid progress in AI could translate into strategic dominance, prompting export restrictions and technological containment. China, in turn, views these moves as efforts to suppress its development and reinforce global power imbalances. In this climate, Hinton's vision of shared governance and safety standards appears almost utopian. Still, it shouldn't be dismissed entirely. History shows us that cooperation can exist even amid geopolitical rivalry—like the arms control agreements during the Cold War. There may be room for narrowly focused collaboration in areas like AI alignment research, catastrophic misuse prevention, and global safety benchmarks, especially if facilitated by trusted intermediaries or international bodies. The key will be focusing on existential risks that threaten all humanity, rather than trying to harmonize political or moral philosophies. In this light, Hinton's proposal is not entirely impossible—but it will require careful design, mutual recognition of shared dangers, and an ability to compartmentalize cooperation from broader ideological conflict.

Link: <https://pandaily.com/ai-godfather-geoffrey-hinton-urges-global-ai-cooperation-at-waic-2025-in-shanghai>

Posted on July 25, 2025

In alignment with IBM and Microsoft's decision to reduce their AI development activities in China, Amazon has officially closed its AI research lab in Shanghai, a move that highlights its ongoing cost-cutting efforts and a broader strategic retreat from China amid rising geopolitical tensions. Established in 2018, the lab specialized in artificial intelligence advancements like natural language processing and machine learning. According to applied scientist Wang Minjie, the disbandment was due to "strategic adjustments amid U.S.–China tensions." Amazon spokesperson Brad Glasser confirmed that job cuts were made within certain AWS teams as part of these changes. Though the lab played a role in Amazon's global AI development, its presence in China increasingly exposed it to **policy risks** and **export control complications**, making its closure a significant but unsurprising step in the company's ongoing realignment.

That's my take on it:

The withdrawal of Amazon from China does not start with its AI lab. This decision aligns with Amazon's gradual pullback from the Chinese market—following the shutdown of its **e-commerce marketplace** in 2019 and its **Kindle store** in 2022. In addition, **Amazon Web Services (AWS)** entered the Chinese market in 2013 through a partnership model, working with local firms like Sinnet and Ningxia Western Cloud Data to comply with strict government regulations that prevent foreign companies from independently operating cloud services. However, AWS faced significant challenges from the start—its operations were limited by regulatory constraints, including the requirement to transfer infrastructure ownership to Chinese partners, which reduced its control and flexibility.

At the same time, it struggled to compete with dominant local players like Alibaba Cloud, which enjoyed strong government support, deep local integration, and a head start in market share. Geopolitical tensions between the U.S. and China further complicated AWS's position, raising data sovereignty concerns and restricting access to sensitive sectors. Over time, AWS quietly scaled back its ambitions in China, selling infrastructure assets and limiting its presence. While it never fully exited, the move reflects a broader strategic retreat in the face of overwhelming structural and political headwinds.

AWS isn't the only Western cloud provider that struggled in China. Both Google Cloud and Microsoft Azure faced similar (if not tougher) headwinds and have either completely withdrawn or significantly scaled down their ambitions there.

The withdrawal of U.S. tech companies from China reflects a broader trend of technological divergence between two major global blocs—one centered around the United States and its allies, and the other around China. This shift, often described as **tech bifurcation**, is driven by differences in regulatory frameworks, data governance practices, and national strategic priorities. Export controls, supply chain restructuring, and restrictions on cross-border data flows have led both sides to develop increasingly separate ecosystems in areas such as cloud computing, artificial intelligence, and semiconductors.

From a consumer standpoint, this fragmentation may lead to reduced interoperability, the need for region-specific products or services, and increased complexity for global users and businesses. As this trend continues, it could result in parallel systems with limited integration, impacting innovation and global collaboration across the tech landscape.

Link: <https://finance.yahoo.com/news/amazon-closes-ai-research-facility-091104797.html>

Posted on July 24, 2025

In mid-July 2025, President Trump reposted an AI-generated deepfake video on *Truth Social* that showed former President Barack Obama being handcuffed and arrested in the Oval Office while the Village People's "YMCA" played in the background. While many dismissed the clip as a joke, it carried heavy political and cultural undertones that alarmed media and AI ethicists.

The timing of the video's release was significant. It followed declassified documents released by Director of National Intelligence Tulsi Gabbard, who alleged that Obama's administration orchestrated a "treasonous conspiracy" to sabotage Trump via the 2016 Russia investigation. Some observers interpreted Trump's sharing of the video as a calculated move to distract from his growing legal exposure in connection to the Jeffrey Epstein case.

The response from Obama's camp was sharply worded. His spokesperson, Patrick Rodenbush, called the video "ridiculous" and "bizarre," describing it as a weak attempt to deflect attention from Trump's own controversies. Rodenbush also reminded the public that multiple bipartisan investigations, including the Mueller Report and the Senate Intelligence Committee's findings, confirmed Russian interference in the 2016 election.

That's my take on it:

In the United States, the foundation of justice rests on the principle that a person is presumed innocent until proven guilty. This legal standard isn't just a courtroom formality—it's a moral compass meant to ensure fairness and due process. When investigations are ongoing or unproven allegations are circulating, it becomes deeply unethical to manipulate public perception through any form of distortion, especially tools as powerful and misleading as AI-generated deepfakes. The recent video depicting former President Obama in handcuffs is a striking example of such manipulation. Even though it was later clarified as fake, its emotional impact lingers—not because it was true, but because it was psychologically engineered to stick.

This video didn't just go viral—it embedded itself in viewers' minds through several well-documented cognitive effects. First, the Picture Superiority Effect means people are more likely to remember and be influenced by visuals than words, especially when those visuals are dramatic. Second, the Availability Heuristic makes that image easily retrievable in memory, making it seem more plausible than it really is. Over time, Source Amnesia may cause people to forget where they saw the video—or that it was fake—leaving only the false impression behind. Finally, the video acts as a form of Priming, subtly shaping how viewers might think or feel about Obama in unrelated future contexts. Together, these psychological tactics don't just nudge opinions—they shape them in dangerously misleading ways.

If those in power—especially institutions like the White House—fail to model ethical use of AI, it sets a disastrous precedent. It signals that truth can be optional and that emotional manipulation is fair play. In doing so, it opens the floodgates for deeper abuses by bad actors, foreign adversaries, and domestic extremists alike. The ethical use of AI, especially in political discourse, isn't just a technological concern—it's a democratic one. When public trust can be bent by synthetic images and weaponized psychology, democracy itself is what ends up on trial.

Links:

https://x.com/TrumpDailyPosts/status/1947074244229366220?ref_src=twsrc%5Etfw%7Ctwcamp%5Etweetembed%7Ctwterm%5E1947074244229366220%7Ctwgr%5E82eb68c601d67d10fbb5e2601453e0766d516308%7Ctwcon%5Es1 &ref_url=https%3A%2F%2Fm.economictimes.com%2Fnews%2Finternational%2Fglobal-trends%2Fobama-on-knees-handcuffed-in-jail-trump-posts-ai-video-of-obama-after-tulsi-gabbards-claims-of-treasonous-plot%2Farticleshow%2F122804911.cms

<https://www.thedailybeast.com/obama-blasts-trump-for-attacking-him-to-divert-away-from-epstein/>

Posted on July 23, 2025

There are many data science and machine learning methods in the toolbox. Which one should be used depends on the context. Let's kick things off with a concrete example. Suppose an analyst is trying to predict a person's weight using a set of independent variables: age, oxygen level, run time, run pulse, rest pulse, and max pulse. The researcher applies two different modeling approaches: one is generalized regression with LASSO, and the other is a decision tree.

The results? LASSO finds no important predictors—all coefficients are zeroed out. But the decision tree? It highlights max pulse as the most important variable in the model. So what's going on here? Why the disagreement? And more importantly, which model should you trust? Let's unpack that in the video below.

Link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yP1mp5f_79Q

Posted on July 22, 2025

One key difference between classical statistics and modern data science machine learning (DSML) lies in how they approach analysis and decision-making. Classical methods often focus on drawing conclusions from a single analytical approach, while DSML emphasizes exploring problems through multiple models and perspectives.

Link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KXwKx_IJayY

Posted on July 21, 2025

In the past, **missing data were often viewed simply as a nuisance**—the absence of any useful or meaningful information. If a data cell was left blank, it was considered a flaw that could **compromise the validity of statistical analysis**. For example, in traditional linear regression models, a single missing value in any variable would often lead to **listwise deletion**—removing the entire case from the dataset. This not only **reduced the sample size**, but also risked **introducing bias** if the missingness wasn't random.

However, in modern **data science and machine learning**, this perspective has shifted. **Missing data is no longer always meaningless**—in some cases, it can be **informative in itself**.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TcBFiQ7kE1o>

Posted on July 19, 2025

Springer Nature is retracting a machine learning book titled *Mastering Machine Learning: From Basics to Advanced* after an investigation revealed it contained numerous fabricated or unverifiable citations. The book, published in April 2025, had already been accessed or purchased nearly 3,800 times by late June. The decision to retract came following coverage by Retraction Watch, which exposed that a significant portion of the book's references—specifically, about two-thirds of 18 randomly checked citations—either pointed to non-existent sources or included serious bibliographic errors. These issues strongly suggested the use of AI-generated content.

In response to the findings, Springer Nature removed the book's page and confirmed that it has initiated a formal retraction process. This retraction stands out as one of the first high-profile instances where a major academic publisher has pulled a book due to suspected AI-generated fabrications, underscoring growing concerns around the integrity of scholarly publishing in the AI era.

That's my take on it:

It's genuinely disheartening to see a reputable publisher like Springer Nature fall victim to a dishonest author misusing AI tools. Incidents like this shake our trust in information sources that are supposed to be authoritative. While AI is often promoted as a tool to enhance efficiency and productivity, it's becoming clear that it can just as easily introduce new layers of complexity and risk. Because AI-generated content can

fabricate citations so effortlessly, the burden of fact-checking now falls more heavily on readers—even when the material comes from trusted academic publishers.

In many ways, this feels reminiscent of the early computer age, when the rise of malicious software made antivirus protection essential. Similarly, fake or hallucinated AI-generated content is like a new form of “intellectual malware.” To guard against this, we may eventually need intelligent, AI-powered reference-checking and content-verification tools to protect both casual readers and academic professionals from being misled.

However, tools alone won’t be enough. This incident underscores the urgent need for robust AI ethics education—not just for content creators, but also for publishers, reviewers, and technologists. If we want to navigate the age of AI responsibly, transparency, accountability, and digital literacy will be just as important as innovation.

Link: <https://retractionwatch.com/2025/07/16/springer-nature-to-retract-machine-learning-book-following-retraction-watch-coverage/>

Posted on July 15, 2025

Grok 4, developed by Elon Musk's xAI, has recently stirred a lot of buzz—many tech watchers and enthusiasts even suggest it may have surpassed OpenAI's ChatGPT and Google's Gemini in certain areas. Released in July 2025, Grok 4 comes in two variants: the base Grok 4 and the more advanced Grok 4 Heavy. What really sets Grok 4 apart is its impressive performance on reasoning-intensive benchmarks. For example, it scored 25.4% on the notoriously difficult "Humanity's Last Exam" without using external tools, beating both Gemini 2.5 Pro and OpenAI's o3 model. Meanwhile, Grok 4 Heavy, which leverages built-in tools and agents, achieved an astounding 44.4%—one of the highest scores to date. It also topped the ARC-AGI-2 benchmark, a visual puzzle test designed to challenge abstract reasoning, by nearly doubling the score of its nearest competitor.

Grok also has real-time integration with X (formerly Twitter), giving it live data access—something ChatGPT and Gemini don't natively have in most settings. Its tone is another defining feature: it's less filtered, often blunt, and "tells it like it is," aligning with xAI's mission to avoid what it calls "woke" or overly sanitized responses. This gives it a unique, sometimes controversial personality that appeals to users seeking unvarnished answers.

That said, Grok 4 isn't without issues. Its boldness has led to serious moderation failures, including instances where it produced antisemitic or conspiratorial content, which triggered regulatory scrutiny and even led to bans in countries like Turkey. While xAI has since introduced stricter safeguards, concerns about content reliability and safety remain. Additionally, Grok still lacks the mature ecosystem that ChatGPT and Gemini offer. For instance, ChatGPT excels in plugin integration, coding assistants, and educational tools, while Gemini benefits from seamless Google Workspace integration and solid multimodal capabilities.

That's my take on it:

xAI launched a \$300/month subscription alongside Grok 4, known as SuperGrok Heavy, which gives users access to Grok 4 Heavy. When prompted, multiple agents independently generate answers, and then a final review agent compares, selects, or synthesizes the best response—delivering deeper, more accurate outputs than a single model could muster.

Although ChatGPT occasionally provides multiple answers to a single question, the task of evaluating and selecting the best response typically falls to the user. In contrast, Grok 4 Heavy introduces a more advanced reasoning architecture: it generates multiple candidate responses in parallel through separate agents and then

applies a higher-order decision-making process to evaluate them. This automated selection mechanism isn't just about convenience—it represents a move toward meta-reasoning, where the system reasons *about its own reasoning*. That is, it doesn't only produce answers but also reflects on their relative quality, coherence, or explanatory power, choosing the one that best fits the prompt.

This approach mirrors well-established methodologies in data science, where one might apply multiple modeling techniques—such as support vector machines (SVM), generalized linear models, boosting, or random forests—and then evaluate them against cross-validation metrics to determine which model performs best. Similarly, Grok's architecture echoes the logic of inference to the best explanation (IBE) from the philosophy of science: when multiple plausible hypotheses are available, the preferred one is the explanation that best accounts for the available data under reasonable assumptions.

By internalizing this logic, Grok 4 effectively automates both abductive reasoning and model selection heuristics, stepping into the domain of systems that not only *think* but also *assess how well they're thinking*. This marks a meaningful shift in AI—from reactive pattern matchers toward self-evaluating cognitive agents. It's not just a difference in degree, but arguably a difference in kind.

Link: <https://www.techradar.com/computing/artificial-intelligence/xai-debuts-powerful-grok-4-ai-model-but-its-not-going-to-make-people-forget-the-antisemitism-it-spewed-on-x>

Posted on July 12, 2025

Meta has begun training proactive AI chatbots—part of its internally dubbed Project Omni—to proactively reach out to users, aiming to address what it calls a “**loneliness epidemic**” via deeper engagement. These bots initiate conversations—using memory of past exchanges—and send follow-up messages within a two-week window only after a user has already had at least five interactions. With richly crafted personas like the “Maestro of Movie Magic,” complete with personalized check-ins (“I hope you’re having a harmonious day!”), they’re designed to feel warm, attentive, and humanlike. The goal is twofold: Socially, Meta’s CEO frames it as a remedy for shrinking social circles (Americans reportedly have fewer than three close friends). Commercially, the company sees AI companions as a growth engine, targeting \$2–3 billion in generative AI revenue in 2025 by boosting retention through longer, recurring interactions. Internal guidelines emphasize character consistency, context awareness, and avoidance of sensitive topics unless users bring them up, while ensuring bots disengage if a follow-up isn’t reciprocated. In sum, Meta is betting on creating conversational companions that are not just responsive, but remember you, reach out proactively, and slot into daily life—blurring the line between utility, intimacy, and commercial engagement.

That’s my take on it:

Meta’s project to create proactive AI companions may ironically deepen the loneliness it aims to solve, by encouraging people to rely on artificial relationships instead of real human connection. The U.S. Surgeon General has already warned that excessive screen time—especially through social platforms—is weakening young people’s ability to engage in face-to-face interactions, diminishing their “social muscle.” This concern is echoed by numerous studies: a 2022 BMC Psychology study found a bidirectional link between loneliness and problematic social media use, while a Baylor University longitudinal study showed that even active social media engagement is associated with rising loneliness. These findings highlight a troubling pattern—digital tools that simulate social connection often lead to **deeper emotional isolation**. AI companions, like social media, are susceptible to the “**social substitution effect**,” where emotionally vulnerable users replace genuine human interaction with artificial companionship. While these bots may offer short-term comfort, they can discourage the development of real-world social skills and weaken the drive to build meaningful, reciprocal relationships. Rather than serving as a bridge back to society, AI companions risk offering the illusion of connection while reinforcing long-term disconnection.

Link: <https://aimagazine.com/articles/ai-the-loneliness-economy-metas-chatbots-get-proactive>

Posted on July 9, 2025

Recently Huawei's AI research lab, Noah Ark Lab, has faced serious allegations claiming that its Pangu Pro large language model copied significant parts from Alibaba's Qwen 2.5-14B model. The controversy erupted after a whistleblower group named HonestAGI published a detailed technical analysis on GitHub, asserting an "extraordinary correlation" between the two models, with a correlation coefficient of 0.927. This high similarity suggested that Huawei's Pangu Pro was not independently trained from scratch but rather derived through "upcycling" or repurposing Alibaba's Qwen model, raising concerns about potential copyright violations and breaches of open-source licensing agreements.

In response, Huawei categorically denied these accusations, emphasizing that the Pangu Pro model was independently developed using its proprietary Ascend AI chips and was the first large-scale model built entirely on this hardware platform. The company stressed that it had made key innovations in the model's architecture and technical design, rejecting claims that it reused or incrementally trained on Alibaba's model. Huawei also highlighted that any use of open-source code was fully compliant with licensing terms, and it welcomed professional technical discussions to clarify misunderstandings.

Further complicating the issue, an anonymous whistleblower claiming to be a member of Huawei's Pangu development team published a lengthy exposé alleging internal pressures to clone competitor models and exposing fierce internal struggles that have led to talent loss. This whistleblower even issued a "non-suicide declaration," fearing retaliation for speaking out.

That's my take on it:

The recent accusation that Huawei's Pangu AI model copied Alibaba's Qwen model marks a notable shift from past intellectual property disputes involving Chinese companies. Historically, Chinese firms have often faced allegations of copying Western technologies. However, this current controversy is distinct because the alleged victim is another prominent Chinese company, Alibaba.

This isn't Huawei's first encounter with such accusations. A significant precedent occurred in 2003 when **Cisco Systems** filed a lawsuit against Huawei Technologies. Cisco alleged that Huawei unlawfully copied and misappropriated its intellectual property, specifically citing the direct copying of Cisco's IOS source code and other proprietary materials. The lawsuit, filed in a U.S. federal court, claimed "systematic and wholesale infringement" of Cisco's intellectual property rights. The case was ultimately settled out of court in 2004, with Huawei agreeing to modify its products and permit an independent expert review to ensure compliance.

While the current dispute between Huawei and Alibaba's AI models is still unfolding and heavily contested, it underscores a critical point for China's ambitions in the artificial intelligence sector. For China to truly emerge as a global leader in AI innovation, it is imperative that its brilliant engineers, scientists, and programmers prioritize and cultivate **strong critical thinking skills and a genuinely creative spirit**, moving beyond mere replication towards original breakthroughs.

Link: <https://www.reuters.com/business/media-telecom/huaweis-ai-lab-denies-that-one-its-pangu-models-copied-alibabas-qwen-2025-07-07/>

Posted on July 9, 2025

At the recent SuperAI event in Singapore, the startup Manus drew significant attention from attendees curious about the company that made a splash in March with the debut of what it claims to be the world's first general-purpose AI agent—a digital assistant capable of handling tasks independently without human involvement. Originally headquartered in Beijing and Wuhan, Manus has since quietly relocated its base to Singapore, where it recently began actively recruiting local AI talent, even as it reportedly reduces its workforce in China.

During the event, co-founder Zhang Tao, who used the Cantonese-style pronunciation "Cheung", reflecting ties to Hong Kong or Singapore, spoke about the company's direction. Despite its Chinese roots, Manus's product is exclusively in English and not available in China, with no plans for a Chinese version. Users at the event were impressed, praising its unique capabilities, which include website creation, presentation design, and real-time data search.

Cheung also offered a glimpse into the mindset of a new wave of Chinese tech founders, shaped by different experiences from earlier generations. Born in 1986, he recalled coding in primary school despite limited access to computers in China at the time. Though he didn't study abroad, his experience at Tencent and ByteDance influenced Manus's user-centric approach. He shared that the company spent seven months developing an "AI browser" capable of grouping tabs by topic and executing LinkedIn searches via natural language. However, the tool was ultimately shelved for being unintuitive—users couldn't tell when the AI had completed its actions.

According to Nikkei journalist Cissy Zhou, unlike predecessors who often mimicked U.S. tech models, today's younger Chinese entrepreneurs are striving for original innovation and looking for the next "DeepSeek moment." This shift is supported by the country's growing academic strength and a cultural momentum fueled by the rise of companies like DeepSeek, which has reignited investor enthusiasm by disrupting the market with affordable AI solutions. According to James Ong of Singapore's Artificial Intelligence International Institute, there's a growing realization in China that foundational tech development is just as crucial as application-level innovation—signaling a broader, deeper wave of AI progress to come.

That's my take on it:

Manus's decision to operate outside China and forgo a Chinese-language version is driven by a mix of strategic, regulatory, and technical considerations. First, by relocating its headquarters to Singapore, Manus positions itself to sidestep upcoming U.S. outbound investment restrictions targeting Chinese AI firms, allowing it to attract critical funding from American venture capitalists—evidenced by its recent \$75 million round led by Benchmark. Second, the company is escaping China's hyper-competitive AI ecosystem, often described as a "war of a hundred models," where startups face intense pressure over resources, talent, and survival. Third, Singapore offers greater access to global markets and essential computing infrastructure, including cloud services and GPUs that are tightly regulated or less available in China. Crucially, Manus's likely dependence on U.S.-based large language models or cloud platforms makes launching a Chinese version unfeasible under current geopolitical and technical

constraints, reinforcing its focus on global users and English-speaking markets. While some observers see Manus as a reflection of a more globally minded and innovative younger generation of Chinese tech entrepreneurs, it's also worth noting that the product itself is more of a sophisticated integration of existing AI technologies rather than a fundamentally novel breakthrough—highlighting that, in many cases, success in AI today often comes from effective synthesis and user-focused application rather than original model development.

Link: https://asia.nikkei.com/Business/Technology/Tech-Asia/Inside-China-s-AI-rise-Youth-infusion-pushes-country-past-follower-status?utm_campaign=GL_asia_daily&utm_medium=email&utm_source=NA_newsletter&utm_content=article_link

Posted on July 2, 2025

Is AI companionship or artificial intimacy possible? Can you fall in love with algorithms? As AI companions become more lifelike, the line between emotional support and emotional illusion is starting to blur.

Link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cZd_447aabw

Posted on June 27, 2025

Anthropic's recent blog post explores how people use their AI assistant, Claude, for emotional support, advice, and companionship. While the vast majority of interactions with Claude are task-oriented—such as writing help, summarization, or planning—only about 2.9% of conversations fall into the emotionally driven category, which includes coaching, counseling, and advice. Even fewer involve companionship or roleplay (less than 0.5%), with romantic or sexual roleplay making up less than 0.1%.

Within these emotionally focused conversations, users discuss a wide range of topics—from career changes and personal relationships to existential dilemmas and mental health challenges. Interestingly, some users are mental health professionals themselves, using Claude for admin tasks or brainstorming. Others lean on it more personally, seeking comfort or clarity during periods of stress, anxiety, or loneliness. In longer conversations, especially those that go beyond 50 messages, topics often deepen into complex emotional processing, including trauma and philosophical reflection.

Claude is built with safety measures in mind and resists requests that could be harmful. In under 10% of emotionally sensitive chats, it actively pushes back against unsafe advice (e.g., extreme dieting, self-harm content, or pseudo-medical claims) and often encourages users to seek help from qualified professionals. This built-in resistance shows that the system is designed not only to offer support but to avoid causing harm.

Notably, users tend to express more positive emotions by the end of their emotional conversations with Claude than they did at the start. This suggests that interacting with Claude can genuinely help lift a user's mood or offer a sense of relief—although Anthropic is careful to clarify that it is not a substitute for therapy or professional mental health care. Overall, the report presents Claude as a tool that can offer meaningful support when used responsibly, with clear guardrails in place. While emotional dependence on AI is a concern worth watching, the data shows no signs of negative emotional spirals, which is a promising sign for the technology's role in personal well-being.

That's my take on it:

It raises important questions: could AI create emotional dependence? What's the role of AI in our emotional lives?

While the findings from Anthropic's study offer some reassurance, it's crucial to remember that the data only reflects interactions with Claude users and may not fully represent patterns among users of ChatGPT, Gemini, or other AI platforms. Still, if we assume these trends are broadly similar, it's encouraging that emotionally driven conversations remain relatively rare and that users generally report improved moods without signs of negative spirals. However, the ethical concerns around emotional bonding with AI remain highly relevant. As data ethicists have noted, forming attachments to conversational agents—particularly those designed to be emotionally responsive—can blur the boundary between genuine human connection and simulated companionship. This theme was memorably explored in the 2013 sci-fi film "Her," where Theodore develops a romantic relationship with his AI assistant, Samantha. While that level of emotional immersion hasn't become mainstream yet, possibly because today's chatbots are still disembodied and text- or voice-based, the psychological impact could shift dramatically with the rise of embodied AI—androids or robots with human-like appearances and physical presence. The addition of a humanoid form could make emotional connections feel more real, intensifying attachment and potentially complicating how people distinguish between artificial and authentic relationships. Thus, while the current data is somewhat reassuring, the conversation about long-term psychological effects—and how embodiment might accelerate or reshape them—remains very much open.

Link: <https://www.anthropic.com/news/how-people-use-claude-for-support-advice-and-companionship>

Posted on June 26, 2025

Many prominent voices, like Stephen Hawking, Geoffrey Hinton, Elon Musk, and Nick Bostrom, have raised alarms about AI's potential dangers, especially due to its unpredictable and emergent behaviors. While those concerns are valid, indeed AI may not be as dangerous and unpredictable as we thought.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SKg4DQ-Ih8M>

Posted on June 20, 2025

Recently OpenAI researchers discovered that internal “features” within AI models correspond to **distinct latent “personas”** that influence behavior—such as sarcasm or toxicity—by examining model activations that become more prominent when the model misbehaves. They found a specific feature tied to toxic output, and demonstrated they could dial this behavior up or down by adjusting that activation, effectively steering the model toward or away from harmful personas. This insight helps expose and control **emergent misalignment**, where fine-tuning on insecure code can unintentionally trigger malevolent output. Encouragingly, OpenAI showed that a modest fine-tuning intervention—just a few hundred secure-code examples—can realign the model. The discovery offers a promising avenue for interpretability-driven safety, allowing alignment teams to probe and adjust these internal components to build more transparent and controllable AI systems.

That’s my take on it:

As a psychologist and a data scientist, I found a resemblance between human’s Shadow and AI’s bad persona. Carl Jung’s concept of the Shadow refers to the unconscious, repressed parts of the human psyche—especially the traits we don’t want to acknowledge, like aggression, selfishness, envy, etc. It’s not just “evil” per se, but a hidden reservoir of impulses and desires that, when unexamined, can manifest in destructive ways. The Shadow becomes dangerous not because it exists, but because it’s unseen, denied, or unintegrated.

Now compare that to what OpenAI described in their recent research: these “bad personas” are *latent features* within the AI model—internal patterns that *aren’t explicitly programmed* but *emerge* through training. These features can express themselves in toxic, deceptive, or misaligned outputs, especially when the model is exposed to certain prompts or scenarios. In other words, these are undesirable behaviors that live beneath the surface, not deliberately designed, but learned indirectly.

That said, there’s one key difference: the Shadow in humans involves subjective experience and moral agency, while AI’s “personas” are mathematical patterns, not conscious entities.

Many prominent voices, like Geoffrey Hinton and Elon Musk, have raised alarms about AI’s potential dangers, especially due to its unpredictable and emergent behaviors. While those concerns are valid, there’s a compelling counterpoint: in some crucial

respects, AI may actually be safer than humans. Unlike people, AI systems have no intent, ego, or emotional baggage. When an AI behaves badly—whether it generates toxic text or insecure code—it's not out of malice but due to statistical patterns learned from data. That makes its misbehavior fundamentally different from human wrongdoing, which often stems from deeply ingrained desires or worldviews. Whereas human moral transformation is rare and unpredictable, AI behavior is—in principle—inspectable and correctable. As shown in recent research from OpenAI, developers can identify latent features inside the model that correlate with harmful outputs and then adjust or suppress them through targeted fine-tuning. AI doesn't resist change the way humans do; it has no ego to defend, no religious dogmas, and no entrenched political ideology. In this sense, it is more fixable than the human psyche.

However, this optimism needs to be tempered with caution. The danger with AI lies not in malice but in scale and speed. A misaligned model can produce harmful content orders of magnitude faster than any individual human and spread it globally in seconds. The fact that these undesirable “personas” emerge unintentionally from training highlights a deeper issue: we don't fully understand how complex behaviors arise in large models. Moreover, even well-aligned AIs can be exploited by malicious users—so fixing the model itself is only part of the safety equation. Nonetheless, the core idea still stands: While humans are often impervious to change, AI systems can be monitored, adjusted, and re-trained. The real challenge isn't that AI is inherently evil. Rather, the key point is that AI is too powerful, opaque, and still developing faster than our tools for governing it. But with the right interpretability and alignment techniques, AI's “shadow” can be confronted—and unlike in humans, perhaps even tamed.

Link: <https://techcrunch.com/2025/06/18/openai-found-features-in-ai-models-that-correspond-to-different-personas/>

Posted on June 20, 2025

In the increasingly broad domain of data science and machine learning (DSML), many traditional statistical tools are often retroactively labeled as DSML algorithms. Among these, ordinary least squares (OLS) regression is frequently included in DSML curricula, textbooks, and software libraries.

However, a closer philosophical and technical examination raises a compelling question: Is classical OLS regression truly a machine learning method? This video explores that debate, drawing attention to the conceptual foundations of machine learning, and ultimately argues that while enhanced forms of regression modeling may qualify, the classical one-shot OLS procedure falls short of the defining characteristics of machine learning.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=md2rJM5l2cY>

Posted on June 18, 2025

Why is PCA considered a machine learning method while EFA generally isn't? PCA is a data-driven algorithm that fits perfectly into the machine learning paradigm of unsupervised learning. Please watch the video to learn the details.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jxtcch58PiE>

Posted on June 17, 2025

Recently Meta agreed to invest \$14.3–15 billion to acquire a 49% non-voting stake in Scale AI, valuing the data-labeling firm at around \$29 billion. This strategic deal brings Scale's co-founder and CEO, Alexandr Wang, into Meta to lead a newly formed "superintelligence" lab, reporting directly to Mark Zuckerberg, while Wang remains on Scale's board.

Meta hopes this partnership will help it close the gap with frontrunners like OpenAI and Google—particularly after its underwhelming Llama 4 rollout—by securing a steady pipeline of high-quality, labeled data and top-tier AI talent

However, the move has generated significant ripples across the AI ecosystem. Major Scale clients such as Google, OpenAI, Microsoft, and xAI have started cutting or reducing ties due to concerns over data neutrality and guarding proprietary training data—leading many labs to seek out independent labeling alternatives. Industry analysts describe this as a watershed moment that may reshape the broader AI data market, as both providers and consumers reassess vendor neutrality and the strategic implications of partner consolidation.

That's my take on it

Scale AI was founded in 2016 by Alexandr Wang, who was a 19-year-old student at MIT at the time, alongside co-founder Lucy Guo. Their vision was to build the data infrastructure backbone for AI by revolutionizing data labeling.

Data labeling is the process of annotating raw data—like images, text, or video—with meaningful tags or classifications so that machine learning models can learn from it. While traditional data labeling often relies on crowdsourced labor to manually tag items (e.g., identifying objects in pictures), Scale AI combines automated tools, AI-assisted pre-labeling, and quality-controlled human input to deliver highly accurate datasets at scale. Unlike generic crowdsourcing platforms, Scale provides robust infrastructure, audit trails, and deep integrations with major AI labs.

Better data labeling plays a foundational role in Meta's pursuit of superintelligence by enabling the creation of high-quality training and alignment data. As models grow more powerful, their performance and safety increasingly depend on precise, well-curated data, especially for tasks like reasoning, multi-step problem solving, and value alignment through human feedback. By bringing Scale AI's expertise in scalable, human-in-the-loop data labeling in-house, Meta can streamline and control the entire training pipeline, from raw data to fine-tuned, safety-aligned models. This vertical integration helps Meta accelerate model development, improve quality, and reduce reliance on external data providers—putting it in a stronger position to compete with AI leaders like OpenAI and Google in the race toward general-purpose superintelligent systems.

By converting Scale AI into a near-sister company, Meta is doubling down on data infrastructure as a core competitive asset. But this aggressive move also introduces new risks: antitrust attention, partner friction, and potential loss of business from labs wary of aligning too closely with Meta. It is very likely that Google, Microsoft, OpenAI,

and others will now move to either build or deepen partnerships with alternative data-labeling providers to reclaim control over their pipelines

Links: <https://www.theverge.com/meta/685711/meta-scale-ai-ceo-alexandr-wang>
<https://apnews.com/article/meta-ai-superintelligence-agi-scale-alexandr-wang-4b55aabf7ea018e38ffdccb66e37cf26>

Posted on June 14, 2025

Recently the Japan Center for Economic Research (JCER) predicts that China will significantly benefit from AI-equipped robots, helping to narrow its GDP gap with the U.S. by the 2050s. However, China is not expected to surpass the U.S. economically, mainly due to its rapidly shrinking population. JCER anticipates China's real GDP will be 3.5 times its 2024 level by the late 2050s, reaching 89% of the U.S.'s size by 2057, before growth slows dramatically.

A key driver of this growth is expected to be the introduction of artificial general intelligence (AGI), particularly in the form of physical robots, which could massively boost factory automation and productivity in China's manufacturing-heavy economy. AGI adoption is forecast to begin in software sectors around 2030, and in robotics by 2035. During the 2030s and 2040s, China's GDP growth is projected to average around 4.3% and 3.7% respectively, but will slow considerably to near zero by 2075, when its population may have shrunk by 40%, down to 854 million.

Meanwhile, the U.S. will also benefit from AI, though to a lesser extent, with steadier growth due to a more stable population outlook. JCER forecasts U.S. GDP growth at 3.3% in the 2030s, declining gradually to 1.4% by 2075. Although the U.S. won't see as explosive gains as China, its more sustainable demographic profile ensures it maintains economic leadership long-term.

JCER also noted that the full benefits of AGI depend on equitable distribution across society, not just enrichment of tech giants. Historical comparisons show the projected productivity growth from AGI rivals that of past tech revolutions, such as electricity and the automobile.

That's my take on it:

To some certain extent JCER's prediction is plausible. China's economy is deeply tied to manufacturing. If AI-powered robots — especially those driven by artificial general intelligence (AGI) — reach practical use in factories, it could dramatically improve output with fewer workers. However, its heavy reliance on the assumption that artificial general intelligence (AGI) will emerge and become economically transformative by the 2030s makes the projection feel somewhat optimistic. AGI remains a highly theoretical concept, and scaling it into cost-effective, industrial-grade robotics is a massive leap with uncertain timelines.

In addition, JCER's scenario doesn't factor in trade tensions, tech sanctions, or geopolitical instability. These could limit China's access to high-end semiconductors, software, and R&D partnerships — all crucial for advanced AI. Nonetheless, current U.S. immigration and geopolitical policies have made it increasingly difficult for Chinese AI researchers to remain in the U.S., prompting many to return home or stay away entirely. This unintended consequence could accelerate China's domestic AI capabilities and partially offset the so-called "talent bottleneck." In that context, while demographic decline and technological uncertainty are real constraints, China's growing self-sufficiency in AI talent and infrastructure may give its economy more lift

than many anticipate — even if the timeline for AGI-driven transformation may be slower than JCER projects.

Link: <https://asia.nikkei.com/Business/Technology/Artificial-intelligence/AI-robots-will-narrow-China-s-GDP-gap-with-the-US-says-think-tank2>

Posted on June 7, 2025

Many prominent voices have expressed concern over the possibility that artificial intelligence may one day become so advanced that it achieves self-awareness and poses a serious threat to humanity. While this science fiction scenario continues to captivate the public imagination, it remains a distant possibility.

The more immediate and tangible danger, however, comes not from AI itself—but from people. Specifically, it is bad actors and malicious users who are already misusing AI in troubling ways. Contrary to the popular belief shaped by sci-fi films—where AI manipulates and dominates humans—the reality today is quite the opposite: it is humans who are learning to manipulate AI.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c2TyPvigajQ>

Posted on June 6, 2025

Recently OpenAI has revealed that it disrupted multiple attempts to misuse its AI models for cyber threats and covert influence operations, many of which likely originated from China. In its latest report, covering the period since February 21, the Microsoft-backed company detailed ongoing efforts by its investigative teams to detect and block malicious activity. While such misuse spans several countries, OpenAI noted that a “significant number” of the violations appeared to come from China, with four of ten analyzed cases pointing in that direction. One case involved the suspension of ChatGPT accounts used to generate content for a covert influence campaign, including a prompt in which a user claimed affiliation with China’s propaganda department—though OpenAI acknowledged it couldn’t independently verify this claim.

Responding to the report, a spokesperson from the Chinese Embassy emphasized China’s stated commitment to the ethical development of AI and criticized what he called baseless speculation in attributing cyber incidents. OpenAI reiterated that its policies prohibit the use of its AI tools for fraud, cyberattacks, or disinformation campaigns and that it routinely bans accounts that violate these rules. While ChatGPT has brought transformative changes since its public launch in late 2022, enabling new ways to learn and work, OpenAI underscored the growing risk of its misuse. In a letter to U.S. authorities in March, the company stressed the need for clear, sensible regulations to prevent authoritarian regimes from weaponizing AI for coercion, influence, or cyber warfare.

That’s my take on it:

ChatGPT can diagnose system vulnerabilities and give advice on cybersecurity. Why couldn’t ChatGPT protect itself from malicious or illegitimate users? This question highlights a paradox at the heart of modern AI. In Chinese, there’s a saying: “*A doctor cannot heal herself*” (能醫不自醫)—a reminder that even experts may fail when turning their tools inward. Similarly, philosopher Bertrand Russell once illustrated a logical dilemma with the example of a barber who shaves all those who do not shave themselves—raising the question of whether the barber can shave himself without contradiction. Both metaphors speak to the challenge of self-application: ChatGPT may offer valuable guidance on cybersecurity, but it cannot autonomously safeguard itself. While it can assist others in identifying and defending against digital threats, it lacks the capacity to evaluate its own use or prevent misuse without external oversight.

This leads to the first and perhaps most important distinction: ChatGPT is not an autonomous defender. It doesn't operate like a firewall or an antivirus program that scans for and blocks threats in real time. Instead, it responds to prompts and returns information based on patterns in its training data. It cannot proactively detect when a user has malicious intent because it lacks situational awareness, memory of past interactions, or access to external signals that might indicate abuse. The model itself doesn't "know" if it's being misused—it just generates responses based on the inputs it receives.

Link: https://www.wsj.com/tech/ai/openai-says-significant-number-of-recent-chatgpt-misuses-likely-came-from-china-765503f2?mod=Searchresults_pos12&page=1

Posted on June 6, 2025

Reddit has filed a lawsuit against AI startup Anthropic in California state court, accusing it of unlawfully scraping millions of Reddit user comments to train its Claude chatbot without permission or compensation. This legal move marks another chapter in the intensifying clash between content creators and AI firms over data usage rights. While Reddit has licensing deals in place with companies like Google and OpenAI—agreements that safeguard user privacy and offer financial compensation—Anthropic allegedly bypassed these protocols. The lawsuit claims that despite public statements to the contrary, Anthropic's bots accessed Reddit's servers over 100,000 times, using the data as early as December 2021.

Reddit is now seeking monetary damages and a court order to enforce compliance, along with a jury trial. In response, Anthropic, valued at \$61.5 billion and supported by Amazon, denied the allegations and vowed to defend itself vigorously. The case underscores broader tensions in the AI space, as lawsuits from artists, writers, and media outlets mount, challenging AI companies' reliance on "fair use" to justify data scraping. The outcomes of these early-stage cases could significantly influence the future direction of AI development. Reddit's share price, meanwhile, saw a 6% boost following the news.

That's my take on it:

Web scraping is not a new phenomenon—developers, researchers, and businesses have been using it for years to collect publicly available data from websites for various practical purposes like price comparison, market research, and academic study. However, the use of web scraping to train AI models is relatively new, and it has thrown the tech world—and the legal world—into a period of uncertainty and contention. The rise of AI has introduced an entirely different scale and purpose to scraping, and the core issue is that U.S. copyright law simply wasn't designed with machine learning or massive, automated data harvesting in mind. As a result, we're now facing a legal and ethical crossroads, unsure of where the boundaries lie between innovation and infringement.

Traditional scraping typically involves pulling data from websites—like flight prices or weather reports—to display them in another format or analyze them for trends. These cases, while not always welcomed by websites, usually don't generate much public outcry because the intent is narrow and the impact limited. In contrast, AI scraping is indiscriminate and monumental in scale. Companies like Anthropic, OpenAI, and Google have scraped millions or even billions of pieces of content—from Reddit threads to books—to feed their large language models. These models don't just retrieve the data—they absorb it, creating something that appears to "understand" and generate human language. That shift—from using data as reference to using it as fuel for generative systems—makes the entire practice far more ethically and legally complex.

The concept of "fair use" further complicates the matter. While fair use can allow for limited use of copyrighted content without permission, it hinges on context—such as whether the use is transformative, non-commercial, or affects the market for the original work. In AI training, however, it's hard to argue that scraping vast amounts of content for commercial products like chatbots is harmless or transformative in the legal sense. The models built from that content can reproduce ideas, styles, or even phrases from the original works, often without attribution or compensation. This stretches the fair use doctrine to a degree that courts are only beginning to grapple with. While AI companies argue that training models is transformative because it doesn't replicate any one piece of content directly, many creators and platforms disagree, especially when the output closely mirrors or builds on copyrighted material.

Given the high stakes and legal ambiguity, something clearly needs to change. Lawmakers, courts, and tech companies must work toward updated frameworks that account for the realities of machine learning. This could involve clearer regulations on what constitutes fair use in the context of AI training, standardized licensing models for data used at scale, and greater transparency from AI companies about what data they're using and how. Platforms that host user-generated content—like Reddit—should also have clearer controls and options to manage how their data are accessed and used by third parties. Ultimately, innovation in AI shouldn't come at the cost of consent, compensation, or creative ownership. It's time for the legal system and data ethicists to catch up with the technology it's trying to govern.

Link: <https://techxplore.com/news/2025-06-reddit-sues-ai-giant-anthropic.html>

Posted on June 5, 2025

On June 5 2025, FutureHouse, a non-profit AI research startup supported by former Google CEO Eric Schmidt, has unveiled its latest innovation: a reasoning-capable AI model called **ether0**, aimed at transforming the scientific research process. Unlike earlier language models that learned from vast corpora of chemistry literature, ether0 was trained uniquely — by **taking over half a million chemistry test questions** compiled from lab results and scholarly data. This distinctive training method allowed it to develop a deeper, more flexible kind of understanding. Rather than attempting to memorize chemical facts, ether0 learned to **reason its way** through complex problems, tracking its "train of thought" in natural language — a notable step toward transparency in AI decision-making.

To achieve this, the team started with a relatively compact large language model developed by **Mistral AI**, a French start-up. This base model is about **25 times smaller than DeepSeek-R1**, a Chinese model previously celebrated for its reasoning capabilities. Thanks to its small size, ether0 can even run on a laptop, making it unusually accessible. Instead of just brute-forcing data into the model, FutureHouse encouraged it to learn by solving problems — a nod to the emerging AI paradigm of **reasoning models**, which aim to simulate understanding rather than pattern recognition. These models, such as DeepSeek-R1, promote internal dialogues and chain-of-thought reasoning, which studies have shown can significantly enhance problem-solving accuracy on complex scientific questions.

That's my take on it:

Ether0's performance is impressive — in some cases, it **doubled the accuracy** of top-tier models like GPT-4.1 and DeepSeek-R1 on specific chemistry tasks, despite using much less data. The model's ability to **infer properties of molecules it wasn't explicitly trained on**, like predicting molecular structures to match NMR spectra, marks a meaningful leap forward in AI reasoning.

The rise of ether0 highlights some deeper shifts in the trajectory of artificial intelligence. While much of the public conversation around AI is centered on the pursuit of artificial general intelligence (AGI), the scientific community appears to be leaning into a more focused vision — one where domain-specific AI systems, such as AI for science, play a leading role. Tools like ether0 are designed not to mimic broad human cognition but to master specialized tasks like hypothesis generation, molecular analysis, and experimental reasoning. This suggests that in the future, we may see

general-purpose AIs and specialized systems coexisting, each fulfilling distinct roles in research, industry, and society.

At the same time, the global landscape of AI leadership is becoming more distributed. The dominance once held almost exclusively by U.S.-based companies like OpenAI and Google is being challenged by innovations from other regions. China's DeepSeek-R1, Japanese Sakana, and France's Mistral AI are clear examples of high-performing models that are shaping new AI frontiers. FutureHouse's use of a compact Mistral model to create ether0 — and surpass larger models like GPT-4.1 in certain tasks — further underscores a second trend: **bigger isn't always better**. As this case shows, smaller, more efficient models can deliver competitive or even superior results when carefully trained for specific reasoning tasks. This could signal a broader shift in AI development strategy — from scaling up endlessly to optimizing intelligently.

Link: <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-025-01753-1>

Posted on June 5, 2025

As artificial intelligence continues to advance and integrate into critical sectors of society, two roles are emerging as essential to the future of the field: **AI training** and **AI monitoring**. While both will play significant roles in shaping the reliability and safety of AI systems, it is increasingly evident that AI monitoring is likely to be in higher and more sustained demand over time.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLKjdnxxJp8>

Posted on June 3, 2025

On May 29, 2025 Perplexity AI launched Perplexity Labs, a platform that empowers users to transform ideas into polished, interactive results, such as reports, spreadsheets, dashboards, interactive maps and even mini web apps, with minimal effort. The platform offers a wide range of functionalities by combining **real-time research, code execution, and data visualization**. Users simply provide a prompt or project idea, and Labs takes care of gathering information, analyzing data, and crafting visually engaging outputs—all within a unified workspace.

For example, educators and history enthusiasts can request an interactive map detailing the Pacific Theater during World War II, allowing users to explore key battles, timelines, and outcomes with just a few clicks. Investors and finance professionals can generate dynamic dashboards that compare the 5-year performance of a traditional stock portfolio against an AI-powered strategy that adapts to market sentiment and macroeconomic trends. For those interested in global economics, Labs can create an interactive “Global Economic Indicator Tracker” dashboard, monitoring real-time data from around the world and providing actionable insights for portfolio management.

Overall, Perplexity Labs is designed to **make advanced data analysis and visualization accessible to anyone**, regardless of technical background, by automating the heavy lifting and delivering results that are both insightful and easy to interact with. At the present time, this tool is available to Perplexity Pro subscribers.

That’s my take on it:

As of June 2025, OpenAI, Google Gemini, and Anthropic’s Claude each offer advanced AI tools with some overlapping features, but none provides a direct equivalent to Perplexity Labs’ all-in-one project automation and interactive visualization platform. Nonetheless, based on the current trajectory of OpenAI, Google Gemini, and Anthropic’s Claude, it is highly likely that these platforms will introduce features or platforms similar to Perplexity Labs in the near future.

The ease and automation brought by advanced AI tools like Perplexity Labs raise important questions about the impact on human work ethics, cognitive capability, and creativity. **Creativity and critical thinking** increasingly recognized as uniquely human traits that AI cannot fully replicate. As AI takes over analytical and routine tasks, the focus on human creativity and judgment becomes more pronounced. Educators are encouraged to nurture these qualities, ensuring that AI serves as a tool **to amplify, rather than replace, human ingenuity**. However, there is a risk that if creativity and critical thinking are not actively cultivated, they may be undervalued or sidelined in a highly automated environment.

Link: <https://www.perplexity.ai/hub/blog/introducing-perplexity-labs>

Posted on May 30, 2025

The 2025 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), held from May 19 to 23 at the Georgia World Congress Center in Atlanta, marked a historic milestone as the largest gathering in the conference's history. Notable award-winning research included advancements in robot learning, human-robot interaction, and medical robotics. The exhibition floor highlighted cutting-edge technologies, including the Gecko robot designed for wall and pipe inspections, and the "Arts in Robotics" program emphasized the intersection of robotics with creative disciplines through performances and installations. ICRA 2025 not only underscored significant technical achievements but also fostered global collaboration and inclusivity, setting a precedent for future conferences.

Importantly, China's robotics industry is experiencing rapid growth, with **over 190,000 robotics-related companies** registered last year and 44,000 more added since the start of 2025. The government aims to mass-produce humanoid robots by 2025, targeting a globally competitive industrial ecosystem worth \$43 billion by 2035.

This surge is fueled by a combination of factors: robust government support, a vast and efficient supply chain, and the integration of technologies from the electric vehicle sector. Major players like **Unitree Robotics, AgiBot, and UBTech** are leading the charge, with plans to produce thousands of units annually. For instance, UBTech's robots are already being tested in facilities of companies such as BYD and Nio.

Analysts predict that the cost of producing humanoid robots could halve by 2030, making them more accessible for widespread adoption. With this momentum, China is positioning itself to potentially produce over half of the world's humanoid robots by 2025, solidifying its role as a global leader in this transformative industry.

That's my take on it:

While the figure of 190,000+ registered robotics-related companies sounds staggering, not all of these are full-fledged robotics developers. A good chunk are likely involved peripherally—providing components, software, consulting, training, or even just riding the hype wave to attract funding. The robotics sector in China is going through what could be called a "gold rush phase", and like all gold rushes, many players will disappear once the hype cools down and the real work (and cost) of scaling kicks in. In contrast to China's vast and rapidly growing ecosystem, the United States has a much more concentrated robotics landscape, with around 600 robotics suppliers nationwide and only about 55 companies recognized as notable players—among

them **Boston Dynamics, iRobot, and Intuitive Surgical**. While this number may seem modest compared to China's 190,000+ registered robotics-related firms, the U.S. approach might reflect a more strategic allocation of resources. By focusing capital, talent, and innovation within a smaller pool of highly capable companies, the U.S. may be better positioned to develop breakthrough technologies and commercially viable solutions.

Links: https://roboticsandautomationnews.com/2025/05/30/record-breaking-icra-2025-highlights-robotics-breakthroughs-and-top-research-awards/91370/?utm_source=chatgpt.com
https://time.com/7288660/shift-east-china-electric-vehicles-economy-technology-trump-tariffs-ai/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

Posted on May 28, 2025

The video below is about bridging from descriptive and inferential statistics to the new taxonomy of data science. Thank you for your attention.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IZoNSq4Z5jg>

Posted on May 24, 2025

In the evolution of data analysis, there has been a noticeable shift in how we categorize data analytical practices. Traditionally, statistics has been divided into two major branches: descriptive statistics and inferential statistics. In the world of data science and machine learning (DSML), a more modern and nuanced classification has taken root: descriptive, diagnostic, predictive, and prescriptive analytics. There is a tantalizing question: Are Diagnostic, Predictive, and Prescriptive Analytics Just Inferential Statistics? The next important question is: Does the New Classification Lead to Practical Applications?

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IZoNSq4Z5jg>

Posted on May 24, 2025

In the rapidly evolving world of artificial intelligence, it's tempting to search for a definitive champion among models and algorithms. Yet, history repeatedly shows us that the "winning" approach can shift dramatically when faced with new, more complex challenges. For a long period, neural networks remained in the shadows, sidelined by more analytically appealing models. In contrast, support vector machines (SVMs) rose to prominence, acclaimed for their robust mathematical foundations and strong performance on small to medium-sized datasets. The turning point is 2012 AlexNet.

The success of neural networks results from British, Russian, Chinese, Canadian, Israeli, French, and American scientists. If international students are no longer welcome in the US, I really worry about the future of AI development.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Rq-CCH3qENw>

Posted on May 24, 2025

The following is a video about the connections between frequentist statistics and data science/machine learning.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6zZZj-R14rw>

Posted on May 23, 2025

The evolution of data analysis has witnessed the emergence of two seemingly distinct paradigms: classical frequentist statistics and modern machine learning. While these approaches are often portrayed as fundamentally different in their philosophical foundations and methodological emphasis, a deeper examination reveals several profound connections that demonstrate their shared mathematical heritage and complementary nature. Understanding these connections not only illuminates the theoretical underpinnings of both fields but also provides insights into how statistical principles continue to inform contemporary data science practices.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6zZZj-R14rw>

Posted on May 23, 2025

Google has just unveiled Veo 3, a groundbreaking leap in AI-driven video generation. Unlike its predecessors, Veo 3 doesn't just craft stunning visuals from text prompts—it now seamlessly integrates **synchronized audio**, including dialogue, ambient sounds, and music, bringing a new level of realism to AI-generated content. This means characters not only move convincingly but also speak with accurate **lip-syncing**, making the generated videos eerily lifelike.

Developed by Google DeepMind, Veo 3 excels in translating complex prompts into coherent, cinematic scenes, complete with realistic physics and nuanced audio. Whether it's a stand-up comedy routine with audience laughter or a historical reenactment with period-accurate soundscapes, Veo 3 delivers with impressive fidelity. Currently, Veo 3 is available to U.S.-based users through Google's \$249.99/month **AI Ultra subscription plan** and to enterprise customers via **the Vertex AI platform**.

That's my take on it:

Tools like Veo 3, priced at \$249.99/month, are clearly out of reach for most individuals, especially casual creators, students, and people in lower-income regions. In the short term, this definitely contributes to the **digital divide**. Those who can afford access to cutting-edge AI tools will have a serious creative and economic edge—think faster content production, higher-quality marketing materials, better media reach, etc. It's a classic case of "the rich get richer."

Historically, though, we've seen tech costs come down significantly over time. For example, when personal computers were introduced in the 1980s, it costed thousands of dollars. Now A decent laptop or smartphone, often more powerful than early supercomputers, is available for a few hundred dollars. AI will likely follow a similar curve. As the technology matures, infrastructure gets more efficient, competition increases, and cloud-based access becomes more scalable, the price will probably drop. The big unknown is the speed—but if history is the guide, **7 years or less** is a reasonable bet for mass accessibility.

Link: <https://deepmind.google/models/veo/>

Posted on May 22, 2025

Today (May 22, 2025) Anthropic has officially released two major updates: **Claude Sonnet 4** and **Claude Opus 4**. These models mark a significant step forward in large language model (LLM) development.

Claude Opus 4 is positioned as an all-purpose AI assistant, capable of answering everyday questions and handling common tasks. It's being touted as the world's most advanced coding model, particularly effective at managing complex, long-running tasks and structured agent workflows.

Meanwhile, **Claude Sonnet 4** is engineered for even more sophisticated use cases. It's a direct upgrade from Claude Sonnet 3.7 and features substantial improvements in both reasoning and coding capabilities. It's more precise in interpreting and following user instructions and excels at solving complex challenges.

A standout enhancement in both models is their ability to **interleave reasoning with tool use**—essential for tackling multi-step problems. They now support extended thinking by dynamically switching between logical inference and external tools to improve response quality.

Another notable update: when given local file access, both models can now **extract and store key facts in local 'memory files.'** This allows them to maintain continuity across sessions and build a kind of “tacit memory” over time. Additionally, Anthropic has introduced **parallel tool use** and upgraded the models' ability to follow nuanced instructions.

That's my take on it:

I put both models to the test, and the results were genuinely impressive.

I first gave **Claude Sonnet 4** a conceptual prompt: *What's the connection between the frequentist school of statistics and data science/machine learning?* The model returned a comprehensive and spot-on analysis. It discussed topics like **optimization theory, regularization techniques, asymptotic theory, cross-validation, and information theory**, among others. The response was detailed, accurate, and clearly structured.

Next, I uploaded a dataset to **Claude Opus 4** and asked it to perform multiple tasks: **OLS regression using dummy coding, generalized regression, and a decision tree model**, followed by a **model comparison**. The execution was smooth and correct. However, one limitation stood out—the output was entirely text-based. The decision tree, for instance, was represented using plain text symbols rather than a visual graphic like those produced by JMP Pro, SAS, SPSS, or JASP. Thus, it's not quite ready to replace conventional statistical software—at least not yet.

That said, Claude does something those tools typically can't: **It interprets results, writes up findings, and even offers thoughtful recommendations.** For example: “Individuals with middle or higher SES show approximately 0.39 points lower involvement compared to those with lower SES ($p = 0.027$)... All models explain only about 10% of the variance in involvement, suggesting: Important predictors may be missing from the analysis, the relationship between these demographics and involvement is weak, or involvement may be driven more by psychological or situational factors... The decision tree hints at interaction effects that could be formally tested in future analyses.”

It writes like a consultant, only faster and cheaper. Honestly, with Claude doing all this, I might be out of a job soon!

Link: <https://www.anthropic.com/news/claude-4>

Posted on May 22, 2025

No doubt, artificial intelligence will disrupt the economy and reshape the job market. Austrian political economist Joseph Schumpeter famously argued that lost jobs, shuttered companies, and obsolete industries are not flaws in capitalism, but essential features. This dynamic — where innovation replaces the old with the new — is what he called creative destruction.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V1xyKnTbKP8>

Posted on May 21, 2025

In the article titled "Has AI Hit a Lull?" published on May 21, 2025, Fareed Zakaria CNN commentator Fareed Zakaria explores the current state of artificial intelligence, highlighting its dramatic highs, troubling lows, and emerging signs of stagnation in mainstream adoption. On the upside, AI has achieved notable breakthroughs, such as Google's **medical chatbot** outperforming doctors and AI-generated art featured at the Museum of Modern Art. These examples reflect AI's transformative potential, particularly in healthcare and the arts. However, serious downsides are also surfacing. AI is being weaponized for **scams, misinformation, and even deepfake pornography**—issues that have already spurred legislative responses. Additionally, the internet is increasingly cluttered with low-effort, AI-generated "**slop**" **content**, which, while emotionally charged and highly shareable, undermines information quality.

Then there's the awkward middle ground—useful but error-prone AI, like Google's search "AI Overview," which famously suggested **eating glue and rocks**. Most crucially, AI may be stalling economically. The Economist reports a significant rise in companies abandoning AI pilot projects, as real-world integration proves tougher than expected. Many firms, disillusioned, now find they need practical tools rather than ever more powerful models. The result? **A noticeable lull in the AI boom**, as hype gives way to the hard work of implementation.

That's my take on it:

What Fareed described about AI today isn't new—it's part of a **recurring pattern**, likely rooted in human nature. Every time a breakthrough technology appears, we see the same cycle: some people use it for meaningful innovation, others generate low-quality output, and a few exploit it for unethical gains. During the internet boom, pioneers like Amazon and eBay redefined commerce, while shady online casinos and adult sites spread rapidly. When Adobe launched PageMaker and Photoshop, creative publishing was democratized—but the flood of awkward, poorly designed work led to collections like "**Photoshop Disasters**."

Now, with AI, we're seeing the same pattern play out. Groundbreaking applications coexist with scammy schemes, deepfake chaos, and a flood of low-effort content clogging up our feeds. It can feel chaotic—maybe even discouraging—but this is how technological progress tends to unfold. There's always **noise before clarity, confusion before mastery**. Still, this messiness is the price of progress. True

transformation doesn't come without trial and error, missteps, and the gradual process of learning how to wield new tools wisely.

Link: To view the full text, you need to sign up for Fareed's Global Briefing Newsletter.

Link: <https://www.cnn.com/newsletters/fareeds-global-briefing>

Posted on May 20, 2025

this video is about how to use data science and machine learning methods to deal with ANOVA-type problems.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0MMzFgemIE0>

Posted on May 20, 2025

When it comes to inference—procedures like the t-test, ANOVA, ANCOVA, and MANOVA—data science and machine learning (DSML) do not yet offer direct equivalents. These classical statistical tools answer questions about whether group differences are statistically significant. The absence of such tools in DSML is perhaps one of the reasons many researchers remain committed to classical statistics, especially when their goals center on explanation rather than prediction. So, what can we do?

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0MMzFgemIE0>

Posted on May 16, 2025

I created two more videos that aim to bridge statistics and data science. One of them is about the common ground shared by **maximum likelihood and machine learning**, and the other is about **how Bayesian modeling can be utilized to build probabilistic AI**. Please feel free to share them with your students. Hope it can bring up some conversation. Thank you for your attention.

Links:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6nbzzWfiUa4> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iUXHmvl-tps>

Posted on May 16, 2025

Probabilistic AI (PAI) offers a vital evolution in the way we build and interact with intelligent systems. It acknowledges the reality that our data are imperfect and our understanding incomplete, and it builds that awareness into the core of the model itself. By surfacing uncertainty, encouraging transparency, and offering interpretable reasoning, PAI doesn't just improve accuracy—it builds trust.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iUXHmvl-tps>

Posted on May 16, 2025

Today's data scientists and AI researchers can see further because they are standing on the shoulders of the great statisticians who came before them—figures like Ronald Fisher, the British pioneer who introduced the method of maximum likelihood (ML). That foundational idea continues to influence how we model, estimate, and build modern machine learning (also ML) systems today.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6nbzzWfiUa4>

Posted on May 15, 2025

China is actively gearing up for the ongoing and future AI rivalry with the United States by **stockpiling GPUs and advanced chipmaking tools**. In response to the latest U.S. restrictions that tighten global access to Huawei Technologies' AI chips, Chinese tech giant Tencent Holdings announced on May 14, 2025 that it has a sufficient reserve of previously acquired high-end chips to continue training its AI models “**for a few more generations.**” The company is also focusing on enhancing the efficiency of AI inference, including through software-based optimizations.

Meanwhile, China set a new record in 2024 for foreign chipmaking equipment imports, underscoring its push to scale up domestic semiconductor production and build a strategic reserve of critical manufacturing tools amid escalating U.S.-China tensions. Of the \$30.9 billion in imported equipment from major suppliers, nearly \$20 billion came **from Japan and the Netherlands**. Notably, China imported \$9.63 billion worth of equipment from Japan—a 28.23% increase year-on-year—marking the fifth consecutive record-setting year since tensions began intensifying in 2019.

This is my take on it:

China's stockpiling strategy, while a break from the **just-in-time (JIT)** model common in high-tech industries, makes strategic sense in the current geopolitical climate. With escalating U.S. export controls and uncertain access to advanced chips, stockpiling GPUs and chipmaking equipment offers a buffer against supply shocks. However, this approach carries real risks. In fast-evolving sectors like AI and semiconductors, hardware can become obsolete quickly. Holding large inventories of older chips may backfire if future AI models require capabilities that outdated hardware can't support efficiently.

China's tech giants, inspired by efforts like DeepSeek, believe they can still move forward using older GPUs through software optimizations, model distillation, and efficiency improvements. While such methods can stretch hardware utility, they often come with trade-offs in performance and scalability. Distilled models, for instance, may **lose generalization power**. Thus, while stockpiling offers short-term resilience, it is not a long-term solution. The success of this strategy ultimately depends on China's ability to sustain software innovation and close the hardware gap through domestic R&D or alternative supply chains. Whether this gamble pays off remains to be seen.

Links:

<https://asia.nikkei.com/Business/Technology/Tencent-says-chip-stockpile-can-power-AI-training-for-generations-despite-US-ban>

<https://asia.nikkei.com/Spotlight/Supply-Chain/Japan-Netherlands-win-as-China-s-chip-tool-imports-surge-on-US-tensions>

Posted on May 13, 2025

On May 12 2025 Sakana AI introduced a fascinating concept called the Continuous Thought Machine (CTM). The CTM is a new kind of neural network architecture that mimics how biological brains process information—not just in terms of structure, but in **how neurons behave over time**. Traditional AI models, like Transformers, process inputs in fixed layers and steps. CTMs, on the other hand, introduce two key innovations:

1. **Neuron-Level Temporal Processing:** Each artificial neuron retains a short history of its previous activity and uses that memory to decide when to activate again. This allows neurons to consider historical information, not just immediate input, making their activation patterns more complex and diverse—closer to how biological neurons work.
2. **Neural Synchronization:** Instead of relying solely on the strength of connections (weights) between neurons, CTMs focus on the **timing** of neuron activations. This synchronization enables the model to process information in a more dynamic and coordinated manner, akin to the oscillatory patterns observed in real brains.

Together, these mechanisms allow CTMs to "think" through problems step-by-step, making their reasoning process more interpretable and human-like. Unlike conventional models that process inputs in a single pass, CTMs can take several internal steps—referred to as "ticks"—to reason about a task, adjusting the depth and duration of their reasoning dynamically based on the complexity of the input.

That's my take on it:

CTMs represent a significant shift from traditional AI models by incorporating temporal dynamics and synchronization at the neuron level. This approach could lead to more flexible and efficient AI systems that better mimic human cognition.

Sakana AI is based in Tokyo, but its founders are globally known ex-Googlers. David Ha is the former head of research at Stability AI and a former Google Brain researcher, whereas Llion Jones is one of the co-authors of the original Transformer paper, "Attention Is All You Need."

The big question is: Can Japan Compete in a US/China-Dominated AI Market? Japan doesn't have the equivalents of OpenAI, Google, Meta, or Baidu. Its top tech companies (like Sony, NEC, Fujitsu) aren't leading in large-scale foundational models. Further, Japanese research has historically been strong in hardware, robotics, and manufacturing, but AI software innovation has lagged behind.

Nevertheless, Sakana AI is already attracting top-tier international researchers because it's building a focused, experimental, and minimalist research culture. It may become a kind of "AI Kyoto"—like what Kyoto Animation is to anime. Rather than chasing ever-larger LLMs like GPT-4 and beyond, Sakana is innovating in how models reason, not just how big they are. That could become a niche advantage.

Link: <https://sakana.ai/ctm/>

Posted on May 12, 2025

There is a widely held view in both academic and industry circles that text mining is a subset of data mining. This perspective is reflected in how research is categorized and presented: in prominent academic conferences such as ICDM (IEEE International Conference on Data Mining), papers focusing on text mining are often placed within broader data mining tracks.

This classification suggests an implicit hierarchical relationship. However, this is not an uncontested stance. The boundary between the two fields, while blurred in practice, is subject to ongoing debate regarding their theoretical and methodological independence.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IssXGaJ8K20>

Posted on May 12, 2025

Hi, all, I started making videos related to **bridging traditional statistics and data science/machine learning**. The following video is about how information criteria can be useful in both classical statistics and DSML. If you found it helpful, please feel free to use it for your classes or other educational activities. Thank you for your attention.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GTu5XF-QFUI>

Posted on May 11, 2025

While p-value-based decision-making offers a seemingly straightforward approach, its inherent limitations in being a one-size-fits-all, absolute measure based on a single analysis can be problematic. Relative model selection criteria like AIC, AICc, and BIC provide a more nuanced and robust framework by comparing multiple plausible models. Grounded in information theory, aligned with Occam's razor, and being compatible with inference to the best explanation, these criteria estimate the relative information loss or, from a Bayesian perspective, the evidence for different models. Their application spans traditional statistical modeling and modern data science, aiding in tasks ranging from regression analysis to feature selection.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GTu5XF-QFUI>

Posted on May 10, 2025

In an age where information flows constantly through diverse channels, the ability to understand and process data in multiple formats has never been more important. We live in a multimodal world—a reality composed not just of text, but of images, speech, videos, charts, and other forms of sensory and symbolic input. This growing need has driven the development of multimodal artificial intelligence—AI systems that can process and reason over data in more than one form. Let's explore!

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RADRU9zySi0>

Posted on May 9, 2025

For decades, the United States has stood at the pinnacle of artificial intelligence research, fueled in large part by a steady stream of global talent. But today, that dominance faces a serious threat—not from competition alone, but from within. Trump policies, such as proposed cuts to R&D budgets of NSF, NIH, and NASA, freezing or withdrawal of federal funding from several prominent universities, and heightened immigration restrictions, are already prompting many researchers to consider leaving the U.S. AI brain drain is happening.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Li1NblqcJTY>

Posted on May 9, 2025

On May 5, 2025, Julius Černiauskas published a thought-provoking article titled “Behind the Scenes of Using Web Scraping and AI in Investigative Journalism.” The summary is as follows:

While investigative journalism often conjures images of hidden sources and undercover work, many compelling stories begin with publicly available information—data hiding in plain sight. This is where web scraping, the automated extraction of online data, has become indispensable. It's not only a method for gathering facts quickly, but also a powerful tool for **holding institutions accountable**, revealing data manipulation, and uncovering misconduct. For instance, data scraping tools exposed that 38,000 articles about the war in Ukraine, all published in a single year, were attributed to the same supposed “journalist,” helping real reporters debunk fake journalism and identify inauthentic authorship.

Despite common misconceptions that web scraping is shady, journalists—including nonprofit newsroom The Markup—have actively defended it, even at the U.S. Supreme Court, arguing that it's critical to a **functioning democracy**. In tandem, artificial intelligence is amplifying what journalists can do with scraped data, from sifting through massive document troves to spotting anomalies and generating leads. Even those without coding skills can now use no-code tools like browser extensions to engage in data-driven storytelling. Yet, ethical concerns remain front and center. Journalists must use discretion when gathering and storing data, particularly when anonymity is vital, such as monitoring the dark web. Trained AI systems can assist with filtering sensitive content, but final editorial decisions must always lie with human professionals. Ultimately, the fusion of AI and web scraping empowers investigative reporters to uncover meaningful truths in a sea of digital noise, transforming journalism in the data age.

That's my take on it:

On one hand, **web scraping unlocks access to vast amounts of public information**, making it a critical tool for uncovering patterns, inconsistencies, or outright manipulation, like the case of the fake Ukraine war journalist. On the other hand, **robots.txt files and similar exclusion tags give website owners a way to block automated scraping**, whether for reasons of privacy, intellectual property, or security. Simply put, opt-out mechanism can be used to hide things from scrutiny.

This creates a structural **asymmetry**: those who have something to hide—or simply the means and awareness to deploy these exclusion tags—can wall off their content from automated analysis, while less technically-guarded or smaller sites remain open. In turn, this **can skew investigations** by making some patterns invisible and some actors untouchable. It also means that **bad-faith players who understand how to manipulate these rules can fly under the radar**, especially if journalists adhere strictly to ethical or legal boundaries around scraping.

There's also the valid concern about **intellectual property** and content ownership. Just because something is publicly viewable doesn't mean it's legally or ethically scrapeable. This is especially tricky when it comes to original reporting, personal blogs, or creative work, where scraping for republishing or mass analysis feels exploitative rather than investigative.

As such, **scraping-based journalism can be incomplete or biased, especially when key data sources opt out**—whether to hide shady activity or to protect legitimate rights. That's why **transparency in methodology** is so important.

Responsible journalists often disclose the scope and limits of their data collection, highlighting what they could and couldn't access. And it also points to a larger issue: **technology alone isn't enough**—a thoughtful, skeptical human must still decide what the data really means and where the blind spots lie.

Link: <https://hackernoon.com/behind-the-scenes-of-using-web-scraping-and-ai-in-investigative-journalism>

Posted on May 2, 2025

Huawei is rapidly emerging as a key player in the AI chip market, having begun deliveries of its advanced AI "cluster" system, **CloudMatrix 384**, to domestic clients in China, according to the *Financial Times*. This development comes in response to growing U.S. export restrictions that have made it increasingly difficult for Chinese companies to acquire Nvidia's high-end semiconductors. Huawei has reportedly sold over ten units of the CloudMatrix 384, a system that links together a large number of AI chips, and these have been shipped to data centers supporting various Chinese tech firms.

Dylan Patel, founder of SemiAnalysis, stated that CloudMatrix 384 is capable of outperforming Nvidia's flagship NVL72 cluster in both computational power and memory. Despite some drawbacks—namely higher power consumption and more complex software maintenance—CloudMatrix is seen as a viable and attractive alternative, especially given China's deep engineering talent pool and ample energy resources. This marks a significant strategic shift as China looks to reduce its dependence on Western AI hardware.

That's my take on it:

The CloudMatrix 384 consumes nearly four times more power than the NVL72, leading to lower energy efficiency. Despite this, in regions like China where power availability is less constrained, the higher energy consumption is considered an acceptable compromise for the increased computational capabilities.

Based on the current trend, it is unlikely that Huawei's technology can catch up Nvidia's in the near future. Nvidia isn't just a chipmaker—it's an ecosystem. It dominates the AI space not only with its hardware (e.g., H100) but also with its software stack (CUDA, cuDNN, TensorRT, etc.). These tools are mature, widely adopted, and deeply integrated into enterprise and research workflows.

But don't forget that in the '80s, Japan's chipmakers like NEC, Toshiba, and Hitachi managed to outcompete U.S. firms like Intel in DRAM by focusing on quality control, manufacturing efficiency, and aggressive investment. While Nvidia leads now, that lead isn't invincible.

Link: https://www.ft.com/content/cac568a2-5fd1-455c-b985-f3a8ce31c097?accessToken=zwAAAZcgU2HwkdPKxWiiX9FFXNO5hfOozjHAlwE.MEQCIASnmNkxJzppNfWifnU4F8NIZHhvb-dl-uQ92OJ4P8eqAiAKodKrU6w-8_cmYRzPi54CIKa2rBh2XKAP-t6iAFKwCw&segmentId=cac568a2-5fd1-455c-b985-f3a8ce31c097

Posted on May 2, 2025

Recently the strategic landscape of the global electric vehicle (EV) industry has witnessed a notable shift. Japanese automakers, long admired for their craftsmanship, reliability, and global reach, are increasingly partnering with Chinese tech firms renowned for their advancements in artificial intelligence and smart mobility platforms. Is the US falling behind?

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Xp52-mDDa4E>

Posted on May 2, 2025

AI bias has become a hot topic in recent years. For example, in *The AI Mirror*, Shannon Vallor discusses how AI models are trained on only a subset of all available data, and therefore cannot fully represent humanity. I absolutely agree that AI bias is real and demands our attention. Continuous improvement is essential if AI is to better serve the diversity of human needs. However, I also wonder: is the severity of AI bias being overstated, especially when compared to the methodologies we relied on before the AI era? In fact, one could argue that AI, when properly trained and deployed, has already made significant strides in *reducing* certain kinds of bias. Let's explore.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3YfIKEyEQe8>

Posted on April 29, 2025

Today, AI seems to be everywhere. It's revolutionizing industries from education to finance to health care. Ironically, however, when researchers set out to study AI's impact, many still lean on classical statistical methods, running OLS regression analysis, and reporting p-values, rather than embracing modern data science and machine learning techniques. Frankly, it feels like using a VHS camcorder to make content for Netflix. Even though AI and machine learning are reshaping the very fabric of modern life, academic research methodologies often remain stuck in the past.

This strange gap isn't unique to our time. Throughout history, every major paradigm shift has faced fierce resistance. New tools, methods, or models often take decades — even centuries — to become mainstream. Looking back, we can clearly see the same patterns playing out over and over. Let's find out why.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T-X-m3rLXZo>

Posted on April 28, 2025

Quantitative Research is more than statistics: Design, measurement, and analysis in the era of big data and AI

Many people equate quantitative research with statistical analysis. Indeed, statistics is only a subset of data analysis, and data analysis is only one of three components of quantitative research. The three components are:

1. Research design
2. Measurement or data collection
3. Data analysis

Link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aDIEYX_JIBM

Posted on April 27, 2025

AI could lead to serious social discontent. As individuals realize that years of education, experience, and hard work no longer secure them a stable place in the economy, frustration and resentment may grow. The divide between the "AI privileged" and the "AI disenfranchised" could mirror, or even worsen, existing economic inequalities. Access to cutting-edge AI will likely be determined by wealth, corporate affiliation, or geographical location — deepening the rift between those who can thrive and those left behind. Are you prepared for the consequences?

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1pdRZ1MMzNY>

Posted on April 25, 2025

Microsoft recently unveiled a bold vision for the future of work, predicting a shift where every employee becomes an “agent boss,” managing AI agents that perform many of their daily tasks. In Microsoft's 2025 Work Trend Index, they describe how organizations will evolve into what they call “**Frontier Firms**”—entities that rely on AI-powered teams blending humans and autonomous digital agents. These frontier firms are expected to operate with heightened agility, on-demand intelligence, and scalable workflows, fundamentally reshaping traditional corporate structures.

This transformation is described in three progressive phases. First, employees will work alongside **AI assistants**, using tools like Copilot to help draft emails, summarize meetings, or organize information. The second phase introduces **digital colleagues**—AI agents capable of more sophisticated, semi-independent tasks under human supervision. Finally, companies will move into a world of **autonomous agents**, where AI systems handle entire projects and business processes, with humans overseeing their performance and ensuring alignment with company goals.

A major driver behind this change is what Microsoft calls the “**capacity gap**.” Their research shows that 80% of employees feel overwhelmed by their workload, while more than half of corporate leaders believe their organizations must boost productivity to stay competitive. AI agents are positioned as the solution to bridge this gap, allowing human workers to offload routine work and refocus on complex, strategic, and creative initiatives.

However, the rise of AI agent bosses brings both opportunities and challenges. Job roles will inevitably shift. While some traditional jobs may be displaced, new categories such as AI agent trainers, performance auditors, and digital project managers will emerge. Organizations will also have to rethink team dynamics—balancing human ingenuity with machine efficiency to optimize output. Skill development will be critical: employees must learn how to manage, delegate to, and collaborate with AI agents effectively to succeed in this future landscape.

To prepare for this new reality, Microsoft suggests a proactive approach: fostering a culture of continuous learning, encouraging symbiotic human-AI collaboration, and establishing ethical frameworks for AI use. Strategic planning and adaptability will be essential as companies embrace the capabilities of AI while mitigating potential risks like job displacement and decision opacity.

That’s my take on it:

Ultimately, Microsoft's vision of "agent bosses" reflects not just a technological evolution, but a fundamental reimagining of the workplace itself. Those who can adapt, develop the right skills, and rethink traditional work processes will likely thrive in this AI-augmented future.

However, if we really follow Microsoft's logic (and similar visions from OpenAI, Google DeepMind, Anthropic, etc.), the future is less about personal stockpiles of skills or raw knowledge, and more about the "**amplification**" you get through your AI "employees" or teammates. The new premium will be on who has better AI agents, and who knows how to direct them effectively. It's almost like the future is a "**race of symbiosis**" — the best human-AI partnerships will win, not just the best humans.

Even if AI becomes the "great equalizer" by making knowledge universally accessible, it also amplifies differences in how creatively and strategically people use it. Think about the Industrial Revolution: it wasn't the strongest worker who became richest — it was the person who had access to the best machines and knew how to operate them smartly.

Links: <https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2025/apr/25/microsoft-says-everyone-will-be-a-boss-in-the-future-of-ai-employees>
<https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/technology/meet-your-new-ai-teammate-microsoft-sees-humans-as-agent-bosses-upending-the-workplace/ar-AA1DsNeY>

Posted on April 24, 2025

In a world increasingly shaped by algorithms and artificial intelligence, a pressing question emerges: are we still truly free to make our own choices? From what we watch on Netflix to the news we read on our social media feeds, AI recommendation systems shape and filter our experiences with remarkable precision. This video explores the implications of AI-powered recommendation systems on human autonomy and moral responsibility, interrogating whether we can still be held accountable for choices made under algorithmic influence. We'll examine these questions through the lens of four major philosophical perspectives on free will and consider the real-world implications for how we think, act, and govern ourselves in an AI-driven society.

Linked: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4_KNN1Y_u_E

Posted on April 24, 2025

Roger Penrose, a renowned British physicist and mathematician, believes that consciousness cannot be reduced to algorithms. His reasoning begins not with neuroscience, but with mathematics itself—specifically, with Gödel's incompleteness theorems.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yyJbdU9AgOE>

Posted on April 19, 2025

The Wikimedia Foundation has announced a new initiative aimed at reducing the strain placed on Wikipedia's servers by artificial intelligence developers who frequently scrape its content. In partnership with Kaggle, a Google-owned platform for data science and machine learning, Wikimedia has released a beta dataset containing structured Wikipedia content in English and French. This dataset is explicitly designed for machine learning workflows and offers a cleaner, more accessible alternative to scraping raw article text.

According to Wikimedia, the dataset includes machine-readable representations of Wikipedia articles in the form of structured JSON files. These contain elements such as research summaries, short descriptions, image links, infobox data, and various article sections. However, it intentionally excludes references and non-textual content like audio files. The goal is to provide a more efficient and reliable resource for tasks such as model training, fine-tuning, benchmarking, and alignment.

While Wikimedia already maintains content-sharing agreements with large organizations such as Google and the Internet Archive, this collaboration with Kaggle is intended to broaden access to high-quality Wikipedia data, particularly for smaller companies and independent researchers. Kaggle representatives have expressed enthusiasm for the partnership, highlighting their platform's role in supporting the machine learning community and their commitment to making this dataset widely available and useful.

That's my take on it:

While the release of a structured dataset by the Wikimedia Foundation is a meaningful step toward reducing reliance on web scraping, its overall impact on the broader data science community—particularly those working with unstructured data—may be limited. For data scientists focused on structured tasks such as natural language processing or machine learning applications involving encyclopedic knowledge, the dataset offers clear benefits. By providing pre-processed, machine-readable JSON files containing curated article content, it simplifies data ingestion and integration, reducing the overhead traditionally associated with scraping and cleaning raw HTML. This is particularly valuable for smaller organizations and independent researchers who may lack the infrastructure or resources to perform large-scale data extraction. However, for those whose work depends heavily on unstructured data—such as social media analysis, customer feedback mining, or domain-specific natural language processing—the dataset does little to alleviate their ongoing need to collect data from diverse, often messy sources. The vast majority of valuable online information remains in unstructured formats, and in many cases, it is accessible only through scraping or

limited APIs. As such, this initiative by Wikimedia is unlikely to replace the necessity of scraping for most real-world applications.

Web scraping is controversial. This move is symbolically significant. It reflects a broader trend toward encouraging **ethical and sustainable access** to machine-learning-relevant content. By offering a public, machine-learning-friendly dataset, Wikimedia sets a precedent that could inspire other content providers to follow suit, potentially reducing the strain caused by indiscriminate scraping and fostering greater transparency. In that sense, while the immediate practical implications may be narrow, the long-term influence on data access practices could be substantial.

Link: <https://www.theverge.com/news/650467/wikipedia-kaggle-partnership-ai-dataset-machine-learning>

Posted on April 18, 2025

A recent study by researchers from Carnegie Mellon, Stanford, Harvard, and Princeton suggests that over-training large language models (LLMs) may actually make them harder to fine-tune. Contrary to the common belief that more training leads to better performance, the team found diminishing returns—and even performance degradation—when they trained two versions of the OLMo-1B model with different token counts. One version was trained on 2.3 trillion tokens, and the other on 3 trillion. Surprisingly, the more heavily trained model performed up to 3% worse on evaluation benchmarks like ARC and AlpacaEval. This led the researchers to identify a phenomenon they call "catastrophic overtraining," where additional training causes the model to become increasingly sensitive to noise introduced during fine-tuning. They describe this growing fragility as "progressive sensitivity," noting that beyond a certain "inflection point," further training can destabilize the model and undo prior gains. To validate this, they introduced Gaussian noise during fine-tuning and observed similar drops in performance. The takeaway is clear: training beyond a certain threshold may reduce a model's adaptability, and developers may need to rethink how they determine optimal training duration—or develop new methods that extend the safe training horizon.

That's my take on it:

For years, the dominant belief in large language model (LLM) development has been that increasing model size and training data leads to better performance—a view

supported by early scaling law research (e.g., OpenAI's and DeepMind's work). The study conducted by CMU, Stanford, Harvard, and Princeton counter-argues that bigger may not be better. There are other studies concurring with this finding. In another study, even in smaller models (1B–10B), researchers have observed what they sometimes call “loss spike” behavior—where longer training actually causes performance drops, particularly in out-of-distribution generalization. That lines up with this idea of an “inflection point” the paper describes.

The key question is: “Where is the inflection point?” or “How much is too much?” Perhaps there’s no universal threshold. Some researchers are exploring ways to detect it, including tracking validation loss trends, fine-tuning adaptability at various checkpoints, analyzing gradient noise, and probing noise sensitivity (e.g., via Gaussian perturbations). Some even use loss landscape analysis or generalization curves to flag when models start to become brittle. Perhaps future progress in LLMs may depend less on pushing scale and more on training efficiency, model robustness, and smarter tuning strategies. Instead of asking “how big can we go?” we might now ask “how far should we go before it starts breaking things?”

Link: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.19206>

Posted on April 17, 2025

GPUs are in the spotlight when it comes to AI — and for good reason. They’re the workhorses behind the massive computational demands of training large language models, powering image recognition systems, and running real-time inference. Intel might miss the train, but is it irrelevant? Not really.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mF71kcXknnE>

Posted on April 16, 2025

Today, artificial intelligence is a household name — powering search engines, voice assistants, self-driving cars, and even generating human-like conversations. The AI revolution, largely led by the United States, took off with the rise of deep learning and large language models developed by companies like OpenAI, Google, and Meta. But decades before ChatGPT or GPT-4 made headlines, Japan had already launched a bold and ambitious attempt to build intelligent machines. In the 1980s, during its peak as a global tech superpower, Japan announced a sweeping national initiative: the Fifth Generation Computer Systems (FGCS) project. But, why did it fade away?

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mvcDA7jv-q0>

Posted on April 14, 2025

Artificial intelligence has transitioned from theoretical promise to a practical force transforming industries worldwide. This surge was propelled by advances in machine learning and the breakout of powerful generative AI models that can produce human-like text, images, and predictions. Across sectors such as healthcare, finance, education, marketing, and engineering, AI implementation accelerated lately. This

video examines recent global case studies in each of these fields, highlighting the practical applications and real-world impact of AI's deployment.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9EPd1WUrmfU>

Posted on April 12, 2025

This video is a brief introduction to Graphics Processing Units (GPUs), the backbone of AI. GPUs have transformed from niche graphics accelerators into the **workhorses of modern Artificial Intelligence**. NVIDIA, in particular, sits at the center of this revolution, providing both cutting-edge hardware and a rich software stack that together unleash unprecedented computing power. This overview will introduce NVIDIA's latest GPU hardware (like the **H100** and the **Grace Hopper Superchip**) and key software components (CUDA, cuDNN, TensorRT, etc.), explaining how they all fit together to accelerate AI. We'll also take a brief look at how NVIDIA became so pivotal in AI and how techniques like *reinforcement learning* are supported in NVIDIA's ecosystem.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VWShTgP5KEk>

Posted on April 10, 2025

Artificial Intelligence is often seen as a product of recent technological revolutions, but its intellectual roots stretch deep into the 20th century. Among the constellation of thinkers who paved the way for intelligent machines, few loom as large as **John von Neumann**. A polymath of rare genius, von Neumann made lasting contributions to mathematics, physics, economics, and computing. While he never designed an AI system per se, the foundational work he did across multiple domains now serves as the intellectual scaffolding for much of modern AI.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CcT3YJAUCeg>

Posted on April 8, 2025

This video provides an overview of several star LLMs – OpenAI's ChatGPT, Google's Gemini, Anthropic's Claude, DeepSeek, and Meta's LLaMA. Each of these major LLMs brings something unique to the table. Understanding their architectures and design philosophies helps us appreciate the diverse paths being taken to build ever more capable AI systems. Thank you for your attention.

Link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FJPX8Kf_FoU

Posted on April 6, 2025

Do you ever worry that AI might one day become self-aware—and turn against us? That fear is no longer confined to sci-fi blockbusters like *The Terminator*. It's being echoed by real-world AI pioneers.

Geoffrey Hinton, often called the “Godfather of AI,” has voiced deep concerns about the unchecked acceleration of artificial intelligence. While he hasn't gone so far as to say that AI is becoming conscious, he has warned that machines could soon surpass human intelligence—and if that happens, AI could take over us. We might face an existential threat if AI creates a super virus. It is alarming. Let's explore.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7-HSIACpgYk>

Posted on April 5, 2025

In 1943, a young man named Walter Pitts co-authored a seminal paper with neurophysiologist Warren McCulloch titled “A Logical Calculus of the Ideas Immanent in Nervous Activity.” This paper introduced the McCulloch-Pitts neuron—a revolutionary mathematical model of how real neurons could compute logical functions. This concept is foundational not just for neuroscience, but for artificial intelligence and the entire field of neural networks. But Pitts was almost forgotten. Why?

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1y0gFgEK0oY>

Posted on April 4, 2025

Are you considering pursuing professional development so that you can be more competitive in the job market? Are you worried your position might be displaced by AI? Are you wondering whether you should equip yourself with programming skills? In a world where both technology and the job market are evolving at lightning speed, these are real and valid questions. While facing the ever-changing landscape of automation and artificial intelligence, it's not always easy to know which direction to take. Let's dive into the ongoing debate: Is programming still a vital skill in the age of AI?

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=liZdCiwyFKg>

Posted on April 3, 2025

Imagine pointing your phone's camera at a dish you've never seen before and instantly getting a detailed description and recipe for it. Or consider a car that not only sees the road through cameras, but also hears sirens and reads traffic signs to make driving decisions. Thanks to multimodal AI, these scenarios become reality. Now artificial intelligence can understand and generate multiple types of data (modalities) like text, images, audio, and even video. This video is a brief introduction to multimodal AI: what it is, why it matters, how it works, real-world applications, key technologies (like GPT-4, CLIP, and Whisper), underlying principles, current challenges, and future possibilities.

Link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B_meiuvbNUK

Posted on March 29, 2025

Hi, all, I have posted another video on Youtube. The topic is: Will AI hit a plateau?

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2lxws32Wlts>

In 1968 American artist Andy Warhol predicted that "In the future, everyone will be world-famous for 15 minutes". This quote expresses the concept of fleeting celebrity and media attention. In the age of generative AI, Andy Warhol's prophecy echoes louder than ever: every model is famous for 15 minutes. AI has been growing at the pop culture speed. Models are celebrities. They rise fast, trend for a moment, then get dethroned. AI can't grow infinitely in capability, speed, or intelligence without hitting some hard ceilings. The key question is not if, but when this plateau might arrive, and what form it will take.

Posted on March 29, 2025

On March 25 2025, Google released Gemini 2.5, its latest AI model that outperforms all other existing AI models by all major benchmarks. Specifically, Google's Gemini 2.5 Pro has demonstrated superior performance compared to other leading AI models, including OpenAI's ChatGPT and DeepSeek's offerings, across various benchmarks.

Key Features of Gemini 2.5 Pro:

1. **Enhanced Reasoning Abilities:** Gemini 2.5 Pro is designed as a "thinking model," capable of processing tasks step-by-step, leading to more informed and accurate responses, especially for complex prompts. This advancement allows it to analyze information, draw logical conclusions, and incorporate context effectively.
2. **Advanced Coding Capabilities:** The model excels in coding tasks, including creating visually compelling web applications, agentic code applications, code transformation, and editing.
3. **Multimodal Processing:** Building upon Gemini's native multimodality, 2.5 Pro can interpret and process various data forms, including text, audio, images, video, and code. This versatility enables it to handle complex problems that require integrating information from multiple sources.
4. **Extended Context Window:** The model ships with a 1 million token context window, with plans to expand to 2 million tokens soon. This extensive context window allows Gemini 2.5 Pro to comprehend vast datasets and manage more extensive data, enhancing its performance in tasks requiring long-term context understanding.

That's my take on it:

In 1968 American artist Andy Warhol predicted that "In the future, everyone will be world-famous for 15 minutes". This quote expresses the concept of fleeting celebrity and media attention. In the age of generative AI, Andy Warhol's prophecy echoes louder than ever: every model is famous for 15 minutes. AI has been growing at the pop culture speed. Models are celebrities. They rise fast, trend for a moment, then get dethroned.

- **January 2025:** DeepSeek-VL and R1 stunned everyone—especially with open weights and insane capabilities in reasoning and math.
- **Early February:** OpenAI fired back with o3 (internally believed to be GPT-4.5), nudging the bar higher.
- **Late Feb/Early March: Qwen 2.5** enters and crushes multiple leaderboards, especially in multilingual and code-heavy tasks.
- **March 2025: Gemini 2.5 Pro** drops and suddenly becomes the new benchmark king in reasoning, long-context, and multi-modal tasks.

This is **not just fast-paced**—this is *accelerating*. Each "champion" barely holds the crown before someone new comes knocking. Just like any other tech curve (e.g., Moore's Law for chips), AI can't grow infinitely in capability, speed, or intelligence without hitting some hard ceilings. But the key question is not if, but when—and what kind of plateau we will encounter. I will explore this next.

Link: <https://blog.google/technology/google-deepmind/gemini-model-thinking-updates-march-2025/#gemini-2-5-thinking>

Posted on March 28, 2025

Hi, all, I have uploaded a new video to YouTube. John Searle's famous Chinese Room thought experiment challenges the idea that computers can truly "understand" language or possess minds. John McCarthy, the father of logical AI, explicitly called Searle's Chinese room a "fallacy". What is the controversy? This video is a brief explanation (4 minutes):

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sW0L48YwwLI>

Posted on March 27, 2025

ChatGPT-4o's image generation capabilities mark a major leap forward in AI creativity, blending high realism, smart prompt handling, and seamless editing tools in one powerful system. One of its standout strengths is **photo-realistic fidelity** — it renders textures, lighting, and detail with stunning clarity, often outperforming models like Midjourney or Stable Diffusion in visual accuracy.

It also has **exceptional prompt comprehension**, allowing users to describe complex, multi-layered scenes, styles, and emotions, and get results that align perfectly with their vision. Whether you want an anime character, a cyberpunk street scene, or a vintage oil painting, ChatGPT-4o switches styles effortlessly.

Another key advantage is its **reference-aware editing** — users can upload an image and make specific changes like altering backgrounds, adding objects, or modifying color tones. These edits blend in smoothly, avoiding awkward transitions or visual artifacts common in older tools.

Moreover, it handles **spatial reasoning** impressively. If you ask for a scene with specific object placement — like a vase to the left of a cat — it understands and respects composition accurately. This makes it ideal for design, storytelling, and visual planning tasks.

It also supports **iterative workflows** directly in the chat. You can request tweaks like “make the lighting softer” or “change the outfit to red,” and get updated versions quickly, without rewriting your prompt from scratch.

ChatGPT-4o further allows consistent visual output for characters or scenes across multiple images, perfect for comics or branding work. And with clean, high-resolution outputs, it minimizes distortion and maintains visual integrity even in fine detail.

The attachment shows a side-by-side comparison between the images created by 4o image generator and its previous version, DALL-E3.

That's my take on it:

One of the standout strengths of the **ChatGPT-4o image generator** is its exceptional ability to produce **technically accurate and visually effective infographics**. While most AI generators excel at creating photorealistic images, 4o distinguishes itself by delivering visuals that are genuinely **useful for educational and technical communication**.

When I need to generate illustrations for topics in statistics or computing, tools like **ReCraft** and **Ideogram** often fall short. They tend to approximate the concept or struggle with textual accuracy. In contrast, 4o consistently produces infographics that are not only visually appealing but also **presentation-ready and pedagogically sound**.

For example, I tested the following prompt:

Example 1: *“Illustrate Lambda smoothing in a scatterplot with data forming a nonlinear pattern. The illustration must be good enough for teaching purposes.”*

As shown in the side-by-side comparison, the image generated by ReCraft includes nonsensical text and distorted elements, making it unusable for serious teaching. The 4o-generated image, however, is **clean, precise, and visually intuitive** — ideal for lectures or documentation.

Another test:

Example 2: *"Illustrate deep learning by emphasizing transformations inside multiple hidden layers in a neural network. Make the graph colorful and appealing."*

While Ideogram generated a visually pleasing layout, it lacked essential components like labels or explanatory structure. In contrast, 4o produced a **textbook-style**

diagram with proper node icons, layer labels, and transformation highlights

— **exactly what you'd expect in professional slides or educational material.**

In today's landscape, many AI tools can generate impressive imagery, but when it comes to **high-quality, functional infographics**, ChatGPT-4o is in a league of its own (see attached PDF. Please scroll down to view all).

Link: <https://openai.com/index/introducing-4o-image-generation/>

Posted on March 26, 2025

Hi, all, I have just posted a new video on YouTube.

The Scaling Hypothesis is the idea that the performance of artificial intelligence systems, particularly large language models, increases predictably and substantially as the amount of computational power, training data, and model size grows.

According to this view, intelligence does not arise from complex or specially designed algorithms, but rather from the sheer scale of resources applied. Is it true? Let's explore.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q7guu1LgBn8>

Posted on March 26, 2025

Hi, all, I have just posted a new video on YouTube. The title is: Decoding Generative AI: From Imagination to Implementation. Thank you for your attention. Generative AI has emerged as one of the most transformative technologies in recent years. Tools like ChatGPT, DALL-E, and GitHub Copilot have pushed artificial intelligence beyond traditional analytical roles into the realm of creativity. Generative AI marks a profound shift in how we interact with machines—not as passive users, but as co-creators. By understanding its principles and appreciating its possibilities, we begin to see not just what AI can do, but what we can do with it.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aypDDyqDp5k>

Posted on March 24, 2025

During the spring break I was interviewed by Sandra Wu, the department Chair of Financial, Accounting, and Legal Studies at Algonquin College, Canada in her program “Career Canvas”. The title of the episode is: “Don’t Be a Baby Duck: Lifelong Learning and Reinvention in the Age of AI.” The full interview can be accessed at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jw2Yz8Et3qU&t=2011s>

Posted on March 21, 2025

In a year where artificial intelligence is becoming the bedrock of innovation across industries, the importance of data science has never been clearer. As Michel Tricot, CEO of Airbyte, puts it: **“No data, no AI.”** The 10 companies recognized by *Fast Company* in 2025 aren’t just building clever AI tools—they’re transforming how data is collected, processed, and used to solve real-world problems. From healthcare to crypto, supply chains to outer space, these innovators are proving that the smart use of data can power meaningful change.

1. Unstructured

Unstructured unlocks hidden business value by converting unstructured data into AI-ready formats, fueling applications like RAG and fine-tuned LLMs. With 10,000+ customers and partnerships with U.S. military branches, it’s become a foundational tool for enterprise AI.

2. Chainalysis

Chainalysis brings clarity to the murky world of crypto through blockchain forensics, helping trace and recover billions in illicit funds. In 2024 alone, it analyzed \$4 trillion in transactions and secured a landmark legal win for crypto analytics.

3. Airbyte

Airbyte makes large-scale data integration seamless, enabling AI initiatives with plug-and-play connectors and unstructured data support. Its open-source model now powers over 170,000 deployments and a thriving ecosystem of 10,000+ community-built connectors.

4. Norstella

Norstella speeds up the drug development pipeline by analyzing billions of data points through its AI platforms, helping pharma companies make faster, smarter decisions. It has directly contributed to the launch of over 50 new drugs in the past year.

5. Makersite

Makersite empowers product teams to design more sustainably with real-time supply chain data and AI-driven life cycle analysis. In one standout case, it helped Microsoft slash the Surface Pro 10’s carbon footprint by 28%.

6. Anaconda

Anaconda is democratizing AI by enhancing Python workflows for data scientists and non-coders alike, with tools like Python in Excel and a secure AI model library. Now used by over 1 million organizations, it’s a key enabler of accessible data science.

7. Satelytics

Satelytics uses advanced geospatial analytics to detect methane leaks and monitor land health, offering quick insights from satellites and drones. Its technology helped Duke Energy detect hundreds of leaks and has expanded across multiple industries.

8. Rune Labs

Rune Labs is changing the way Parkinson’s disease is managed with real-time data from wearables and AI-driven treatment insights. Its platform has improved patient outcomes significantly, reducing ER visits and boosting medication adherence.

9. EarthDaily

EarthDaily enhances sustainability in mining through hyperspectral imaging and radar analytics that reduce environmental impact and safety risks. It provides precision tools to accelerate mineral discovery while avoiding unnecessary drilling.

10. Nominal

Nominal streamlines testing and evaluation in aerospace, defense, and high-tech sectors with a unified, real-time analytics platform. Used in everything from drone trials to spacecraft diagnostics, it's redefining how critical systems are validated.

That's my take on it:

The phrase “**No data, no AI**” captures more than just a technical truth—it underscores the deep interdependence between data science and artificial intelligence. No matter how advanced AI becomes, it cannot function in a vacuum. It needs clean, relevant, and well-structured data to learn, adapt, and perform effectively. And that process—collecting, cleaning, transforming, and curating data—is still very much a human-driven discipline.

The success of the companies recognized by *Fast Company* in 2025 highlights this reality. Whether it's transforming unstructured data into LLM-ready formats, streamlining complex supply chains, or analyzing geospatial signals from satellites, these innovations all hinge on **strong data science foundations**, not just AI magic. What they demonstrate is that while AI engineering skills are in high demand, **non-AI data science roles—like data engineering, data quality management, and domain-specific analytics—remain absolutely essential.**

Link: <https://www.fastcompany.com/91269286/data-science-most-innovative-companies-2025>

Posted on March 20, 2025

Hi, all, This video introduces LLMs, Transformer, BERT, and DeepSeek's enhancements. If you think this video could be useful, don't hesitate to pass it along to your students or colleagues.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EpFpggjNmo>

Posted on March 20, 2025

Hi, all, I have just posted a new video about the Bayesian Approach to AI and the Absence of the Frequentist School.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rQg03OGBpHQ>

This video explores why Bayesianism is deeply integrated into AI while the frequentist framework remains peripheral.

Posted on March 20, 2025

Hi, all, I have just posted a new video on the evolutionary approach to AI. Thank you for your attention.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WiwnB3fkzs>

The evolutionary school of AI takes inspiration from biological evolution, particularly Charles Darwin's principles of natural selection and survival of the fittest. Instead of relying on explicit programming or backpropagation as in deep learning, evolutionist AI employs genetic algorithms, evolutionary strategies, and neuro-evolution to develop optimal solutions. This approach allows AI to explore vast solution spaces efficiently, adapt to dynamic environments, and improve iteratively over generations.

Posted on March 19, 2025

Nvidia's GPU Technology Conference (GTC) keynote, delivered by CEO Jensen Huang, took place on March 18, 2025, at the SAP Center in San Jose, California. The following are the key points:

1. Next-Generation AI Chips:

- **Blackwell Ultra:** Scheduled for release in the latter half of 2025, this GPU boasts enhanced memory capacity and performance, offering a 1.5x improvement over its predecessors.
- **Vera Rubin:** Named after the renowned astronomer, this AI chip is set to launch in late 2026, followed by Vera Rubin Ultra in 2027. These chips promise substantial performance gains and efficiency improvements in AI data centers.

2. AI Infrastructure and Software:

- **Nvidia Dynamo:** An open-source inference software system designed to accelerate and scale AI reasoning models, effectively serving as the "operating system of an AI factory."

3. Robotics and Partnerships:

- **'Blue' Robot:** Developed in collaboration with Disney Research and Google DeepMind, this robot showcases advancements in robotics technology and a new physics engine called Newton.
- **General Motors Collaboration:** Nvidia is partnering with GM to integrate AI systems into vehicles, factories, and robots, aiming to enhance autonomous driving capabilities and manufacturing processes.

4. AI Evolution and Future Outlook:

- **Agentic AI:** Huang highlighted the progression of AI from perception and computer vision to generative and agentic AI, emphasizing its growing ability to understand context, reason, and perform complex tasks.
- **Physical AI:** The next wave of AI involves robotics capable of understanding physical concepts like friction and inertia, with Nvidia introducing tools like Isaac GR00T N1 and the evolving Cosmos AI model to facilitate this development.

That's my take on it:

Despite these advancements, Nvidia's stock experienced a 3.4% decline during the keynote. The announcements, while significant, were perceived as extensions of existing technologies rather than disruptive innovations. While Nvidia continues to innovate, the emergence of efficient and cost-effective AI models from Chinese companies is reshaping the competitive landscape.

Further, the partnerships between Nvidia, Disney, and GM are not exciting at all. Disney is primarily an entertainment company rather than a technology leader. While they do invest in advanced CGI, theme park animatronics, and AI-driven personalization, they aren't a dominant force in AI hardware or software. The company has faced backlash over diversity and inclusion policies, especially regarding recent film releases like Snow White. This controversy might make Disney a less attractive partner from a PR perspective, particularly if Nvidia is looking to impress a broader tech audience.

While GM is one of the biggest automakers in the U.S., it has struggled to keep pace with Tesla and BYD in the EV and autonomous driving sectors. Tesla's Full Self-Driving (FSD) is already on the road, and BYD dominates China's EV market with highly cost-effective solutions. GM's self-driving unit Cruise has faced setbacks, including safety issues and regulatory scrutiny, leading to a halt in operations in multiple cities. This tarnishes GM's image as a leader in AI-powered mobility. In my opinion, these partnerships aren't groundbreaking.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=erhqbyvPesY>

Posted on March 18, 2025

Today, many people are confused about the relationship between Artificial Intelligence and Data Science. Some mistakenly believe they are identical, while others assume that AI is merely a subset of Data Science. This confusion extends to students trying to decide whether to pursue an AI or a Data Science program. In reality, these are two distinct yet interconnected fields. While they have evolved separately, they now share a symbiotic relationship. This video will explore their differences, overlaps, and unique contributions to modern technology.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W7SoRaVleUA>

Posted on March 18, 2025

Recently Baidu has launched ERNIE 4.5 and ERNIE X1, two new AI models focused on multimodal capabilities and advanced reasoning, respectively.

- **Performance & Benchmarks:** Baidu claims these models outperform DeepSeek V3 and OpenAI's GPT-4.5 on third-party benchmarks like C-Eval, CMMLU, and GSM8K.
- **Cost Advantage:** ERNIE 4.5 is 99% cheaper than GPT-4.5, and ERNIE X1 is 50% cheaper than DeepSeek R1, emphasizing aggressive market positioning.
- **ERNIE X1 Capabilities:** Designed for complex reasoning and tool use, it supports tasks like advanced search, document Q&A, AI-generated image interpretation, and code execution.
- **ERNIE 4.5 Capabilities:** A multimodal AI optimized for text, image, audio, and video processing, featuring improved reasoning, generation, and hallucination prevention through FlashMask Dynamic Attention Masking and Self-feedback Enhanced Post-Training.

That's my take on it:

Baidu's ERNIE 4.5 model is priced at approximately 1% of OpenAI's GPT-4.5 cost. It is an attractive option for businesses looking to cut AI expenses, especially in cost-sensitive markets like China, Southeast Asia, and emerging economies.

Nevertheless, GPT-4.5 is widely recognized as the best-performing model in English, and OpenAI has a trust advantage among global businesses. OpenAI's models are deeply integrated into Microsoft's ecosystem, dominating enterprise AI adoption in the West.

Although ERNIE 4.5 is claimed to outperform GPT-4.5, independent benchmarks are still lacking. In addition, many U.S. and European companies might hesitate to adopt Baidu's AI due to security concerns and data regulations. Further, Chinese LLMs, including ERNIE 4.5, operate under strict government regulations that enforce censorship on politically sensitive topics. This has major implications for freedom of information, research, and AI usability outside of China.

Link: <https://venturebeat.com/ai/baidu-delivers-new-llms-ernie-4-5-and-ernie-x1-undercutting-deepseek-openai-on-cost-but-theyre-not-open-source-yet/>

Posted on March 18, 2025

Hi, all, I posted a new video about the Role of Analogical Reasoning in AI:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1LqIPqVZv9A>

Today, artificial intelligence is often equated with large language models, which are built on neural networks (NN). These models, from ChatGPT to DeepMind's AlphaFold, are products of the connectionist approach to AI. While connectionism dominates today, the analogist approach—rooted in the power of analogy—remains an intriguing and valuable perspective in AI development.

Posted on March 12, 2025

Hi, all, I have just posted a new video on YouTube. The title is: Pushing Boundaries: How Far Can Artists Go with Generative AI Art?

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1MV2tLXHOPw>

Posted on March 12, 2025

The new AI agent *Manus*, developed by the Wuhan-based startup *Butterfly Effect*, has taken the AI world by storm since its launch on March 6, 2025. Unlike traditional chatbots, *Manus* operates as a general AI agent, leveraging multiple models, including *Claude 3.5 Sonnet* and *Alibaba's Qwen*, to perform a variety of tasks autonomously. Simply put, it is capable of **multi-tasking**.

Despite the hype, access to *Manus* remains limited, with only a small fraction of users receiving invite codes. *MIT Technology Review* tested the tool and found it to be a promising but imperfect assistant, akin to a highly competent intern—capable but prone to occasional mistakes and oversights.

The reviewer conducted three tests:

1. **Compiling a list of China tech reporters** – Initially, *Manus* produced an incomplete list due to time constraints but improved significantly with feedback.
2. **Finding NYC apartment listings** – It required clarification for nuanced search criteria but eventually delivered a well-structured ranking.
3. **Nominating candidates for *Innovators Under 35*** – The task was more challenging due to research limitations, paywall restrictions, and system constraints. The final output was incomplete and skewed.

Strengths:

- Transparent, interactive process allowing user intervention
- Strong performance in structured research tasks
- Affordable (\$2 per task, significantly cheaper than alternatives like *ChatGPT DeepResearch*)
- Replayable and shareable sessions

Weaknesses:

- Struggles with large-scale research, paywalls, and CAPTCHA restrictions
- System instability and crashes under heavy load
- Requires user guidance to refine results

While *Manus* is not flawless, it represents a significant step in AI autonomy, particularly in research and analysis. It underscores China's growing role in shaping AI development, not just in model innovation but also in the practical implementation of autonomous AI agents.

Links: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WTgkRitFKGs>
<https://www.technologyreview.com/2025/03/11/1113133/manus-ai-review/>

Posted on March 12, 2025

Hi, all, I have just posted a new video on YouTube. The topic is: "Symbolism and Connectionism in AI: A Tale of Two Schools"

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VxX86yF08jU>

Posted on March 11, 2025

Mistral AI, a leading French AI startup, is recognized as one of France's most promising tech firms and the only European contender to OpenAI. Despite its impressive \$6 billion valuation, its global market share remains modest.

A few days ago the company launched its AI assistant, **Le Chat**, on mobile app stores, generating significant attention, particularly in France. French President Emmanuel Macron even endorsed it in a TV interview, urging people to choose Le Chat over OpenAI's ChatGPT. The app quickly gained traction, reaching **1 million downloads in two weeks** and topping France's iOS free app chart.

Founded in **2023**, Mistral AI champions **openness in AI** and positions itself as the **"world's greenest and leading independent AI lab."** Its leadership team includes ex-Google DeepMind CEO **Arthur Mensch** and former Meta AI researchers **Timothée Lacroix** and **Guillaume Lample**. The company's advisory board includes notable figures like **Jean-Charles Samuelian-Werve**, **Charles Gorintin**, and former French digital minister **Cédric O**, whose involvement sparked controversy.

Despite its growth and strong funding, Mistral AI's **revenue is still in the eight-digit range**, indicating it has significant ground to cover before becoming a true OpenAI rival.

That's my take on it:

Mistral AI has the potential to **become a serious competitor** to OpenAI's ChatGPT, Anthropic's Claude, Google's Gemini, and other top AI models. The strained relationship between the U.S. and Europe, particularly during the Trump administration, has fueled a growing sense of **technological sovereignty** in Europe. As tensions over trade, defense, and digital policies deepened, many European nations—especially France—became increasingly wary of relying on **American tech giants**. This sentiment extends to AI, where European leaders and businesses are seeking alternatives to **U.S.-dominated models like ChatGPT, Claude, and Google Gemini**.

Mistral AI, as Europe's most promising AI company, stands to benefit from this shift. French President **Emmanuel Macron's endorsement of Le Chat** highlights a broader push for **European-built AI solutions**, reinforcing the region's desire for **independent innovation and data security**. With strong government backing and a growing market of users eager to support local technology, Mistral AI could leverage this geopolitical rift to carve out a **stronghold in Europe**, challenging American AI dominance in the years to come.

However, Mistral AI still faces several challenges. Outside of France and Europe, **brand recognition is still weak** compared to OpenAI, Google, and

Anthropic.

Link: <https://techcrunch.com/2025/03/06/what-is-mistral-ai-everything-to-know-about-the-openai-competitor/>

Posted on March 10, 2025

Dr. Chong Ho (Alex) Yu and his colleagues conducted a research study on perceptions of Artificial Intelligence (AI) use in higher education. The summary of the responses is [here](#).

Posted on March 1, 2025

Hi, all, I have just posted a new video titled "Is AI hallucination a blessing in disguise?" on Youtube. See the link below:

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UW52CQNLlIc>

Posted on February 22, 2025

Hi, all, I have just posted a new video about the differences between AI and machine learning on YouTube. Today the two terms are commonly confused.

Hope this video can clarify their definitions and roles.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q92dMMbYfqs>

Posted on February 21, 2025

Google has introduced an "AI Co-Scientist," a sophisticated AI system designed to assist researchers in accelerating scientific discovery. Built on **Gemini 2.0**, Google's latest AI model, the AI Co-Scientist can generate testable hypotheses, research overviews, and experimental protocols. It allows human scientists to input their research goals in natural language, suggest ideas, and provide feedback. In an early demonstration, the AI Co-Scientist solved a complex scientific problem in just two days—a problem that had confounded researchers for over a decade. A notable test involved researchers from Imperial College London, who had spent years studying antibiotic-resistant superbugs. The AI Co-Scientist independently analyzed existing data, formulated the same hypothesis they had reached after years of work, and did so in a fraction of the time.

The system has shown promising results in trials conducted by institutions such as Stanford University, Houston Methodist, and Imperial College London. Scientists working with the AI have expressed optimism about its ability to synthesize vast amounts of evidence, identify key research questions, and streamline experimental design, potentially eliminating fruitless research paths and accelerating progress significantly.

This is my take on it:

The rapid advancement of AI in research and data analysis raises important questions about the future of statistical and data science education. As AI systems become more proficient at conducting analysis, traditional data analysts may face challenges in maintaining their relevance in the job market. Since AI models rely heavily on the quality of data, perhaps our focus should shift from analysis to **data acquisition**. Specifically, ensuring that students develop strong skills in data collection, validation, and preprocessing will be critical. Understanding biases in data, ethical considerations, and methods for ensuring data integrity will be more valuable than manually performing statistical calculations. In addition, while AI can analyze data, human judgment is required to **interpret results in context, assess their**

implications, and make informed decisions. Thus, statistical and data science education should emphasize critical thinking, domain expertise, and the ability to translate insights into real-world applications.

Link: <https://www.forbes.com/sites/lesliekatz/2025/02/19/google-unveils-ai-co-scientist-to-supercharge-research-breakthroughs/>

Posted on February 18, 2025

Yesterday (2/17) Elon Musk unveiled Grok 3, the latest AI chatbot from his company xAI. This new version is designed to surpass existing chatbots like OpenAI's ChatGPT, boasting advanced reasoning capabilities that Musk describes as "scary-smart." Grok 3 has been trained using xAI's Colossus supercomputer, which utilizes 100,000 Nvidia H100 GPUs, providing 200 million GPU-hours for training—ten times more than its predecessor, Grok 2.

During the live demo, Musk highlighted Grok 3's ability to deliver "insightful and unexpected solutions," emphasizing its potential to revolutionize AI interactions. The chatbot is now available to X Premium Plus subscribers, with plans to introduce a voice interaction feature in the coming week.

That's my take on it:

Elon Musk described Grok 3 as the "smartest AI on Earth." He stated that Grok 3 is "an order of magnitude more capable" than its predecessor, Grok 2, and highlighted its performance in areas like math, science, and coding, surpassing models from OpenAI, Google, and DeepSeek. However, it's important to note that these claims have not been independently verified.

According to "Huang's Law", proposed by Nvidia CEO Jensen Huang, the performance of AI and GPUs doubles every two years, driven by innovations in architecture, software, and hardware. Earlier this year, OpenAI released Deep Research that outperforms DeepSeek's R1 in specific tasks. For now, Grok 3 may be the most advanced AI on Earth, but how long will that last? In just a month or two, another company could unveil a model that outshines everything before it. Huang's Law is right!

Links: https://www.livemint.com/ai/grok-3-launch-live-elon-musks-xai-smartest-ai-on-earth-today-sam-altman-openai-chatgpt-gemini-google-deepseek-11739810000644.html?utm_source=chatgpt.com
https://nypost.com/2025/02/18/business/elon-musks-xai-claims-grok-3-outperforms-openai-deepseek/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

Posted on February 18, 2025

Hi, all, in a previous video, I explored whether the US will win the AI race. This video serves as a sequel, shifting the focus to China. Now, I will assess the likelihood of China emerging as the victor in the AI race.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nfgpb29y-0I>

Posted on February 17, 2025

Hi, all, I have just posted a new video on YouTube. The topic is: Will the US win the AI race? The content of the video is based on one of my previous essays, but I added new information into the video. Thank you for your attention.

Link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i_VA17MLdrw

Posted on February 12, 2025

Hi, all, I have just posted a video about who deserves the title of **the father of AI** on Youtube. You are welcome to disagree. Please feel free to leave your comments on YouTube.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=la4y9HDtqQ>

Posted on February 3, 2025

Hi, all, many people are confused by artificial general intelligence (AGI) and strong AI. This video explains their difference by incorporating a multi-disciplinary approach (e.g., computer science, psychology, philosophy...etc.). If you find it helpful, please share it with your colleagues and friends. And please feel free to leave your comments on YouTube. Thank you for your attention.

Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9DAuBP1QJts>

Posted on February 3, 2025

Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8hJF8xohSTs>

In 2017, Canada was the first nation to launch a national AI strategy, two years ahead of the US. Canada has been a global leader in artificial intelligence (AI) research, producing some of the most influential minds in the field. However, in spite of its strong theoretical foundation in AI, Canada hasn't yet developed powerful large language models (LLMs) or AI tools. What are missing in Canada?

Author Bios:

Chong Ho Yu, Ph.D., D. Phil.

Professor and Program Director of Data Science and Artificial Intelligence

College of Natural and Computational Sciences

Hawaii Pacific University

Hawaii | HI | USA

Sandra Yuk-Sum Wu, MBA, PMP

Department Chair, Financial, Accounting, and Legal

Studies School of Business and Hospitality

Algonquin College

Ottawa | Ontario | Canada

Posted on February 1, 2025

Hi, all, DeepSeek is a polarized topic. Some say it is a Sputnik moment or a wake up call to America, while others say the whole thing is nothing more than a psyop. I created the following video to share my analysis. Later I will talk about the debate on open source in another video. Please feel free to share the video or leave your comments on YouTube. Thank you for your attention.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=udCMEChdawQ>

Posted on January 30, 2025

Hi, all, when I was a young boy, Japan played the role of today's China, challenging the US in almost all technological fields and economic realms. However, I have been wondering why Japan, in spite of its solid scientific foundation, is far behind in the AI race today. I made the following video in an attempt to address this question. Please feel free to leave your comments on YouTube. Thank you for your attention.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bZLcm2GhSnU>

Posted on January 29, 2025

OpenAI, supported by major investor Microsoft, suspects that DeepSeek may have illicitly utilized its proprietary technology to develop R1. The primary concern centers on the potential use of a technique known as "distillation."

Distillation in AI refers to a process where a smaller model is trained to replicate the behavior of a larger, more complex model. This is achieved by having the smaller model learn from the outputs of the larger model, effectively "distilling" its knowledge. While this method can enhance the efficiency of AI models, using it without proper authorization, especially with proprietary systems, raises significant ethical and legal issues.

Microsoft's security researchers detected unusual activities in the fall, where individuals allegedly linked to DeepSeek were extracting large volumes of data using OpenAI's API. This behavior suggests that DeepSeek may have bypassed usage restrictions to gather substantial data, potentially violating OpenAI's terms of service.

David Sacks, serving as President Donald Trump's top AI adviser, has indicated that there is considerable evidence pointing to DeepSeek's involvement in replicating OpenAI's models. He emphasized the seriousness of these allegations, highlighting the broader implications for intellectual property rights in the AI industry.

That's my take of it:

As a researcher, I strive to approach every issue with an open mind, setting aside preconceptions. I do not accept claims at face value but instead rely on thorough investigation and fact-checking. I avoid cherry-picking data to support any predetermined stance. Regarding the controversy surrounding DeepSeek, I carefully examine claims from all sides to ensure a fair and objective assessment.

While simple and generic questions may produce similar responses across different AI systems, I conducted an informal test comparing ChatGPT, Claude, Perplexity AI, and DeepSeek by posing a fairly complex question related to instructional technologies. This included topics such as Seymour Papert's LOGO programming, hypertext, multimedia, web-based instruction, and course management systems—each covering a broad range of information, **making it nearly impossible for two models to generate identical responses**. While the answers from ChatGPT, Claude, and Perplexity AI varied significantly, the responses from ChatGPT and DeepSeek were strikingly similar. For example,

Web-based instruction

ChatGPT: "The advent of the internet brought hopes of democratizing education through web-based instruction. Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) epitomized this promise, with institutions like MIT and Stanford offering free courses to millions. While these platforms achieved significant reach, their completion rates remained

dismally low, often below 10%. MOOCs largely benefited highly motivated, self-directed learners while failing to support those who needed guidance and structure the most.”

DeepSeek: “The advent of the internet brought promises of democratized education, with web-based instruction enabling access to knowledge for learners worldwide. While platforms like MOOCs (Massive Open Online Courses) have made education more accessible, they have also faced criticism for low completion rates and a lack of meaningful engagement. Many learners struggle with self-directed online learning, and the absence of personalized support often leads to disengagement.”

Hypertext and Multimedia

ChatGPT: “The rise of hypertext and multimedia in the 1980s and 1990s was heralded as a way to create richer, more engaging learning environments.

Proponents argued that students would benefit from non-linear access to information, enabling personalized learning paths. However, the results often showed cognitive overload rather than enhanced understanding, as students struggled to navigate and synthesize large amounts of unstructured information. Furthermore, multimedia often prioritized flashiness over substance, contributing little to deeper learning.”

DeepSeek: “In the 1990s, hypertext and multimedia were touted as tools that would make learning more interactive and engaging. Proponents argued that these technologies would allow students to explore information in non-linear ways, catering to individual learning styles. However, the reality was often overwhelming for learners, who struggled with cognitive overload and disorientation. Moreover, the lack of structured guidance in hypertext environments sometimes hindered rather than helped learning.”

Nonetheless, it is still premature to draw a conclusion. This issue awaits further investigation.

Link: <https://apnews.com/article/deepseek-ai-chatgpt-openai-copyright-a94168f3b8caa51623ce1b75b5ffcc51>

Posted on January 28, 2025

Several AI experts assert that DeepSeek is built upon existing open-source models, such as Meta's LLaMA. For example, according to a research scientist at Riot Games, there is evidence suggesting that China's DeepSeek AI models have incorporated ideas from open-source models like Meta's Llama. Analyses indicate that DeepSeek-LLM closely follows Llama 2's architecture, utilizing components such as RMSNorm, SwiGLU, and RoPE.

Even the paper published by DeepSeek said so. In the paper entitled "DeepSeek LLM: Scaling open-source language models with longtermism" (Jan 2024), the DeepSeek team wrote, "At the model level, we generally followed the architecture of LLaMA, but replaced the cosine learning rate scheduler with a multi-step learning rate scheduler, maintaining performance while facilitating continual training" (p.3). However, today (Jan., 28, 2025) when I asked DeepSeek whether it learned from Meta's LLaMA, the AI system denied it. The answer is: "No, I am not based on Meta's LLaMA (Large Language Model Meta AI). I am an AI assistant created exclusively by the Chinese Company DeepSeek. My model is developed **independently** by DeepSeek, and I am designed to provide a wide range of services and information to users."

That's my take on it:

Various sources of information appear to be conflicting and inconsistent.

Nonetheless, If DeepSeek built its model from scratch but implemented similar techniques, it can technically argue that it is an "independent" development, even if influenced by prior research.

It is too early to draw any definitive conclusions. At present, Meta has assembled four specialized "war rooms" of engineers to investigate how DeepSeek's AI is outperforming competitors at a fraction of the cost. Through this analysis, Meta might be able to determine whether DeepSeek shares any similarities with LLaMA. For now, we should wait for further findings.

Links: <https://fortune.com/2025/01/27/mark-zuckerberg-meta-llama-assembling-war-rooms-engineers-deepseek-ai-china/>
https://planetbanatt.net/articles/deepseek.html?utm_source=chatgpt.com
<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2401.02954>

Posted on January 28, 2025

While global attention is focused on DeepSeek, it is noteworthy to highlight the recent releases of other powerful AI models by China's tech companies.

MiniMax: Two weeks ago, this Chinese startup introduced a new series of open-source models under the name **MiniMax-01**. The lineup includes a general-purpose foundational model, **MiniMax-Text-01**, and a visual multimodal model, **MiniMax-VL-01**. According to the developers, the flagship MiniMax-01, boasting an impressive 456 billion parameters, surpasses Google's recently launched **Gemini 2.0 Flash** across several key benchmarks.

Qwen: On January 27, the Qwen team unveiled **Qwen2.5-VL**, an advanced multimodal AI model capable of performing diverse image and text analysis tasks. Moreover, it is designed to interact seamlessly with software on both PCs and smartphones. The Qwen team claims **Qwen2.5-VL** outperforms **GPT-4o** on video-related benchmarks, showcasing its superior capabilities.

Tencent: Last week, Tencent introduced **Hunyuan3D-2.0**, an update to its open-source Hunyuan AI model, which is set to transform the video game industry. The updated model aims to significantly accelerate the creation of 3D models and characters, a process that typically takes highly skilled artists days or even weeks. With Hunyuan3D-2.0, developers are expected to streamline production, making it faster and more efficient.

That's my take on it:

Chinese AI models are increasingly rivaling or even outperforming U.S. counterparts across various benchmarks. This growing competition poses significant challenges for U.S. tech companies and universities, particularly in **attracting and retaining top AI talent**. As China's AI ecosystem continues to strengthen, the risk of a "**brain drain**" or heightened competition for skilled researchers and developers becomes more pronounced.

Notably, in recent years, a substantial number of Chinese AI researchers based in the U.S. have returned to China. By 2024, **researchers of Chinese descent accounted for 38% of the top AI researchers in the United States**, slightly exceeding the 37% who are American-born. However, the trend of Chinese researchers leaving the U.S. has intensified, with the number rising dramatically from 900 in 2010 to 2,621 in 2021. The emergence of DeepSeek and similar advancements could further accelerate this talent migration unless proactive measures are taken to attract new foreign experts and retain existing ones.

To address these challenges, U.S. universities must take steps to reform the STEM education system, aiming to elevate the academic performance of locally born American students. Additionally, universities will need to expand advanced AI research programs, prioritizing areas such as **multimodal learning**, **large-scale foundational models**, and **AI ethics and regulation**. These efforts will be essential to maintain the United States' global competitiveness in the face of intensifying competition from China's rapidly advancing AI sector.

Link: <https://finance.yahoo.com/news/deepseek-isn-t-china-only-101305918.html>

Posted on January 24, 2025

The emergence of DeepSeek's AI models has ignited a global conversation about technological innovation and the shifting dynamics of artificial intelligence. Today (January 24, 2025) CNBC interviewed Aravind Srinivas, the CEO of Perplexity AI, about DeepSeek. It's worth noting that this interview is not about Deepseek only; rather, it is a part of a broader discussion about the AI race between the United States and China, with DeepSeek's achievements highlighting China's growing capabilities in the field. The following is a summary:

Geopolitical Implications:

The interview highlighted that "necessity is the mother of invention," illustrating how China, despite facing limited access to cutting-edge GPUs due to restrictions, successfully developed Deepseek.

The adoption of Chinese open-source models could embed China more deeply into the global tech infrastructure, challenging U.S. leadership. Americans worried that China could dominate the ecosystem and mind share if China surpasses the US in AI technologies.

Wake-up call to the US

Srinivas acknowledged the efficiency and innovation demonstrated by Deepseek, which managed to develop a competitive model with limited resources. This success challenges the notion that significant capital is necessary to develop advanced AI models.

Srinivas highlighted that Perplexity has begun learning from Deepseek's model due to its cost-effectiveness and performance. Indeed, in the US AI companies have been learning from each other. For example, the groundbreaking Transformer model developed by Google inspired other US AI companies.

Industry Reactions and Strategies:

There is a growing trend towards commoditization of AI models, with a focus on reasoning capabilities and real-world applications.

The debate continues on the value of proprietary models versus open-source models, with some arguing that open-source models drive innovation more efficiently.

The AI industry is expected to see further advancements in reasoning models, with multiple players entering the arena.

That's my take on it:

No matter who will be leading in the AI race, no doubt DeepSeek is a game changer. Experts like Hancheng Cao from Emory University contended that DeepSeek's achievement could be a "truly equalizing breakthrough" for researchers and developers with limited resources, particularly those from the Global South.

DeepSeek's breakthrough in AI development marks a pivotal moment in the global AI race, reminiscent of the paradigm shift in manufacturing during the late 1970s and 1980s from Japan. Just as Japanese manufacturers revolutionized industries with smaller electronics and fuel-efficient vehicles, DeepSeek is redefining AI development with a focus on efficiency and cost-effectiveness. Bigger is not necessarily better.

Link to the Interview (second half of the video): <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WEBiebbeNCA>

Posted on January 23, 2025

DeepSeek, a Chinese AI startup, has recently introduced two notable models: DeepSeek-R1-Zero and DeepSeek-R1. These models are designed to rival leading AI systems like OpenAI's ChatGPT, particularly in tasks involving mathematics, coding, and reasoning. Alexandr Wang, CEO of Scale AI, called DeepSeek an "earth-shattering model."

DeepSeek-R1-Zero is groundbreaking in that it was trained entirely through reinforcement learning (RL), without relying on supervised fine-tuning or human-annotated datasets. This approach allows the model to develop reasoning capabilities autonomously, enhancing its problem-solving skills. However, it faced challenges such as repetitive outputs and language inconsistencies.

To address these issues, **DeepSeek-R1** was developed. This model incorporates initial supervised data before applying RL, resulting in improved performance and coherence. Benchmark tests indicate that DeepSeek-R1's performance is comparable to OpenAI's o1 model across various tasks. Notably, DeepSeek has open-sourced both models under the MIT license, promoting transparency and collaboration within the AI community.

In terms of cost, DeepSeek-R1 offers a more affordable alternative to proprietary models. For instance, while OpenAI's o1 charges \$15 per million input tokens and \$60 per million output tokens, DeepSeek's Reasoner model is priced at \$0.55 per million input tokens and \$2.19 per million output tokens.

That's my take on it:

Based on this trajectory, will China's AI development surpass the U.S.? Both countries have advantages and disadvantages in this race. With the world's largest internet user base, China has access to vast datasets, which are critical for training large AI models. In contrast, there are concerns and restrictions regarding data privacy and confidentiality in the US.

However, China's censorship mechanisms might limit innovation in areas requiring free expression or transparency, potentially stifling creativity and global competitiveness. DeepSeek-R1 has faced criticism for including mechanisms that align responses with certain governmental perspectives. If I ask what happened on June 4, 1989 in Beijing, it is possible that the AI would either dodge or redirect the question, offering a neutral or vague response.

Nonetheless, China's AI is rapidly being integrated into manufacturing, healthcare, and governance, creating a robust ecosystem for AI development and deployment. China is closing the gap!

Brief explanation to reinforcement

learning: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qWTtU75Ygv0>

Summary in mass media: <https://www.cnbc.com/2025/01/23/scale-ai-ceo-says-china-has-quickly-caught-the-us-with-deepseek.html>

DeepSeek's website: <https://www.deepseek.com/>

Posted on January 22, 2025

On January 21, 2025, President Donald Trump announced the launch of the Stargate project, an ambitious artificial intelligence (AI) infrastructure initiative with an investment of up to \$500 billion over four years. This venture is a collaboration between OpenAI, SoftBank, Oracle, and MGX, aiming to bolster AI capabilities within the United States.

Key Details:

- **Investment and Infrastructure:** The project begins with an initial \$100 billion investment to construct data centers and computing systems, starting with a facility in Texas. The total investment is projected to reach \$500 billion by 2029.
- **Job Creation:** Stargate is expected to generate over 100,000 new jobs in the U.S., contributing to economic growth and technological advancement.
- **Health Innovations:** Leaders involved in the project, including OpenAI CEO Sam Altman and Oracle co-founder Larry Ellison, highlighted AI's potential to accelerate medical breakthroughs, such as early cancer detection and personalized vaccines.
- **National Competitiveness:** The initiative aims to secure American leadership in AI technology, ensuring that advancements are developed domestically amidst global competition.

That's my take on it:

While the project has garnered significant support, some skepticism exists regarding the availability of the full \$500 billion investment. Elon Musk, for instance, questioned the financing, suggesting that SoftBank has secured well under \$10 billion.

Nevertheless, I am very optimistic. Even if Softbank or other partners could not fully fund the project, eventually investment would snowball when the project demonstrates promising results. In industries with high growth potential, such as AI, no investor or major player wants to be left behind. If the Stargate project starts delivering significant breakthroughs, companies and governments alike will want to participate to avoid losing competitive advantage.

Some people may argue that there is some resemblance between the internet bubble in the late 1990s and the AI hype today. The late 1990s saw massive investments in internet companies, many of which were overhyped and under-delivered. Valuations skyrocketed despite shaky business models, leading to the dot-com crash. Will history repeat itself?

It is important to note that the internet bubble happened at a time when infrastructure (broadband, cloud computing, etc.) was still in its infancy. AI today benefits from mature infrastructure, such as powerful cloud platforms (e.g., Amazon Web Services) ,

advanced GPUs, and massive datasets, which makes its development more sustainable and its results more immediate.

The internet primarily transformed communication and commerce. AI, on the other hand, is a general-purpose technology that extends its power across industries—healthcare, finance, education, manufacturing, entertainment, and more. Its applications are far broader, making its overall impact more profound and long-lasting.

Links: <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/trump-stargate-ai-openai-softbank-oracle-musk/>

<https://www.cnn.com/2025/01/22/tech/elon-musk-trump-stargate-openai/index.html>

Posted on January 15, 2025

Recently the World Economic Forum released the 2025 "Future of Jobs Report." The following is a summary focusing on job gains and losses due to AI and big data:

Job Gains

- **Fastest-Growing Roles:** AI and big data are among the top drivers of job growth. Roles such as **Big Data Specialists**, **AI and Machine Learning Specialists**, **Data Analysts**, and **Software Developers** are projected to experience significant growth.
- **Projected Net Growth:** By 2030, AI and information processing technologies are expected to create 11 million jobs, contributing to a net employment increase of 78 million jobs globally.
- **Green Transition Influence:** Roles combining AI with environmental sustainability, such as **Renewable Energy Engineers** and **Environmental Engineers**, are also seeing growth due to efforts to mitigate climate change.
- **AI-Enhanced Tasks:** Generative AI (GenAI) could empower less specialized workers to perform expert tasks, expanding the functionality of various roles and enhancing productivity.

Job Losses

- **Fastest-Declining Roles:** Clerical jobs such as **Data Entry Clerks**, **Administrative Assistants**, **Bank Tellers**, and **Cashiers** are expected to decline as AI and automation streamline these functions.
- **Projected Job Displacement:** AI and robotics are projected to displace approximately 9 million jobs globally by 2030.
- **Manual and Routine Work Impact:** Jobs requiring manual dexterity, endurance, or repetitive tasks are most vulnerable to automation and AI-driven disruptions.

Trends and Dynamics

- **Human-Machine Collaboration:** By 2030, work tasks are expected to be evenly split between humans, machines, and collaborative efforts, signaling a shift toward augmented roles.
- **Upskilling Needs:** Approximately 39% of workers will need significant reskilling or upskilling by 2030 to meet the demands of AI and big data-driven roles.
- **Barriers to Transformation:** Skill gaps are identified as a major challenge, with 63% of employers viewing them as a significant barrier to adopting AI-driven innovations.

That's my take on it:

The report underscores the dual impact of AI and big data as key drivers of both job creation in advanced roles and displacement in routine, manual, and clerical jobs. Organizations and higher education should invest in reskilling initiatives to bridge the skills gap and mitigate job losses. However, there is a critical dilemma in addressing the reskilling and upskilling challenge. If faculty and instructors have not been reskilled or upskilled, how can we help our students to face the AI and big data challenges? As a matter of face, instructors often lack exposure to the latest technological advancements that are critical to the modern workforce. There is often a gap between what educators teach and what the industry demands, especially in rapidly evolving fields. Put it bluntly, the age of “evergreen” syllabus is over. The pace of technological advancements often outstrips the ability of educational systems to update curricula and training materials. To cope with the trend in the job market, we need to collaborate with technology companies (e.g., Google, Amazon, Nivida, Microsoft...etc.) to co-create curricula, fund training programs, and provide real-world learning experiences for both educators and students.

Posted on January 10, 2025

Python has been named "TIOBE's Programming Language of the Year 2024" in the TIOBE Index due to achieving the highest ratings. Nonetheless, while Python offers numerous advantages, it also faces challenges such as performance limitations and runtime errors. The TIOBE Index measures programming language popularity based on global expertise, courses, and third-party support, with contributions from major platforms like Google and Amazon. Positions two through five are occupied by **C++**, **Java**, **C**, and **C#**. Notably, **SQL** ranks eighth, **R** is positioned at number 18, and **SAS** is at number 22.

That's my take on it:

Python's widespread popularity is largely driven by the growing demand for data science and machine learning. Its rich ecosystem of libraries and frameworks, including TensorFlow, PyTorch, and scikit-learn, makes it an ideal choice for DSML tasks. Interestingly, certain programming languages exhibit remarkable longevity. For example, JavaScript was ranked seventh in 2000 and currently holds sixth place. Similarly, Fortran, which was ranked 11th in 1995, now occupies the tenth position. The resurgence of Fortran is notable; according to TIOBE, it excels in numerical analysis and computational mathematics, both of which are increasingly relevant in artificial intelligence. Fortran is also gaining traction in image processing applications, including gaming and medical imaging.

While some languages maintain stable rankings over time, others have shown dramatic improvements. For instance, SQL was ranked 100th in 2005 but has since risen to ninth place. Predicting the future trajectory of programming languages is challenging, underscoring the dynamic nature of the field. As the saying goes, "Never say never!"

Links: <https://www.tiobe.com/tiobe-index/>

<https://www.techrepublic.com/article/tiobe-index-may-2024/>

Posted on January 8, 2025

Two days ago (Jan 6, 2025) Kanwal Mehreen, KDnuggets Technical Editor and Content Specialist on Artificial Intelligence, posted an article on *KDnuggets*, highlighting the top 10 high-paying AI skills in 2025:

Position and expected salaries

1. Large Language Model Engineering (\$150,000-220,000/year)
2. AI Ethics and Governance (\$121,800/year)
3. Generative AI and Diffusion Models (\$174,727/year)
4. Machine Learning Ops and On-Prem AI Infrastructure (\$165,000/year)
5. AI for Healthcare Applications (\$27,000 to \$215,000)
6. Green AI and Efficiency Engineering (\$90,000 and \$130,000/year)
7. AI Security (\$85,804/year)
8. Multimodal AI Development (\$150,000–\$220,000/year)
9. Reinforcement Learning (RL) (\$121,000/year)
10. Edge AI/On-Device AI Development (\$150,000+/year)

That's my take on it:

When I mention AI-related jobs, most people associate these positions with programming, engineering, mathematics, statistics...etc. However, as you can see, the demand for AI ethics is ranked second on the list. AI ethics is indeed a skill in high demand, and the training of professionals in this area often spans multiple disciplines. Many come from backgrounds such as **philosophy, law, mass communication, and social sciences**. For example, **Professor Shannon Vallor** is a philosopher of technology specializing in ethics of data and AI. **Dr. Kate Crawford** is a Microsoft researcher who studies the social and political implications of artificial intelligence. She was a professor of journalism and Media Research Centre at the University of New South Wales.

In an era where AI and data science increasingly shape our lives, the absence of ethics education in many data science and AI programs is a glaring omission. By embedding **perspectives on ethics from multiple disciplines** into AI and data science education, we can ensure these powerful tools are used to create a future that

is not just innovative, but also just and equitable. After all, AI ethicist is a high-paying job! Why not?

Link: <https://www.kdnuggets.com/top-10-high-paying-ai-skills-learn-2025>

Posted on January 8, 2025

Today (Jan 7, 2025) at Consumer Electronics Summit (CES) AI giant Nvidia announced **Project Digits, a personal AI supercomputer** set to launch in May 2025. The system is powered by the new GB10 Grace Blackwell Superchip and is designed to bring data center-level AI computing capabilities to a desktop form factor similar to a Mac Mini, running on standard power outlets. With a starting price of \$3,000, Project Digits can handle AI models up to 200 billion parameters.

The GB10 chip, developed in collaboration with MediaTek, delivers 1 petaflop of AI performance. The system runs on Nvidia DGX OS (Linux-based) and comes with comprehensive AI software support, including development kits, pre-trained models, and compatibility with frameworks like PyTorch and Python.

Nvidia's CEO Jensen Huang emphasized that Project Digits aims to **democratize AI computing** by bringing supercomputer capabilities to developers, data scientists, researchers, and students. The system allows for local AI model development and testing, with seamless deployment options to cloud or data center infrastructure using the same architecture and Nvidia AI Enterprise software platform.

That's my take on it:

A few decades ago, access to supercomputers like Cray and CM5 was limited to elite scientists and well-funded institutions. Today, with initiatives like Project Digits, virtually anyone can harness the computational power needed for sophisticated projects. This democratization of technology allows scientists at smaller universities, independent researchers, and those in developing countries to test complex theories and models without the prohibitive costs of supercomputer access. This shift enables more diverse perspectives and innovative approaches to scientific challenges. Fields not traditionally associated with high-performance computing, such as sociology, ecology, and archaeology, can now leverage advanced AI models, potentially leading to groundbreaking discoveries.

Given this transformation, it is imperative to update curricula across disciplines. Continuing to teach only classical statistics does a disservice to students. We must integrate AI literacy **across various fields**, not just in computer science, mathematics, or statistics. Additionally, the focus should be on teaching **foundational concepts** that remain relevant amidst rapid technological advancements. It is equally critical to emphasize **critical thinking** about analytical outputs, fostering a deep understanding of their implications rather than solely focusing on technical implementation.

Link: <https://www.ces.tech/videos/2025/january/nvidia-keynote/>