Data of a NSCLC patient with brain mets

Primary tumor size



Metastases size



Number of visible mets



Lung CT



Brain CT scan



Institut Bergonié, Bordeaux, FR


First cancer cell
Diagnosis
treatment
Growth law: $g_p(V_p)=V_p(\alpha_p-\beta_p \ln(V_p))$
Primary Tumor
Delay?
Dissemination law: $d(V_p)=\mu(V_p)^{\gamma}$
Secondary dissemination?
Brain Metastases
Same growth?
Growth law: $g(V)=V(\alpha-\beta \ln(V))$
Dormancy?

The model with dormancy could describe best the data





Objective function

Model	Patient 1	Patient 2
Base	5.51	2.53
Secondary	5.43	2.3
Delay	5.23	1.53
Dormancy	4.93	1.71
Diff. growth	4.95	1.79

Dormancy estimated to 133 days ± 4.2%

Model

Data



Bilous et al. (Benzekry), biorXiv, 2018



Bilous et al. (Benzekry), biorXiv, 2018


t = -65.1 months
Primary tumor
Size (cells)
Detection limit
Time (months)
Metastases
Number of metastases
Imaging detection limit
Size (cells)
Months post-diagnosis
Tumor size (cells)
Visibility threshold
Months post-diagnosis

Clinical application - Metastatic relapse in breast cancer

Clinical data of individual breast metastatic relapse



K = **25** features

n =1057 patients (**642** w/o adj)

menopausal_status	ER	PR	Ki67	HER2	HER2_intensity	CK56	EGFR	VIM	ALDH1
Post-ménopause	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ménopause	40	95	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activité génitale	87	10	26	0	0	0	0	80	0
Post-ménopause	100	100	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
Post-ménopause	0	0	16	82	+++	0	0	0	0
Activité génitale	100	95	12	0	0	0	0	0	1
Activité génitale	56	100	17	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activité génitale	57	85	23	100	+++	0	0	0	0
Post-ménopause	80	5	20	0	0	0	0	0	0
Post-ménopause	0	0	15	100	+++	0	5	0	0
Post-ménopause	100	80	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
Post-ménopause	30	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Post-ménopause	0	0	15	40	+++	0	0	0	0
Ménopause	0	80	8	0	0	0		0	0
Post-ménopause	0	0	27	0	0	0	30	0	1
Post-ménopause	0	0	56	0	0	80	60	100	0
Activité génitale	50	92	2	1	+	0	0	0	0
Post-ménopause	0	47	5	0	0	0	0	80	0
Post-ménopause	65	0	10	0	0	0	0	60	0
Post-ménopause	100	50	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ménopause	20	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activité génitale	90	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Post-ménopause	100	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activité génitale	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ménopause	80	100	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Post-ménopause	100	85	25	0	0	0		0	0
Post-ménopause	10	45	11	13	+++	0	0	0	0
Post-ménopause	66	1	2	40	++	0	0	0	0

outcome

date_metastatic_relapse	date_death_or_loss
854	0
censored	
1999-02-04 00:00:00	1998-04-26 00:00:00
	1999-01-06 00:00:00
	1993-10-21 00:00:00
	2004-06-15 00:00:00
1990-09-04 00:00:00	2006-03-21 00:00:00
1993-02-08 00:00:00	2002-04-05 00:00:00
1999-12-15 00:00:00	2006-11-23 00:00:00
	1997-11-02 00:00:00
	2006-09-15 00:00:00
1995-03-08 00:00:00	2003-03-29 00:00:00
	2003-12-02 00:00:00
1990-04-06 00:00:00	1990-10-20 00:00:00
1994-11-02 00:00:00	2003-10-14 00:00:00
	2004-11-19 00:00:00
	2006-09-30 00:00:00
	1991-07-31 00:00:00
	1995-07-05 00:00:00
	2005-12-08 00:00:00
	2005-05-23 00:00:00
	2007-09-06 00:00:00
	2006-09-06 00:00:00
	2001-02-09 00:00:00
	2005-07-23 00:00:00
	1993-08-12 00:00:00
	1995-01-01 00:00:00
	1993-02-08 00:00:00

Institut Bergonié, Bordeaux, FR

Mechanistic modeling of time to relapse



- Number of metastases with size larger than the visible size V_{vis} (= 0.5 cm)

$$N_{vis}(t) = \int_{V_{vis}}^{+\infty} \rho(t, v)dv = \int_{0}^{t-\tau_{vis}} d(V_p(t))dt$$

τ_{vis} = time to reach V_{vis}

- Time to relapse (TTR) defined as the time elapsed from diagnosis to the appearance of a first visible metastasis

$$TTR = \inf\{t > 0 : N_{vis}(t_{diag} + t) \geq 1\}$$

- Parameter β fixed such that carrying capacity = 10^{12} cells

Nicolò et al., in preparation, 2019

Mixed-effects statistical model

$$\ln\left(T^i\right) = \ln\left(TTR\left(V^i_{diag};\alpha^i,\mu^i\right)\right) + \varepsilon^i, \quad \varepsilon^i \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$$

(Observation model)

$$S\left(t|\alpha^i,\mu^i\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(T^i > t|\alpha^i,\mu^i\right)$$

Survival function to account for censoring in the likelihood

$$\ln\left(\alpha^i\right) = \ln\left(\alpha_{pop}\right) + \eta^i_\alpha, \quad \eta^i_\alpha \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\omega^2_\alpha)$$
$$\ln\left(\mu^i\right) = \ln\left(\mu_{pop}\right) + \eta^i_\mu, \quad \eta^i_\mu \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\omega^2_\mu)$$

fixed effects

random effects



Lavielle, CRC press, 2014

Likelihood maximization performed using the *saemix* R package (SAEM algorithm)

Comets, Lavenu, Lavielle, J Stat Softw, 2017

Descriptive power: fit to the data



Kaplan–Meier estimate

Model fit

Parameter	Estimate	r.s.e. (%)
$\log \alpha_{pop}$	-6.337	12.635
$\log \mu_{pop}$	-26.814	3.683
σ	0.542	28.409
ω_α	3.373	36.435
ω_μ	3.780	15.876







Nicolò et al., in preparation, 2019

Predictive power: covariates

$$\ln\left(\mu^i\right) = \ln\left(\mu_{pop}\right) + \beta_\mu^T \mathbf{x}_\mu^\mathbf{i} + \eta_\mu^i, \quad \eta_\mu^i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \omega_\mu^2)$$

$$\ln\left(\alpha^i\right) = \ln\left(\alpha_{pop}\right) + \beta_\alpha^T \mathbf{x}_\alpha^\mathbf{i} + \eta_\alpha^i, \quad \eta_\alpha^i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \omega_\alpha^2)$$

Parameter	Estimate	r.s.e. (%)	p-value
$\log \alpha_{pop}$	-8.883	10.151	
$\beta_{\text{Ki67},\alpha}$	0.086	27.376	$2.59 \cdot 10^{-4}$
$\beta_{\text{HER2},\alpha}$	0.029	42.833	0.020
$\beta_{\text{CD44},\alpha}$	0.011	60.816	0.1
$\beta_{\text{TRIO},\alpha}$	0.016	58.119	0.085
$\log \mu_{pop}$	-26.342	3.696	
$\beta_{\text{EGFR},\mu}$	0.039	47.527	0.035
σ	0.606	23.104	
ω_α	2.062	22.715	
ω_μ	3.563	16.759	

c-index = 0.62 (10-folds cross-validation)





Patient ID	Tumor size (mm)	Ki67	HER2	CD44	TRIO	EGFR	Observed TTR (cens)	Predicted TTR	Prediction error (days)
47	20	32	100	0	0	50	739 (1)	447	292
255	25	1	60	90	60	0	1812 (1)	1609	203
143	18	60	0	50	0	0	2798 (1)	434	2364
12	10	20	0	23	0	0	5970 (0)	$+\infty$	-

Nicolò et al., in preparation, 2019


t = -11.6 years
Primary tumor
Size (cells)
Time (years)
Metastases
Number of metastases
Imaging detection limit
Size (cell)


t = -141 months
10 mm
Primary tumor
Metastases


Predicted TTR
Observed TTR
Visibility threshold
Tumor size (cells)
Time (years)



Diagnosis personalization

Virtual patient with median μ

Nothing visible

Virtual patient with large μ (90th prct)





Breast cancer patient with primary tumor of 4.32 cm

Chemotherapy personalization

Toward taking into account inter-individual variability

- 10 virtual patients with breast cancer detected at stage **T1N0M0**. Size of the tumor at detection: 1 gram.
- Chemotherapy : 6 cycles of 21 days (75mg of DTX and 100mg d'EPI) *Viens & al., J. Clin. Onc. 2001*
- Number of visible metastases (> 10^8 cel.) 5 years after the end of the treatment

Adapt the number of cycles to each patient

μ	Protocole de Viens		
	6 cycles 126 days	9 cycles 189 days	12 cycles 252 days
1.3×10^{-7}	**1**	0	$\star$
2.7×10^{-7}	**2**	1	0
4.0×10^{-7}	**3**	2	1
6.1×10^{-7}	**5**	4	3

Barbolosi, Verga, 2011

Acknowledgements

Biology

- Preclinical data of ortho-surgical animal models of metastases



✶J. Ebos ✶A. Tracz

✶M. Mastri

Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, NY, USA

- Beva + cytotoxics study

SMARTc
SIMULATION MODELING ADAPTIVE RESPONSE FOR THERAPEUTICS IN CANCER

Dr. J. Ciccolini

Clinic

- Brain metastasis from lung tumors

✶F. Chomy **Bergonié Institute, Bordeaux, FR**

✶X. Muracciole

AP-HM, Marseille, FR

✶F. Barlesi

Modeling

✶C. Nicolò

✶D. Barbolosi

Thanks for listening!

2 Combination bevacizumab - chemotherapy