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BACKGROUND FOR ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

• Task Force forms with Baylor’s Bruce Evans as chair in 2015
• Research on job advertisements and literature
• Drafts presented at two ALA meetings of this IG
• Opened as Google Document for public comment in 2016
• Final document submitted at Midwinter 2017 and was endorsed by ALCTS Executive Board
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Abstract:
This document defines competencies in broad terms to acknowledge the wide variety of work performed by cataloging and metadata professionals in libraries of all types and sizes, regardless of developments in a particular standard or technology. While a baseline of knowledge, skills, and behaviors for cataloging and metadata professional librarians is defined in this document, competence in cataloging and metadata is obtained over the course of an individual’s career. This document is meant to supplement the American Library Association’s Core Competencies of Librarianship, and as such, many general competencies of librarianship will not be listed here.

Description:
The document was drafted by the Cataloging Competencies Task Force of the ALCTS CAMMS Competencies and Education for a Career in Cataloging Interest Group. In preparation for this work, the Task Force conducted a literature review and a survey of position advertisements for professional catalogers. The Cataloging Competencies Task Force presented research results and preliminary thoughts concerning competencies to the community at its ALA Midwinter meeting in January 2016. After collecting feedback, the Task Force produced a draft, which was distributed in July 2016 for one month of public comment. This final document incorporates many of those recommendations.
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“MAKING OF” THE COMPETENCIES

https://journals.alan.org/index.php/lrts/issue/view/689

https://journals.alan.org/index.php/lrts/issue/view/861
### 2022 Survey Results – Demographics

#### Table 1. Question 3: For which type of library or institution do you primarily work? (N = 428)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>% of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic/Research Library</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>53.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Library</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>26.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Library (e.g., law, corporate)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Library</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please explain)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIS Program</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museum</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Society</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor/Publisher</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>428</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 2. Question 4: What is your primary role at that library or institution? (N = 417)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>% of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional cataloger/metadata librarian</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>41.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataloging/metadata department manager</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>27.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior library administrator</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraprofessional cataloger/metadata specialist</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other library staff</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please explain)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIS program educator</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>417</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer Options</td>
<td>No. of Respondents</td>
<td>% of Respondents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal professional development</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>30.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching/Training/Instruction</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>18.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparing position descriptions</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>13.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional professional development</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>12.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluating employees</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum development</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic planning</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please explain)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>337</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2022 SURVEY RESULTS – HIGH LEVEL

• Most questionnaire respondents felt that the 2017 *Core Competencies* were still valid and useful…assuming they knew about them.

• Suggestions:
  • Add IFLA’s *Library Reference Model* (LRM) as an example (was not in existence at the time the *Core Competencies* document was adopted)
  • Mention *Cataloguing Code of Ethics* (once again, not in existence at the time the *Core Competencies* document was adopted)
  • Emphasize linked data more
  • Emphasize DEI and critical cataloging
  • Remove behavioral competencies
Competencies Revision

The original Task Force felt strongly that another group should revise the document and that it should have a clear “home.”

Though there was still no clear “home” for the document, in late 2022, the Core Metadata & Collections leadership team asked a Task Force member to lead the revision with a new group.
2023/2024 REVISION

• Led by me (Karen Snow) and joined by Bobby Bothmann (Minnesota State University -Mankato), Staci Ross (University of Pittsburgh), Elizabeth Roke (Emory University), and Pamela Swaider (Indianapolis Public Library)

• Work began early 2023 - decided that no major revisions needed

• Completed in December 2023 and endorsed by the ALA Core Metadata & Collections Section leadership team
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• Three main competencies areas remain intact: Knowledge, Skills/Abilities, Behavioral

• Several revision team members felt strongly about removing the examples; concerns over endorsement/dating of document

• Still saw value in keeping examples, which were moved to an appendix

• Main changes: clarifying and updating the language of the competencies
  • Measurability has been a key concern
EXAMPLE – 2017 VERSION

Knowledge of systems and technology

- Understands indexing and database structure
  - Examples: understands utility of authorized text strings and unique identifiers for indexing and referential functionality

- Understands library services platforms/library management systems and/or institutional repository and digital library management systems
  - Examples: Sierra, Alma, Symphony, Koha, WorldShare Management Services, CONTENTdm, Islandora, DSpace

- Understands the nature and function of cooperative bibliographic databases
  - Examples: OCLC WorldCat, III SkyRiver

- Understands methods and approaches for metadata creation, editing, analysis, and transformation
  - Examples: Using tools such as OCLC Connexion, oXygen XML Editor, MarcEdit, OpenRefine, XML Notepad, XSLT
Knowledge of systems and technology

- Understands how metadata is stored, processed, and retrieved
  - Indexing and database structure
  - Relationship of cataloging outputs to discovery and access use cases

- Understands the function and structure of library data management platforms, such as library management systems (LMS), institutional repositories (IR), and content management systems

- Understands the nature and function of cooperative databases and initiatives
Appendix

The encoding standards, metadata schemes, and content standards listed below are intended to be illustrative examples of some of the common types of standards in use in libraries and cultural heritage institutions in the United States. Metadata professionals should understand the use cases, limitations, and evolution of these standards, not just their direct application. For more information about the technical systems used in libraries to capture and manage metadata, see the annual Library Systems Report published by ALA. The 2023 version is here: https://americanlibrariesmagazine.org/2023/05/01/2023-library-systems-report/

Data content standards

*Content standards provide guidelines that describe how textual values in metadata should be structured, providing a standard to guide metadata creation and cataloging practices. Often described as cataloging rules and codes, content standards normalize the values that appear in metadata and include guidelines for sources of information and how data values should be formatted.*

- Anglo-American Cataloguing Rules, 2nd edition (AACR2)
- Cataloging Cultural Objects (CCO)
- Describing Archives: A Content Standard (DACS)
- Resource Description and Access (RDA)
- Rules for Archival Description (RAD)

Data structure standards

*Data structure standards or metadata schemas describe the element sets for a particular descriptive domain.*

- Bibliographic Framework Initiative (BIBFRAME)
- Categories for the Description of Works of Art (CDWA)
- Dublin Core (DC)
- Encoded Archival Description (EAD)
- Metadata Object Description Schema (MODS)
- Machine-Readable Cataloging (MARC)
- Visual Resources Association (VRA Core)
2023 REVISION - ADDITIONS

• Greater emphasis on ethics
  • The *Cataloguing Code of Ethics* was released since the publication of 2017 *Competencies*

• Inclusion of artificial intelligence

  “Understands the impact, limitations, and possible usage of artificial intelligence (AI) applications and large language models (LLM)” (page 7)
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QUESTIONS FOR *YOU*!

• Have you used the 2017 *Core Competencies* document? If so, how?
• How should artificial intelligence fit into a cataloging & metadata competencies document?
• Is a 5-year revision cycle for the *Competencies* sufficient?
• Thinking about your work environment, what are the implications of *knowing* about the Competencies vs. *applying* them?
• Are there ways to improve the *Core Competencies* document to make it more useful?
Questions for us?

Karen Snow
ksnow@dom.edu

Beth Shoemaker
beth.shoemaker@vicu.utoronto.ca