IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

EMMA KOE, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	
v.	
CAYLEE NOGGLE, et al.,	

Defendants.

Case No. 1:23-cv-02904-SEG

MOTION OF AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS AND ADDITIONAL NATIONAL AND STATE MEDICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH ORGANIZATIONS FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER & PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Amici curiae hereby move for leave to file the attached brief of amici curiae.

1. Amici curiae are the American Academy of Pediatrics, the Academic Pediatric Association, the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, the Association of American Medical Colleges, the American Academy of Family Physicians, the American Academy of Nursing, the American Association of Physicians for Human Rights, Inc. d/b/a GLMA: Health Professionals Advancing LGBTQ+ Equality, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the American College of Osteopathic Pediatricians, the American College of Physicians,

the American Pediatric Society, Association of Medical School Pediatric Department Chairs, Inc., the Endocrine Society, the National Association of Pediatric Nurse Practitioners, the Pediatric Endocrine Society, the Societies for Pediatric Urology, the Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine, the Society for Pediatric Research, the Society of Pediatric Nurses, and the World Professional Association for Transgender Health (collectively, "amici").

- 2. Amici respectfully move for leave to file the attached amicus brief in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction.
- 3. As a group of well-respected medical and mental health organizations, *amici* seek to offer this Court their scientific views and insights regarding the serious medical condition known as gender dysphoria; the accepted standard of care—known as gender-affirming care—for treating individuals suffering from gender dysphoria; and the consequences of prohibiting and criminalizing healthcare providers from providing adolescents with critical, medically necessary, evidence-based treatments for gender dysphoria as would be

required by S.B. 140 (the "Healthcare Ban"), promulgated by the Georgia Legislature.¹

WHEREFORE, *amici* respectfully request an order granting leave to file the attached brief of *amici curiae*.

INTERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE

Amici are a group of 20 professional medical and mental health organizations seeking to ensure that all individuals, including those with gender dysphoria, receive the optimal medical and mental healthcare they need and deserve. Amici include both national and state organizations and represent thousands of health care providers who have specific expertise with the issues raised in the amicus brief.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

By submitting an amicus brief in this matter, *amici* seek to assist this Court on an issue of great importance to many transgender individuals, including adolescents and their families, as well as the medical professionals who treat them: the prevention and treatment of gender dysphoria. *Amici* intend to provide this

¹ S.B. 140 §§ 2(a)(2) & 3(a)(2) prohibit treatments that delay or stop puberty or include certain hormone therapy which, as discussed in this brief, are medical necessary care for certain adolescents with gender dysphoria.

Court with an empirically grounded view of (i) gender dysphoria; (ii) genderaffirming care, which is the accepted standard of care for treating adolescents at
risk of or suffering from gender dysphoria; and (iii) the irreparable harm that
would be caused to adolescent patients if the Healthcare Ban is not enjoined.

Amici thus fulfill the quintessential role for amici curiae, and courts routinely
authorize the filing of amicus briefs in such circumstances. See, e.g., Shoemaker v.

City of Howell, 795 F.3d 553, 562 (6th Cir. 2015) (describing the "traditional
function of an amicus curiae" as "assist[ing] in cases of general public interest by
supplementing the efforts of private counsel and by drawing the court's attention to
[matters] that might otherwise escape consideration") (internal quotations omitted).

Gender dysphoria is a clinical condition that is marked by distress due to an incongruence between the patient's gender identity (*i.e.*, the innate sense of oneself as being a particular gender) and sex assigned at birth. This incongruence can lead to clinically significant distress and impair functioning in many aspects of the patient's life. The widely accepted recommendation of the medical community, including that of the respected professional organizations participating here as *amici*, is that the standard of care for treating gender dysphoria is "gender-affirming care."

Because the Healthcare Ban would prohibit healthcare providers from

providing adolescents with critical, medically necessary, evidence-based treatments for gender dysphoria, it is antithetical to the mission and values of *amici*, all of whom are committed to ensuring that all patients, including transgender individuals, receive the best possible medical care. Drawing on empirical research and *amici's* extensive experience and expertise in their respective fields, the proposed amicus brief: (i) provides background on gender identity and gender dysphoria; (ii) describes the professionally-accepted medical guidelines for treating gender dysphoria as they apply to adolescents, and the scientifically rigorous process by which these guidelines were developed; and (iii) describes the evidence that supports the effectiveness of this care for adolescents with gender dysphoria.

Courts regularly permit *amici* to file *amicus curiae* briefs to offer their unique expertise and insight on issues of physical and mental health and welfare, including with respect to transgender youth. For example, district courts in three states considering challenges to similar laws targeting gender-affirming care have accepted and cited amicus briefs filed by many of the same organizations that seek to file a brief here. *See, e.g., Brandt v. Rutledge*, 551 F. Supp. 3d 882, 890 (E.D. Ark. 2021), *aff'd sub nom. Brandt by & through Brandt v. Rutledge*, 47 F.4th 661 (8th Cir. 2022) (citing brief and observing "[t]he consensus recommendation of

medical organizations is that the only effective treatment for individuals at risk of or suffering from gender dysphoria is to provide gender-affirming care"); *Eknes-Tucker v. Marshall*, 2022 WL 1521889, at *2 (M.D. Ala. May 13, 2022) (citing brief and observing *amici* "endorse these guidelines as evidence-based methods for treating gender dysphoria in minors"); *Doe v. Ladapo*, --- F. Supp. 3d ----, 2023 WL 3833848, at *4 (N.D. Fla. June 6, 2023) (citing *amici*'s submitted brief and observing that "[t]he overwhelming weight of medical authority supports treatment of transgender patients with GnRH agonists and cross-sex hormones in appropriate circumstances").²

Moreover, there is no downside to granting *amici*'s motion for leave to file the amicus brief. Courts have recognized that "it is preferable to err on the side of" permitting amicus briefs. *Neonatology Assocs., P.A. v. Comm'r*, 293 F.3d 128, 133 (3d Cir. 2002) (Alito, J.). This is so because "[i]f an amicus brief that turns out to be unhelpful is filed, the [court], after studying the case, will often be able to make

² See also, e.g., Grimm v. Gloucester Cty. Sch. Bd., 972 F.3d 586, 594 n.1 (4th Cir. 2020) (crediting "leading medical, public health, and mental health organization[]" amici with helping the court to "develop[] a fact-based understanding of what it means to be transgender"); Adams by Kasper v. Sch. Bd. of St. Johns Cty., 318 F. Supp. 3d 1293, 1298 n.14 (M.D. Fla. 2018) (granting leave to file an amicus brief in support of a transgender male student, and noting that "the position of [amici] as to the appropriate standard of care for gender dysphoria is useful to understanding that diagnosis").

that determination without much trouble and can then simply disregard the amicus brief." *Id.* "On the other hand, if a good brief is rejected, the [court] will be deprived of a resource that might have been of assistance." *Id.*

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, *amici* respectfully request that this Court grant their motion for leave to file their proposed amicus curiae brief (attached hereto as Exhibit A) in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction. A proposed order granting this request is attached as Exhibit B).

Respectfully submitted, this 3rd day of July, 2023,

Cortlin H. Lannin (pro hac vice forthcoming)

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

Salesforce Tower
415 Mission St., Suite 5400

San Francisco, CA 94105

Phone: (415) 591-6000

clannin@cov.com

D. Jean Veta (pro hac vice forthcoming)
William Isasi (pro hac vice forthcoming)
Emily Mondry (pro hac vice forthcoming)
Yuval Mor (pro hac vice forthcoming)
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
One CityCenter, 850 Tenth St., N.W.

/s/ Meredith C. Kincaid

Meredith C. Kincaid
Georgia Bar No. 148549
Anna Green Cross
Georgia Bar No. 306674
CROSS KINCAID LLC
315 W. Ponce de Leon Avenue
Suite 715
Decatur, GA 30030
Phone: (404) 948-3022
meredith@crosskincaid.com
anna@crosskincaid.com

Washington, D.C. 20001 Phone: (202) 662-6000 jveta@cov.com wisasi@cov.com emondry@cov.com ymor@cov.com

Counsel for Amici Curiae

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7.1(D), I hereby certify that the foregoing Brief has been prepared with Times New Roman font in 14-point type, as approved by the Court in Local Civil Rule 5.1(C).

/s/ Meredith C. Kincaid

Meredith C. Kincaid Georgia Bar No. 148549

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion of American Academy of

Pediatrics and Additional National and State Medical and Mental Health

Organizations for Leave to File Brief of Amici Curiae in Support Of Plaintiffs'

Motion for Temporary Restraining Order & Preliminary Injunction was

served by electronic service upon counsel of record on July 3, 2023.

/s/ Meredith C. Kincaid

Meredith C. Kincaid Georgia Bar No. 148549